

APPEARANCES

(All Parties Participated by Microsoft Teams)

Trustees:

John Wilson, Chairman
Ann Browning, Vice Chairman
Jason Walser, Acquisition Committee Chairman
Renee Kumor, Restoration Committee Chairman
E. Greer Cawood
Amy Grissom
Mike Rusher
Darrel Williams
David Womack

Staff:

Will Summer, Interim Executive Director
Hank Fordham, Attorney
Sydney McDaniel DeLancey, Executive Assistant
Nancy Guthrie, Acquisition Program Manager
Steve Bevington, Restoration Program Manager
Marissa Hartzler, Stewardship Program Manager
Marie Meckman, Acquisition Project Manager
Terri Murray, Restoration Program Assistant
Justin Mercer, Eastern Field Representative
Damon Hearne, Western Field Representative
Misty Buchanan, Natural Heritage Program Director

Guests:

The Honorable Jefferson Griffin Eric Hiegl, Blue Ridge Conservancy Amy Edge, Tar River Land Conservancy Janice Allen, Coastal Land Trust Fred Annand

PROCEEDINGS

3:01 P.M.

23

24

25

1

Chairman Wilson: I'd like to call today's meeting of the North Carolina Land and Water Fund Board of Trustees to order, and maybe I'll begin by asking you to mute your computers unless you are speaking, and also, while I'm at it, ask you please put your cell phones on vibrate or turn them off all together. So I'm John Wilson. I am the Board Chair, and like the rest of you, I had hoped that we would be together in person today. I just want to thank everyone, especially our Land and Water Fund staff for accommodating us again as we do this virtually via Today we have the pleasure of welcoming a new trustee, Mike Rusher, to our Board. Some of us have already had the pleasure of meeting and working with Mike on this morning's Restoration, Innovative Stormwater and Planning Committee meeting. I should mention that Mike has technically already been sworn in as a Trustee, which enabled him to do official work at that Committee meeting. Mike, would you like to say hello to folks and just tell everyone a bit about yourself. I have -- sorry to put you on the spot; I did not tell you I was going do that, but do you just want to say hello and tell us who you are?

Sir, I'm happy to;

Mr. Rusher:

thank you, John. It's an honor to be able to join the Committee. I've spent the last two weeks trying to dive in and drink from the fire hose as they say. I'm very thankful to the staff and Will especially for helping to get me up to speed very quickly. I have taken a liking to diving into large complex projects and trying to break them out into bite sized understandable pieces. I could confidently say I'm nowhere near being there yet on this Board yet, so but I do look forward to it. I look forward to working with each and every one of you. My bio has been circulated, I'm sure. So I'll spare you all those terrible details, but I'm available and willing anytime to chat if anything is needed, but I look forward to it; thank you.

I'm sure that our Restoration Committee Chairwoman,
Renee Kumor, and others on that Committee will attest
to how well prepared you clearly were for the work of
that Committee this morning, so thanks for diving in
and doing homework in advance. Today we have the honor
of having with us Judge Jefferson Griffin to do a more
ceremonial swearing in of Mike. As I mentioned, he's
been technically officially sworn in, but we're going
to ask Judge Griffin to swear Mike in for the rest of

us. And let me just say briefly that it's great to have Judge Griffin in particular here, as he is an avid hunter, fisherman and lover of the outdoors as many of us on this -- on our Board and staff and friends who are here as well are. So I'll turn it over to Judge Griffin, unless, Will, you tell me there's more protocol to go in advance of that.

Interim Executive Director Summer: No, sir, and I hope Judge Griffin is the 6733 number that's called in, but let's see if he is -- if he is out there.

Judge Griffin: That's me. Will, can you hear me?

Interim Executive Director Summer: Excellent, fantastic, loud and clear.

Judge Griffin: Great, great, I wish your call could see me. I'm actually doing military stuff today, and I have on camouflage. So it's even more fitting for the swearing it, but it's an honor to be with you even remotely, and I appreciate the opportunity to swear in a new member. And I'm very honored that Mike asked me to do it. Michael, are you — are you ready to take the oath?

Mr. Rusher: Yes, sir, thank you.

Judge Griffin: All right, I'm going

to repeat the paragraph, if you just after each paragraph you'll say so help me God. There should be three times you say it. I know it's a little awkward when we're not in person here, and we can't see each other, but I'll kind of prompt you to say it, so if you'll raise your right hand and repeat after me; I, Michael J. Rusher.

Mr. Rusher: I, Michael J.

Rusher.

Judge Griffin: Do solemnly swear that I will support and maintain the constitution and laws of the United States, so help me God.

Mr. Rusher: Do solemnly swear that I will support and maintain the constitution and laws of the United States, so help me God.

Judge Griffin: All right, and,
Michael, I'm going to read the next paragraph, and you
say your name when I say Michael J. Rusher and then at
the end you say so help me God, because it's a longer
paragraph, and I think it will read better this way.
I, Michael J. Rusher, do solemnly and sincerely swear
that I'll be faithful and bear true allegiance to the
State of North Carolina and to the constitutional
powers and authorities which are or may be established
for the government thereof, and I will endeavor to

	Page 7
1	support, maintain and defend the Constitution and laws
2	of this State, not inconsistent with the Constitution
3	of the United States, to the best of my knowledge and
4	ability, so help me God?
5	Mr. Rusher: So help me God.
6	Judge Griffin: I, Michael J.
7	Rusher, do swear that I will truly and faithfully
8	execute and discharge the duties of office of the North
9	Carolina Land and Water Fund Board of Trustees
10	according to the best of my skill and ability,
11	according to the law, so help me God.
12	Mr. Rusher: So help me God.
13	Judge Griffin: Congratulations,
14	Michael, we're all thankful that you're willing to step
15	up and serve in this role, and I know that you're going
16	to be a great public servant and do great, great stuff
17	for the State of North Carolina, but I'd like to thank
18	you for stepping up and doing and serving in this
19	capacity. And I'm sure I'm sure the board members
20	are happy to have you as a part of the team.
21	Mr. Rusher: Thank you, Judge
22	Griffin.
23	Chairman Wilson: Thank you, Judge;
24	thank you so much; I appreciate you being with us.
25	Judge Griffin: My pleasure,

Restoration Committee Chairman Kumor:

Here.

	Page 10
1	I'm going to recuse from the Foothills Blowing Rock
2	Project, and I have misplaced the project number.
3	Will, could you or Nancy help me we with that, please?
4	It's an acquisition project.
5	Ms. Grissom: 2021-040, 040.
6	Chairman Wilson: Thank you; I'm
7	recusing from 2021-040.
8	Mr. Hearne: The Blowing Rock
9	tract is 014.
10	Ms. Grissom: Oh, thank you,
11	Damon.
12	Chairman Wilson: All right, 2021-014,
13	Foothills Blowing Rock, I have a conflict of interest.
14	Anything any other conflicts; all right, everybody
15	has already put their cell phones on vibrate and turned
16	them off? Are there any revisions or additions to the
17	agenda? I have one that I'd like to add, please, and
18	that is a report from the Executive Committee, and I'd
19	like to add that as business agenda item 1(a) just for
20	simplicity's sake, and then we can just call the
21	Acquisition Committee agenda item 1(b). So I will make
22	that as a motion, please. I'm looking for a second.
23	Acquisition Committee Chair Walser: I'll
24	second. This is Jason.
25	Chairman Wilson: Okay, thanks, Jason;

	Page 11
1	is there any more discussion regarding the agenda, any
2	more revisions or additions? All right, I've got to
3	ask you each and every time how you vote, please, for
4	the sake of Teams, Ann?
5	Vice Chairman Browning: Yes.
6	Chairman Wilson: Greer?
7	Ms. Caywood: Yes.
8	Chairman Wilson: Amy?
9	Ms. Grissom: Yes.
10	Chairman Wilson: Renee?
11	Restoration Committee Chairman Kumor: Yes.
12	Chairman Wilson: Mike?
13	Mr. Rusher: Yes.
14	Chairman Wilson: Jason?
15	Acquisition Committee Chair Walser: Yes.
16	Chairman Wilson: Darrel?
17	Mr. Williams: Yes.
18	Chairman Wilson: David?
19	Mr. Womack: Yes.
20	Chairman Wilson: And John Wilson is a
21	yes, so we have adopted our agenda. Now move on to
22	agenda item 2, our consent agenda. We have two items
23	on that consent agenda. The first is the approval of
24	our minutes from the May 20, 2021 board meeting, and
25	the second is a request to extend the date to enter

	rage 12
1	into a construction contract for an existing grant. Is
2	there any discussion of either of those consent agenda
3	items? Okay, I am not hearing any, so I will entertain
4	a motion to adopt our consent agenda consisting of
5	those two items.
6	Ms. Caywood: So moved.
7	Restoration Committee Chairman Kumor:
8	Renee, second.
9	Chairman Wilson: Okay, thank you,
10	Greer; who was that quick second right after you?
11	Acquisition Committee Chair Walser: Renee.
12	Chairman Wilson: Okay, Renee, thank
13	you; any more discussion; all right, how do you vote,
14	Ann?
15	Vice Chairman Browning: Yes.
16	Chairman Wilson: Greer?
17	Ms. Caywood: Yes.
18	Chairman Wilson: Amy?
19	Ms. Grissom: Yes.
20	Chairman Wilson: Renee?
21	Restoration Committee Chairman Kumor: Yes.
22	Chairman Wilson: Mike?
23	Mr. Rusher: Yes.
24	Chairman Wilson: Jason?
25	Acquisition Committee Chair Walser: Yes.

you, and, Mike, I want to say welcome to the Land and

25

Water Fund. I appreciate your joining in, and I look forward to working with you closely in the coming You are joining a fantastic board, a fantastic staff and fantastic large state-wide network of local governments and conservation non-profits and others who are partners with the Land and Water Fund, so I'm pretty sure you're going to enjoy it a lot, and you're going to do good things with this wonderful group of people. I want to thank Will Summer for doing an outstanding job as the Interim Director. No surprise there, but everything keeps, you know, running like a well-oiled machine even with COVID and all the other challenges we're facing. This is your big meeting of the year even though who really knows for sure because you don't know what you budget is yet, but I know you have figured out how you're going to address the fact that you're trying to make funding decisions and you don't know exactly how much money you have. But I have to say it looks a whole lot more promising this year than it has in previous years, and I think if there is, in fact, a signed budget, and I'm an optimist, that there will be significant resources available to you all this year and next year, which is a great thing, because I think we all agree that you have been underfunded for many years, like twelve years, which is

24

25

a long time. Back to the end of '08, I think it was, was back when you had a whole lot of money. reason you all know that it's important to have more funds is because you a have huge demand for money so that non-profits, local governments, others around the state can do great things to protect and improve water quality everywhere for public health, for habitat and species protection, for military base buffers, for climate resilience to preserve historical and cultural resources, to expand parks and trails and greenways so people can get outside for healthy outdoor recreation. And all of that, you know, feeds into better quality of life, stronger economy state-wide and locally everywhere. So I am really hoping that the Legislature comes through as they -- both Houses have done so far in their budget proposals to fund you all, and I will add the Parks and Recreation Trust Fund generally this year and next. And just to cite a recent example of how important your funded projects are, Jeff Michael sent me a news release yesterday or the day before from Wildlife Resources Commission about the recent purchase of 2,400 acres for game land near Tuckertown Reservoir, and I know Jason Walser has been involved with this, and Amy Grissom and others have been involved with this for a long time. And Jeff mentioned to me that people

25

first started talking about preserving all this land in that part of the state, I don't know, twenty-fivr years That's a long time for people, governments and non-profits and community leaders to be working on something of this scope. And this is just one big property out of a number, but 2,400 acres, 31 miles of shoreline. You know, the Land and Water Fund was a big funder in this effort partnership between Three Rivers Land Trust, Conservation Fund, Wildlife Resources Commission. I mean, this is what you guys do best. You support partnerships that do great things for water quality and other important goals in North Carolina. mean, it's going to protect habitat. It's going to provide recreation opportunities. It's going to provide drinking water quality for, I think, 1.7 million people get their drinking water from this river system. So it's huge, and it's just one project, and it will be a big one. But it's one project that you guys fund, and this is happening all over the state with projects you fund every year, so I just want to say congratulations and job well done. And I know that the staff and Board have looked at land conservation in that part of the state for a long time, and there's more to do, I understand. But you all should feel good about your role in that really successful project.

25

couple other things, one is I just wanted to give you a quick update in our process for choosing the next Director of the Division of Land and Water Stewardship. The job posting is up. I believe it closes on September 30th. We already know the small group of management folks here who will be doing the interviewing. So we hope to move quickly from September 30th when the last application will no doubt trickle in, because always someone will send it on the last day, right; to analyze reviewing them, and then interviewing a small group of people and then in the end making a decision. Our Deputy Secretary, Jeff Michael, is honchoing this process. I will be involved as well, but we want to do this quickly rather than slowly, though there's no concerns with Will's ability to keep running the ship fine as long as it does take to do this. And then a really important thing I want to touch on is I can't believe that Nancy Guthrie's actually retiring. I don't know what we will do without you, Nancy. You have so much institutional knowledge. You are such an incredible public servant. You have touched hundreds, thousands, of projects over the years, and your creativity and innovative spirit and ability to be a helpful educational partner, a resource to our partners around the state is unmatched.

24

25

I mean, I've talked to so many land conservation groups over the years and others who just value what you do with them and how you make their jobs easier and how you help them come forward with strong applications, and how you have sort of a, I don't know, a development hammer when it's time to remind them that they have left something out or haven't quite gotten it right. mean, you're able to communicate with them effectively and get them to, you know, fix it up, so that it's compliant with all of the things that it needs to be compliant with. So I want to say congratulations on an incredible career, congratulations on retirement. hope you stay in touch, but really you have done so much for the people of this state in terms of water quality and all of the other services that the Land and Water Fund provides to the people of North Carolina, so thank you. And we'll figure out how to move on without you, but it's going to be tough because there's so many things you just know and remember from the last many, many years. You are the longest serving employee ever of the Land and Water Fund if I have my data correct, so thank you, thank you, thank you for a job well done, and with that, I'll close and send it back to you, Mr. Chairman.

Chairman Wilson: Thank you, Mr.

Secretary, and let's go now to Will Summer for our Executive Director's update.

24

25

Interim Executive Director Summer: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and thank you, Secretary Wilson, for those inspiring words; and let me welcome all the members of the board, staff, and guests. I consider myself privileged to still be able to address you in the interim role for the Executive Director. To let you know how we're doing operationally, the Delta variant has paused our complete return to the office, but most are rotating in at partial time in this -- in the office. The department is also rolling out a pilot tele-working plan for the post-COVID work schedule that will permit staff to continue to tele-work on a limited basis. Of course, none of this will affect our ability to get our work done, and we will continue to be available to you as always. Moving on to the budget, the Senate and House have each passed their own versions of the budget, and now we're in conference to work out the differences. It's my understanding that they've agreed upon a framework, and though the date has crept back a little, I'm hopeful that the budget may pass in early October. The House and Senate budgets agree on the additional 60 million for the North Carolina Land and Water Fund in nonrecurring

25

funds for this year and 40 million for next year. perhaps they won't need to revisit those figures, as they are in agreement. I won't count any chickens here before they've hatched, but if the budget passes with additional N.C. funds for the NCLWF, the Board will meet again soon to consider what to do with any additional funds. There is a lot of bittersweet transition to report, so many thanks for their service and others to celebrate for taking new roles. First, the term of Trustee Judy Kennedy was over in July, and I want to join others in saying how much I appreciate the wisdom and the kindness she brought to the Board. Judy's presence at our meetings will be missed by many. However, as you've heard, we have a new trustee, Mike Rusher, to welcome as well. I sat down with Mike a few weeks ago and was immediately impressed with his energy, philosophy of service, and the experience he brings to the table. Among the most interesting to me is his current service on the Apex Environmental Advisory Board and his time as Public Information Officer for the Department of Environmental Quality, the latter of which was during the days of the Coal Ash Spill on the Dan River. So Mike certainly comes to us battle tested. I know you all will be looking forward to learning more about him as we work together in the

25

coming months, so welcome, Mike. On the staff side, I want to congratulate and thank Marissa Hartzler for accepting the role of the Acquisition Program Manager. I can think of no one better to take on that responsibility, and I know she will continue the excellent work she's already done in her previous position, which brings me to the most bittersweet part of my remarks. After serving the State for thirty years, nearly twenty of which were with the North Carolina Land and Water Fund, as you've already heard Nancy Guthrie is retiring. Nancy came to North Carolina in 1989 to pursue a Masters in Environmental Management from Duke. After graduation, she worked for a year as a science educator with the Museum of Life Then in '92 she started her and Sciences in Durham. career with the State of North Carolina as a biologist with what was then the DENR Division of Water Quality. After eight years, she advanced to the Center for Geographic Information and Analysis, where she continued to focus on the protection of North Carolina's natural resources, and then in 2002 she joined the Clean Water Management Trust Fund, now known as the North Carolina Land and Water Fund, and with nineteen years is the longest serving member in our history. Nancy has worked continuously for the

1 betterment of our environmental resources in North 2 Carolina and for over thirty years. Through her 3 steadfast service, she's made a positive and lasting 4 impact for the benefit of generations to come. 5 your extensive knowledge of where we have been and your 6 tireless commitment to where we are going has kept us 7 always improving. As you run, hike, bike, camp and 8 paddle North Carolina's special places over the many 9 years to come, I hope you feel a rightfully earned 10 sense of ownership for all the lands you have touched. 11 As I begrudgingly award you your official certificate 12 of retirement, let me sincerely thank you for 13 everything you have done for me, the staff, the 14 Trustees, and the State of North Carolina. 15 Ms. Guthrie: Much appreciated, 16 thank you. 17 Interim Executive Director Summer: Thank you, 18 Nancy; that concludes my report, Mr. Chairman. 19 Chairman Wilson: Thank you, Will; 20 well, Nancy, I hate to make you, subject you to this, 21 but I'm going to do it anyway and kick it off and just 22 say, and encourage others to share any remarks they 23 might have. Yes, I see you. You're first and

24

25

foremost, let me say you're incredibly humble and this

is the last thing in the world that you would want, but

25

here it comes anyway. I just want to thank you so much for my four years with you on this Board, for your professionalism, for your leadership, your intelligence and friendship, your obvious dedication. I feel like I learn something new about you constantly, including when we were down at Lake Waccamaw and the swampland just downstream with Justin, and he was like, yeah, when I was down here paddling with Nancy, we were -you know, couldn't get through here because of all of the downed trees, and we're like, wow, you and Nancy came down here. That's pretty ambitious. He was like, oh, she's a serious, serious kayaker, and now I've seen the pictures and heard additional stories and just you're amazing. But the last two things I want to say is that I find as remarkable as anything about you is just your grace, your incredible grace under pressure and your patience with people like me, and I cannot thank you enough. I think, you know, my wife could attest that it's hard to have patience with people like me and you really do. And you sort of welcome the no question is a stupid question, how can I help explain this. So anyway, thank you so much, and with that, I'll cease and ask any other Trustees or staff who would like to say any words about Nancy or to Nancy.

Nancy, it's Greer.

Ms. Caywood:

It has been a joy to work with you and just to add on, everything that's been said has been spot on, just what a professional, how much you care, which comes through with the great work that you do and just the impact that you've had. It's felt all through the state, and we're all so grateful to have had our time with you.

Ms. Guthrie: Thank you.

Restoration Committee Chairman Kumor: This is Renee, Nancy, and I think I've been around here of all the Board members almost as long as Greer, and I do appreciate all of the work that you've done, and as helpful as you've always been, making us look much smarter than we are as a collective body and always ready to help; thank you for your service.

Acquisition Committee Chair Walser: All right, I feel an obligation on behalf of all of your Land Trust friends who are listening and watching to say from the perspective of someone who's been on the end of the emergency phone calls being made to Nancy looking for the last-minute drive to Greenville to get a signature of the FedEx package getting out at 5:30 in the evening or whatever, you were a get-her-done State employee. You worked after hours. You worked early in the morning. You always did what it took to help projects get closed. That's why I met you, and since

I've seen you on the other side, from the administrative side, I see how hard you work to be above board, to not show favoritism. You just are a steady even keel person. You've been a dedicated State employee in every way possible. I don't know that anybody has ever had a bad experience working with you, Nancy. I really don't. You're just an awesome, awesome, awesome asset of the State. We're going to miss you dearly.

Ms. Guthrie: Thank you, Jason.

Ms. Browning: I'll just add that

my first experience with Nancy would be -- of course, was through the Carolina Thread Trail and you were so helpful in helping us think about it in the native way and how the water at the time helped with the advancement of these projects. So I was thrilled to be able to circle around and work with you in this capacity and just so grateful to you. Please enjoy your retirement and your time out there in the wild.

Mr. Womack: Nancy, this is David Womack. Now I haven't had the pleasure of serving with you as long as some of the other Trustees, but I'll never forget my first meeting. You know, you -- as a Trustee, likely you show up; you're nervous. You don't know the ropes, and you're looking and you gave me a

very soft landing and some really -- you probably don't remember it, but you gave us some really good advice going in and made it a really comforting experience knowing how nervous I was, so I'll remember that about you, Nancy, so thank you and good luck.

Ms. Guthrie: Glad to hear that; thanks.

Mr. Williams: And, Nancy, I have not had a chance to work with you very long myself.

But I'll tell you what; I can tell when folks are being sincere. So I believe everything that everyone else has said, and I support it, so thank you for your commitment to the state of North Carolina and for all you've done.

Ms. Guthrie: Thank you, Darrel.

Ms. Grissom: I'd also just like

to echo John's comment about your grace and your patience. You bring this amazing ability to know like the granular details of the specifics of so many projects and so much history of the institution, but you deliver that at like the 50,000 foot level with an amazing perspective and so thoughtful and balanced. Yeah, it's going to be a huge loss, but I can tell you're excited about getting out and traveling and visiting so many of the sites that you have done so

1 much to protect, so enjoy it.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ms. Guthrie: Thanks.

Chairman Wilson: Any other comments from Trustees or from staff; they're holding their -- Nancy, go ahead.

Ms. Guthrie: I was going to say,

may I say a few?

Chairman Wilson: Of course, yes, yes; then I was going to say and now you, Nancy, but yes, please.

Ms. Guthrie: Okay, you know, it -- I have been thinking a lot lately about the nineteen years here, and I realize just how much North Carolina's landscape has changed during that time, and that is due to the work of the current and former staff, the Trustees, the partners, the Legislatures who vote for funding, the lobbyist who make sure that we're in that budget every year, just the thousands of acres that have been acquired, the streambanks that have been repaired, the Wastewater Treatment Plants in the earlier days that were upgraded, the stormwaters that were created. All of these are just real changes on the landscape, and I'm very proud to be part of the larger team that made all of that happen. And it's so nice to be part of an organization that has such a

25

wider reach than just the core program, and I think that it's seen all across North Carolina. realize how lucky I am to be able and excited to retire, and I also realize that I'm pretty lucky that I can say that I am a little sad, and I will miss a lot of this. But I am grateful primarily that I have places to go and hike and bike and paddle, and I'm really grateful for all of the friendships that I know will outlast my employment because everyone does not get -- everyone does not get that, and I'm grateful for I can't really thank everyone who deserves it because that list of people who have come in with experience and wisdom and arguments and different points of view to make all the hard decisions is such a long list, but, you know, I thank all of you for also your role in this and also particularly on the impact in what I've gained from working here, because that has been a tremendous amount that I have gained as well. think one thing that impresses me is how often we get it right with all of the decisions and all of the difficult decisions that are made. How just board after board and staff after staff, I just see it works out really well. And I'm also impressed by how brave most people are that if we don't get it right, we're willing to change and go a different route with a

22

23

24

25

decision or a new policy in the future. Also, there's been a lot of laughter along the way, and I appreciate that very much. Staff has had some good -- good senses of humor and that has been helpful on a lot of days. did say I'm sad to leave and that's true. It's not quite sad enough to make me want to stay, but it is easier to leave knowing that the Fund is in such good shape. And I feel great about the acquisition program being in the hands of Marissa and Marie, and the leadership of Will is just topnotch. So it makes it much easier, I think, for me to feel good about leaving right now. The other members of the staff you all know are amazing, and you see this at the meetings, but it's true day in and day out. So that again I will miss, but I feel very satisfied knowing that the Fund is thriving right now. So with that, I'll be here until the end of the month, and then after that, I hope that I can see some of you out on trails and rivers and out having some enjoyment of all of the hard work, and thank you for the time.

Chairman Wilson: Thank you, Nancy;
thank you everybody; okay, I would like to invite
members of the public to make comments to the Board.

If you would please limit your comments to a maximum of
three minutes and remember to unmute yourself before

you commence to talking and please identify yourself so that we can record your comments. Okay, I'm not hearing any, so I'm going to assume we have no public comments to be made, and we'll now move into the business section of our agenda with item 1(a), which is the Executive Committee Report, and I think, Will, you might have a slide for us about this.

Interim Executive Director Summer: Yes.

This is -- these Chairman Wilson: were the recommendations for the 2021 grant cycle from the Executive Committee that revenue be allocated as follows, 80 percent of funds to the Acquisition Committee, 20 percent of funds to the Restoration, Innovative Stormwater, and Planning Committee. Acquisition Committee will be charged with allocating funding for the donated mini-grant program. Restoration, Innovative Stormwater, and Planning Committee will be charged with allocating funding for each of their three program areas based on the merit of the applications during project review. All unspent funds from previous grants will revert to their respective programs, excluding wastewater, which will be treated as new revenue and assigned to each program according to the aforementioned allocation percentages. So that was from the Executive Committee, and I am

25

1

2

going to assume that does not need any kind of action from the full Board unless somebody halts me and tells me that, yes, it does.

Interim Executive Director Summer: I believe for the purposes of having future revenues, I think the Committees have already acted on the 80/20 with the funds that were in hand, but I think to kind of bind up future revenues that come in this fiscal year, I think it would be helpful for staff if the Board did -- did approve.

Chairman Wilson: All right.

Interim Executive Director Summer: Thank you.

Chairman Wilson: We'll halt it, Will.

All right, so I will entertain a motion that we -- I'm sorry. This is a recommendation from the Executive Committees, so it does not need a second. Is there any discussion of this recommendation from the Executive Committee before I call the roll for your votes; okay, Ann?

Vice Chairman Browning: Yes.

Chairman Wilson: Greer?

Ms. Caywood: Yes.

Chairman Wilson: Amy?

Ms. Grissom: Yes.

Chairman Wilson: Renee?

1 Restoration Committee Chairman Kumor: Yes. 2 Chairman Wilson: Mike? 3 Mr. Rusher: Yes. 4 Chairman Wilson: Jason? 5 Acquisition Committee Chair Walser: Yes. 6 Chairman Wilson: Darrel; Darrel, I'm 7 not hearing you. Darrel, you're muted. Can you unmute 8 or just give me a thumbs up; yes, okay. 9 Chairman Wilson: And David? 10 Mr. Womack: Yes. 11 Chairman Wilson: And John is a yes. 12 Darrel, I think you were exactly opposite always of 13 where you thought you were. It looked like you were 14 speaking, anyway. Okay, thank you; now for item 1(b), 15 the Acquisition Committee recommendations, I'll kick it 16 over to Chair, Jason Walser. 17 Acquisition Committee Chair Walser: Okay, 18 thank you, Chairman Wilson; I am going to give an 19 overview of what we did yesterday, but to show the 20 public we have not rehearsed anything, I'm actually 21 going to diverge a little bit from where I think our 22 Committee was -- was thinking that we were going to go 23 yesterday. And I'm going to ask the Board to follow me there, and I'll explain why. But before I do, let me 24

give a recap of the actions we took yesterday based on

25

the 80/20 split of funding. We acted as if we needed to go ahead and allocate the funding that we knew we already had and then a provisional list, and then we added to the provisional list based on some projects having fallen through in the last couple of years to make sure we would have more than enough projects funded. We basically allocated funds from a perspective of if we have 13.8 million with a million dollars going to military, and then all the way up through a provisional budget of a little more than 21 million if nothing else changed. In the background of our mind, we knew that we have this budget looming out there that uses the -- well, I'm going to use Reid's word, significant resources, but has the potential to be a real game changer for our Committee. The over underlying reason that we met yesterday, I was going to say overarching, was to make sure that we could get staff moving on some of these projects, but through the course deliberations, we talked about the funding cap, which I will come back to in a minute. We talked about the donated mini-grant program, which I will come back to in a minute. We reviewed every project in detail. Fifty-two projects, we saw the full PowerPoint presentation. We spent I want to say somewhere in the order of eight hours and fifteen minutes or was it

25

seven hours and fifteen minutes together? We had a lot of really healthy discussion. It's all public. weren't able to participate, you can watch the recording, but we learned about the Battle of Bentonville and the Alamance Courthouse. We learned about UFO sites and The Bear Lady from the Alligator It was really quite an entertaining day. to Damon and Justin who kept the presentations rather interesting and -- always interesting and really exciting, too. There's some great projects on the list. We reviewed every one to see if there were any that we immediately thought we needed to pull out. We didn't have to make that decision yesterday. But as I went home last night and wrestled with this, we have a recommendation to you today of projects. I don't think we have anything in our recommendations that mentions the time. I'm going to go ahead and jump to sort of my thinking right now, which is that in the midst of making the decisions yesterday that we made, we ended up moving a project up, which meant that one project moved down off the provisional list. We created a situation where there might be some difficult conversations between our partner organizations and landowners about what got funded and what didn't get funded, when in reality we might have some really

25

interesting conversations in three or four weeks if a budget is passed, and we need to go back and revisit those that didn't get funded. And lastly, but not leastly, we really spent a lot of mental energy on the caps, which we've done in the past under a mind-set of scarcity, which in fact may not be as relevant in a mind-set of abundance, which we may have. So we went ahead and took action, and I was thinking about last night the reasons that we would do this to go ahead and get staff working on contracts versus the difficult conversations that may take place and the real consequences that may take place as a result of us making these recommendations. So I'll go through real quickly the decisions we made at a high level. And then I'm going to ask the Board to consider not acting on the recommendation of the Acquisition Committee, and we will have a -- hopefully a healthy discussion about that. I will lay out my case. We decided to dedicate 2 percent of the funds we get of the funds that we had available to the donated mini-grant program, which is consistent with what we've done in years passed. did come up with a funding cap of 1.2 million dollars, which was again based on a funding cap that we've had the last several years in our funding of scarcity perspective. And we looked at funding. I believe I've

23

24

25

got a list here, nineteen projects directly with the funding we have, which included a little over two and half million dollars in military funding projects. then getting down to the thirtieth project on the provisional list, which was project 2021-050, Triangle Land Conservancy -- Triangle Land Conservancy-McLean I wanted to go ahead and give you the -- the sort of viable list before I go back and say I'd like to have the discussion now before I go through each If it's the Board's recommendation that we make a motion on the recommendation of the Acquisitions Committee today, we can do that, and I'll go through a detailed recommendation. But I'd really like to table the recommendation and not act, to not vote it up or down for a few weeks until we see if this gargantuan game changer takes place that really changes our need to even have these difficult conversations that may be had or more importantly for our partner organizations to have them. So with that, I'm going to open it up to you, Chairman Wilson, to sort of moderate a discussion. I've made my pitch. I can get into the projects as -if you see fit, but I'd really like to table our recommendation.

Chairman Wilson: Okay, thank you very much, Jason; so having participated in, as a member of

25

both Committees, the Acquisition Committee and also the Restoration, Innovative Stormwater and Planning Committee, I just want to briefly share my thoughts that there is a difference between the two, and assuming that Chair Renee Kumor feels like she wants to move forward with what -- the work that her committee this morning which I'm assuming she is. I don't have any reason to think otherwise. I would strongly support that, and I think one thing that Jason said that really has resonated with me and that I've thought about throughout the day yesterday and overnight and this morning, and I'm glad to hear this is a lot of difficult discussions that might have to happen over the next few weeks until a budget is in hand that really may not be either necessary discussions to have or just the potential for perhaps misinterpretation of current limitations that may prove not to be limitations quite to the same degree, projects that were funded for the time being at a cap, projects that were not funded or provisionally funded at all. And I think given also what I have heard, and I'm going to knock on wood, and I'm going to say I don't know anything more than anybody else here does, but it does feel to me more likely that a budget is imminent right now than it did to me the same time yesterday. Again,

I hope I haven't jinxed it. I'm going to knock on

wood, but I'm optimistic about that. So, Jason, I

support that suggestion and would just open it up to

any other comments.

Mr. Womack: Mr. Chairman, this

is David. I couldn't agree more. I mean if we used it

once, we used a thousand times about what a moving

once, we used a thousand times about what a moving target we had coming up in the fog. And in my opinion, I think tabling any action that the Acquisition Committee recommended yesterday is a good idea.

Ms. Caywood: And, Mr. Chairman, I completely concur. Over the years I think many have heard that I am not in favor of caps. I haven't liked the caps from the beginning. I've seen them as a necessary evil because of the funding that we had available for all of the great projects that are brought to us. So I think this is a great move, and I'm completely supportive.

Ms. Grissom: So, Chairman Wilson, I'm on board with this plan. I think it's a shift from where we were yesterday, but we were all struggling with these issues yesterday, and I think it makes perfect sense to do it. I'm glad we spent the time and did the deep dives and saw every single project. That was a day well spent, but I'm very happy with delaying

	Page 39
1	this until our next meeting.
2	Ms. Browning: This is Ann. Can
3	you hear me?
4	Chairman Wilson: Yes.
5	Ms. Browning: I just wanted to
6	thank you for your (Team's distortion) after a very
7	long day and I think (Team's distortion) your
8	recommendation.
9	Court Reporter: Excuse me. This is
10	the court reporter. Who was that that just spoke? I
11	couldn't hear her at all.
12	Chairman Wilson: Ann Browning.
13	Ms. Browning: Ann Browning.
14	Court Reporter: Okay, I see you on
15	there now, but for a minute I couldn't hear you at all;
16	thank you.
17	Chairman Wilson: Would you like Ann
18	to repeat her comment?
19	Court Reporter: That would be great.
20	I got most of it, but I might have missed a couple of
21	words. That would be great, Ann, if you could do that.
22	Ms. Browning: Okay, I just wanted
23	to thank Jason for his thoughts and reflections after a
24	very long day, and I think it makes complete sense for
25	us to table this another day.

	Page 40
1	Acquisition Committee Chair Walser: Thank
2	you, Ann.
3	Chairman Wilson: Any more thoughts,
4	so we have a please feel free to speak up, Trustees,
5	if there are any more thoughts or comments about this.
6	Let me just toss out something now. We do have a
7	recommendation from the Acquisition Committee, and so
8	as part of that, we have two choices. We could either
9	vote to table that recommendation; we could also vote
10	down that recommendation, but to me it feels perhaps
11	more appropriate to vote to table it. Yes, I'm seeing
12	some nods. Is that okay with everyone? All right, but
13	before we move in that direction, let me just again
14	pause and see if there's any more comment from
15	Trustees. Nope; okay, I would entertain a motion to
16	table the recommendation from the Acquisition
17	Committee.
18	Acquisition Committee Chair Walser: Jason
19	Walser would like to make that motion to table the
20	recommendation of the Committee.
21	Ms. Caywood: And I second.
22	Chairman Wilson: Okay, and, Greer,
23	and I heard you second, is that correct?
24	Ms. Caywood: Yep.
25	Chairman Wilson: Okay, any more

1	discussion; all right, we're voting on the motion to
2	table the recommendation from the Acquisition Committee
3	for the time being. Ann, how do you vote, Ann? I
4	didn't hear you. It looks like you're muted Ann. At
5	least on my screen you are. Can you give me a thumbs
6	up/thumbs down? Maybe she's gone. Chime in anytime,
7	Ann.
8	Chairman Wilson: Greer?
9	Ms. Caywood: Yes.
10	Chairman Wilson: Amy?
11	Ms. Grissom: Yes.
12	Chairman Wilson: Renee?
13	Restoration Committee Chairman Kumor: Yes.
14	Chairman Wilson: Mike?
15	Mr. Rusher: Yes.
16	Chairman Wilson: Jason?
17	Acquisition Committee Chair Walser: Yes.
18	Chairman Wilson: Darrel?
19	Mr. Williams: Yes.
20	Chairman Wilson: David?
21	Mr. Womack: Yes.

Chairman Wilson: And John is a yes, and let me try Ann one more time. Ann looks frozen.

Maybe she texted me, nope. All right, well, we considered that done; Jason, any more from the

22

23

24

Acquisition Committee?

Acquisition Committee Chair Walser: No, that's my report; thank you. I really appreciate this action. I think it's a good one, and I hope our Land Trust and the local government partners will be patient, but I think it's in their best interest that we do this, so thank you.

Chairman Wilson: And I'm sorry. I just want to say I see in the chat that Ann has said yes also. Go ahead, Greer.

Ms. Caywood: I'm just curious about the mini-grant program, if it can be suspended for the month or if we need to go ahead and allocate those funds so that program can continue.

Chairman Wilson: Great question; Will and Nancy, can we defer to you on that, please?

Interim Executive Director Summer: So, John,

I believe the way that the mini-grant program was
authorized in the previous year was that the pot that
was set aside from last year takes us all the way past
the end of the fiscal year until a new funding award is
made. So it would have expired today had the Board
made this year's funding decision. I'll let Nancy
chime in, but I believe because you deferred the
funding decision, that program is set to run until next

	Page 43
1	year's this year's funding decision is made. I
2	think we did that on purpose so that we could not have
3	a not have a gap between in the programs. So,
4	Nancy, am I getting that correct?
5	Ms. Guthrie: That is how it's
6	been worded, that the funding for the mini-grants runs
7	from the day of the Board decision through the funding
8	meeting of the following year. I will say we have one
9	application now. I'm expecting another one within the
10	next few weeks that would be reviewed in October with a
11	potential recommendation. So I'll just give you the
12	status that there are also two applications that are
13	current or very soon. And there is we have not
14	reached the maximum satisfied from last year.
15	Acquisition Committee Chair Walser: Put
16	another way, there are funds remaining in the program
17	from last year?
18	Ms. Guthrie: Correct.
19	Acquisition Committee Chair Walser: Okay,
20	thank you.
21	Chairman Wilson: Okay.
22	Acquisition Committee Chair Walser: Good
23	question, Greer.
24	Chairman Wilson: Yeah, they are good,
25	and, Jason, I don't want to step on your I feel like

we're on still your Acquisition Committee, and I may be stepping on it by --

Acquisition Committee Chair Walser: No, no.

Chairman Wilson: Okay.

Acquisition Committee Chair Walser: I'm good.

Chairman Wilson: All right, thank you; thank you, Greer and Nancy and Will; all right, we will move on to item two, and that is consideration of the Restoration, Innovative Stormwater, and Planning Committee recommendations over to Chair Renee Kumor, please.

Restoration Committee Chairman Kumor: Mr.

Chairman, based on what acquisition has done through

Jason's leadership and concern for what will be

happening, I don't have a problem moving forward with

our recommendations simply because it will give our

staff a month's lead time to at least start working on

these contracts, which are often smaller contracts than

Acquisition, but I would defer to my Committee to

comment on whether or not they want us to table our

actions or move forward. So that would be Darrel or

Mike or Ann or you, and I'm open for that discussion.

If you all feel -- we feel confident in moving forward,

1 we will do that.

Chairman Wilson: Personally, I feel comfortable moving forward, and I think what you said about enabling projects to move forward, and also Steve reminded us of something today regarding construction contracts. And, Steve, if you're -- I know you're out there. Could you say, again, Steve regarding date of funding and -- and moving forward and just and also to what degree it would help you if we -- if we were to move forward today in terms of getting contracts, et cetera?

Mr. Bevington: Yeah, I think just in terms of staff resources moving forward obviously would be great. I think for our successful awardees, a grant that would be awarded under this and be successful. There might be some adjustment later coming with some of these grants, which would be a little confusing, but I think there's quite a few we can move forward with. So I have -- I do appreciate your interest in giving us something to plunge into to get these projects started. What you're alluding to, and I mentioned earlier, to the Committee about the contracts is that for any project -- state contract that the deploy from Land and Water that has construction in it, we have a one year ticking deadline

25

that's set statutorily by the State Legislature to get the subcontract for construction in place. however, ends on the award date. So I think, and Will can correct me on this, I think if you tabled it, I quess not helping this discussion any, your decision here, if you tabled it for a month, I think that would delay that deadline. So there has been times we've met as late as -- or you have met as late as December and that starts the date. So I think -- Will is nodding, I So that's sort of a non-issue, but I do appreciate you bringing that back up because construction projects do have a very narrow window, which is often challenging with, especially people on the provisional list. So thanks for that, but certainly with the planning grants particularly, I think waiting is a real disadvantage. They may take their planning efforts and turn them into projects as early as next February towards us. So if we delayed it until October, with that portion of the awards, people will be in a poorer position to be able to make that deadline. Most wouldn't be able to make it anyway, but it would sort of make it almost impossible. So I think at least for the planning awards, I do think there's a real cost to dealing even a month if you are ready to move.

3

5

7

9

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

2021

22

23

24

25

Restoration Committee Chairman Kumor: Okay, then let me give you the explanation of what we did this morning, and we had 3.16 million available to make an award money in hand. We had the expectation of some license plates moneys and some reverted funds, and for that we based some of provisional recommendations. reviewed thirty-four applications, and that included twelve Planning and two Innovative Stormwater and thirty Restoration projects. Although it has not been funded, I recused myself from discussion of the 2021-409 Restoration Project here in my neighborhood. Restoration Committee is going to be making a motion, is making a motion for the following projects identified by their application numbers as stated There are nine projects in the planning program that we recommend to be funded, the amounts set forth below, and they do not exceed \$75,000.00 for a total of \$464,567.00 or 15 percent of our available funding. We recommend funding planning project number 810, 807, 801, 811, 808, 809, 802, 803, 806. We recommend the following Innovative Stormwater Projects for which funding will not exceed \$250,000.00 for a total of \$350,000.00 or an award of 11 percent of our available funding. Those projects are 1001 and 1002. recommend in the Restoration Projects, nine projects to

24

25

be funded in the amounts set forth not to exceed \$400,000.00 for a total \$2,310,638.00 or 74 percent of the available funding. Those projects under Restoration are 404, 410, 408, 414, 421, 417, 415, 402, 419. Those projects under those three grant application types are recommended for funding. The following nine projects we recommend to be provisionally funded in order below, and those of you who have been with us before, you know that Planning, Restoration, and Innovative Stormwater likes to mix and match, and when we get into provisional, we move things around. We start with, our first recommendation for provisional funding is a Restoration project number 416. Our second recommendation is a Planning project Our third recommendation for provisional funding is a Planning project 805, and our fourth recommendation for provisional funding is a Planning project 812. The next projects we recommend for provisional funding are project -- are Restoration projects, project 401, project 405, project 411, project 403 and project 412. We have listed these in order of provisional starting with the first as I mentioned to the last, and it is our expectation for that for the funds in hand and the funds that staff expects to arrive before the end of our fiscal year

that we might reasonably fund most of these projects, at least down to project 6, 7 and 8. We used the cap for Restoration projects at \$400,000.00. We used Planning Project cap of \$75,000.00, and I got to say, Greer, nobody came in over our cap. And for Stormwater we've used a cap of \$250,000.00, again, based on the fact that we had one very expensive project and one not quite expensive project. The -- this motion was approved by the Restoration Committee this morning, and we bring it forward to you.

Chairman Wilson: Thank you, Renee; I have a question for Will and Steve. Is it possible to pop that up onto the screen just so we can give it a quick eyeball?

Mr. Bevington: Yes, I can share the notes that Renee was reading from if that's you're speaking about, Mr. Chairman.

Restoration Committee Chairman Kumor: Yes.
Chairman Wilson: Please.

Mr. Bevington: All right, hang on.

I have so many screens open, I'll find it one second.

Mr. Williams: While that's coming up, I'll ask a question. I know Steve mentioned earlier the impact if this is put on hold for a month for the Planning grant, for the Planning applications.

25 for the

What about the other two; do you see an impact whether they are approved today or not?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Bevington: I think there is The field reps might have a better sense of that some. than I, but some of the stream restoration field work is season sensitive. So there are difficulties incumbent. Sometimes you miss a season. It tends to happen at the end of the project when plantings are done. So it's a little hard to anticipate who would be hurt by a delay, but it's certainly possible that a delay of a month could make someone miss a window either for a trout moratorium out in the mountains or a planting window before it gets too hot to plant, stakes or shrubs or -- or even seeds. So I don't have an exact answer to that. I think on the average with some luck and spread them out through the year, if we -- if it's a month, it's probably a one out of twelve will miss a whole six months, something like that. So yeah, there is some I wouldn't say urgency, but with construction projects, a small delay sometimes means a six-month delay, yeah.

Mr. Williams: And I guess the follow-up question would be, are there any risks with approving these based on the -- the budget, approving all of them?

1 Mr. Bevington: Again -- yeah, 2 again, the field reps are really the experts because it 3 is a communication issue. I think most people receive 4 this as good news. If they understand the situation 5 with us not having a firm budget, at least we're giving 6 them interim news. Even if they just missed the list, 7 they could hope for action in the State Legislature. 8 So I -- I don't know. That's sort of a half political, 9 That's my take on it, is that half communication read. 10 I think with the smaller number of projects in the 11 acquisition angle, it might be more manageable. 12 Unfortunately, our heavily overloaded field reps would 13 probably have some phone calls to make to explain 14 these, and I'd probably let either of them answer that 15 directly. 16 Mr. Williams: Okay. The only thing that 17 Mr. Mercer: 18 I would add to that is looking at some of the projects 19 that have been capped, given an award of \$400,000.00, 20 they may need to move forward with -- with designing a 21 project of reduced scope that potentially could be 22 undone should you decide to remove or change a cap at a 23 subsequent meeting, but I'm not aware of anything 24 urgency-wise beyond what -- what Steve described.

Mr. Hearne:

25

Yeah, I'm not really

into -- especially getting projects going folks to tend to wait for contracts anyway to get things moving in the spring. I don't see a one-month decision difference make a huge difference for folks on -- on the beginning end. It could have compounding complications. But I can't speak to the benefits of waiting relative to the budget and what might be in the budget and ins and outs of that. That's a question for one of the other folks.

Restoration Committee Chairman Kumor: I
think the only thing I want to tell the Board is that
we left on the table five projects that should our
budget be increased, can -- there was no objection by
any of our Committee members to any of the projects,
just that we were running out of money. So we would
like to go back and revisit those that we were not able
to even put on a provisional list. And again, we
historically can see the Acquisition requests are much
higher dollar than Restoration or Innovative Stormwater
and Planning. And we think that should more funds
become available, we could make a lot of people happy
with a little bit of money.

Chairman Wilson: Steve, did you show us more than page one of that?

Mr. Bevington: I showed you page 1,

and I was waiting for the conversation to come back
this way. I could page through it, or I could email
out to each of you this document. I'm not sure of the
best way to share it at this moment live besides the
reading that we just heard, so let me know your

pleasure.

Chairman Wilson: Could you just give us a quick scroll through pausing at each appropriate point. Okay, so we saw down through, I think, 6 there, so I think on the first page we saw through 6, so maybe scroll down to where we can see 7, 8, and 9 and maybe --

Mr. Bevington: So here, here's the -- here's the last three on the first list, which was the Planning group, and then I'm going to move on down to the two, so you can see them as well, the two Innovative Stormwater projects, the first of which was capped, is the first project here was the first one capped that we've seen so far, and then nine Restoration projects here, several of which are capped. I think all but one of the \$400,000.00 numbers is a cap figure. One actually sent in at a request of \$400,000.00. And I'm probably moving way too quickly for everybody, so tell me to go back up if you wish, and then our provisional list is here. So this is the

draft provisional list as discussed this morning in order. So it kind of goes back and forth between a Restoration project and some Planning projects and back into Restoration. And from Mike we key our -- there's a lot of numbers here in the project number, but really, they -- the 100 series tells you what's up. So very low numbers below 100 are going to be Acquisition projects; 400 is going to be Restoration; 800 Planning, and 1,000, which isn't on this page, is the Innovative Stormwater. We used to have some other numbers that don't apply anymore to our funding areas. And that is the summary. I'd be happy to page through this, so let me know to stop sharing whenever you wish.

Chairman Wilson: Okay, Trustees, we have a -- yeah, please leave it up there for now,

Steve. Trustees, we have this recommendation coming to us from the Restoration, Innovative Stormwater, and Planning Committee. Coming from Committee, it does not need a second. Will there be any more discussion? Is there any other part of this document you would like to see again from Steve or any questions for Renee or staff or anyone?

Mr. Rusher: Yeah, I have one quick question perhaps for one of the Chairs. And just forgive my naivety here, but if we vote to move forward

with the recommendation for the five or so projects that Renee pointed out that Restoration Committee is not taking action on, like they're not voting to be funded, they're not voted to be provisional, is there something that would prohibit us to come back in October and just, you know, should funding change, does something prohibit us from revisiting those and award additional dollars?

Chairman Wilson: Not to my knowledge and I'd like Will or anyone else to or Hank or Steve, anybody?

Mr. Bevington: I'll just jump in and say, we specifically had a actionable item, which the Committee did not take advantage of, which was to not fund something, and there was no motion made to unfund or not fund something if that's the proper way of saying it. So to my understanding, you are still free to meet again and fund any of these projects that you see, any of applications that are still alive.

Restoration Committee Chairman Kumor: And thank you, Steve; Mike, that has been understanding as we planned our October meeting that we would revisit everything that was there with regard to those that could not -- were not funded because of lack of current in-hand funds, and we would have the ability to review

some that are capped and maybe choose to go ahead and increase the caps or erase the caps and go ahead and move forward. But I was really concerned that I not count my chicken before it hatched.

Mr. Bevington: Sure.

Mr. Rusher: All right, thank you for clarifying; I think that in my mind eliminates, you know, any hesitancy to go ahead and proceed with this.

Chairman Wilson: Thanks, Mike.

Ms. Browning: Question from Ann, just thinking about the cap if we did go back and remove the caps; just as a fact that, address how complicated that might be, just whether you think applicants would just sort of hold off. I know if it's a bit of a -- it might result in that action or how do you think they would proceed?

Mr. Bevington: I would just say, typically it takes two or three months to negotiate a budget. I'd hate to have people as Justin was pointing out waste their time redoing the budget for us. I often have to prioritize which of these contracts I can work on first. So I would clearly begin to work on ones that were uncapped. So I don't anticipate actually working on a capped budget here for two months say. That doesn't mean an applicant wouldn't catch --

understand this and begin their own work to see if -what they could do at a capped amount. So I think
people might interpret it differently; some would like
to move ahead, and some would rather wait to see where
the chips fall with the -- with the final budget. So I
don't have an exact answer to that.

Chairman Wilson: Okay, thank you; all right, any more discussion on this recommendation from the Restoration, Innovative Stormwater, and Planning Committee?

Mr. Williams: I guess my only -my only question is making sure that staff is
comfortable with their method of communicating to avoid
confusion. So I just -- that's the only question I
have. How comfortable is staff with this
recommendation relative to avoiding miscommunication.

Restoration Committee Chairman Kumor: Let me put this into perspective. Of the thirty-five that we reviewed or thirty-four, six are above what we arbitrarily set as our cap, so there's a lot of places for our staff to be working in. Six entities might be able to not be caught up in confused within the time frame that we would hope the Legislature would act.

Mr. Bevington: Damon and Justin, how do you feel because I -- I sort of answered that I

	Page 58
1	think we could do it, but you going to be the ones
2	caught on the telephone trying to explain some of this,
3	so.
4	Mr. Hearne: If we have clear
5	motions and understand what the Committee has done, we
6	can explain whatever we need to. People understand
7	complications. I'm not you know, we can we spend
8	all year communicating with our applicants, and and
9	I'm sure we can communicate what we need to communicate
10	with them.
11	Mr. Bevington: Okay.
12	Mr. Hearne: I appreciate you
13	asking.
14	Chairman Wilson: Okay, any more
15	discussion; okay, I think it's time to vote on this
16	recommendation from Committee. Ann Browning, how do
17	you vote?
18	Vice Chairman Browning: Yes.
19	Chairman Wilson: Greer?
20	Ms. Caywood: Yes.
21	Chairman Wilson: Amy?
22	Ms. Grissom: Yes.
23	Chairman Wilson: Renee?
24	Restoration Committee Chairman Kumor: Yes.
25	Chairman Wilson: Mike?

	Page 59
1	Mr. Rusher: Yes.
2	Chairman Wilson: Jason; Jason, I'm
3	sorry. I'm not hearing you. You're saying yes? Yes,
4	you are. I'm seeing your mute button, but yeah, Jason
5	thumbed up yes; Darrel?
6	Mr. Williams: Yes.
7	Chairman Wilson: David?
8	Mr. Womack: Yes.
9	Chairman Wilson: And John is a yes.
10	Okay, thank you; Renee
11	Restoration Committee Chairman Kumor: Mr.
12	Chairman
13	Chairman Wilson: Renee?
14	Restoration Committee Chairman Kumor: Yes.
15	Chairman Wilson: Yes.
16	Restoration Committee Chairman Kumor: We
17	have one more.
18	Chairman Wilson: Yes.
19	Restoration Committee Chairman Kumor: We
20	have one more item, okay.
21	Chairman Wilson: Back to you.
22	Restoration Committee Chairman Kumor: Okay,
23	the Committee brings forward a motion to approve a no
24	cost budget amendment to North Carolina Division of
25	Parks and Recreation project 2017-409 with the new

budget line item of up to \$80,000.00 for two boat washing stations, and the reduction by \$80,000.00 in the line item for hydrilla control to \$145,000.00.

This motion was made and seconded at our meeting, and we bring it forward to you from the Committee. And you might want to ask Steve for a little explanation about boat washing and hydrilla.

Chairman Wilson: Sure, Steve.

Mr. Bevington: So since we have a moment, I'm going to do what I did not do with the Committee, which is to introduce our trusty field rep, Justin Mercer, to talk about Lake Waccamaw very briefly. Essentially, there's a very successful project we had where we did something we almost never do, which is to pay for herbicide treatments, and that was essentially to remove a very noxious weed from an incredible lake that has a very unique aquatic community. It's one of the most diverse aquatic communities in North America. I think it tops --Natural Heritage Program comments on it. We're tops in the state if not the entire East Coast. Hydrilla swamps out other plants and other animals and just becomes a monoculture, so we were paid to help parks pour herbicides on it. The threat is that after a very successful herbicide treatment, the lake is recovering.

25

1

2

We don't want it to be re-infested. We looked at our contract, and we did not have -- we only had a one-line item in there just to pay for these herbicides. they have requested \$80,000.00 to switch that to a construction line item where they could build two boat washing stations, and that way any boats that travel to come to the lake to go fishing or for recreation or terrain or whatever, would wash their boats and lower the chances of re-infection of this great resource, so with that, the Committee seemed to be in favor of it. Staff was in favor of it. I do want -- Justin, if you could just take a few moments to show a couple quick pictures of the lake project, if the Committee would like to see that. Mr. Mercer: All right, I'm seeing no

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Mercer: All right, I'm seeing no nods, so I will move forward unless somebody stops me. And I will not go through a full presentation here as if this were a fresh application for us, but what I do have pulled up here is the presentation that I put together in 2017 when this application was funded for \$225,000.00. This is Columbus County. It says Lake Waccamaw, which we saw with some projects yesterday, almost 9,000 acres. It is unique among Carolina bays. Carolina bays are -- are a specific land form that are -- are relatively unique to coastal areas of the

25

Eastern United States as it is, but even among that relatively unique land form, this is unique in and of itself. It's larger than most other Carolina bays to begin with and the water is neutralized by limestone bluffs along the edge of the lake. Most of these Carolina bays or bay lakes have a slightly acidic pH, where this one is pretty close to neutral or slightly basic. But as a result, you just have that incredible diversity of fish and aquatic organisms of species including fifty-two fish species and several rare, threatened and/or endemic plant and animal species. Hydrilla, I think Steve pretty well covered it. It's an invasive exotic species originally introduced as an aquarium plant and found growing wild in Florida in the 1960s, first identified in North Carolina in 1981, and unfortunately made its way to Lake Waccamaw. crowd out native vegetation and forms a dense nearly impenetrable mass. It can block boat docks and boat launches, and ultimately beyond the ecological harm, it does hinder recreational opportunities. So their proposal was to treat 600 acres of the lake where there was a hydrilla infestation, and as Steve indicated, this has -- this has been extremely successful up to this point, to the point that not all the funds were for this grant award were spent on it. One of the --

22

23

24

25

1

one of the big concerns that we did have about this was, as Steve stated, we're treating it. What prevents it from coming back in? Just another quick note on the -- on kind of how special Lake Waccamaw is; it is designated outstanding resource waters by the Division of Water Resources, and according to the Natural Heritage Program, it is arguably the most ecologically significant single aquatic site in the State. It's home to over twenty rare or threatened species, many of which again are endemic to the lake. Just to name a few here, Waccamaw Fatmucket is state threatened. Savannah Lilliput is state endangered. The Waccamaw Spike is endangered and the -- we've got the Waccamaw Killifish is a federal species of special concerns. it kind of speaks volume when there is a -- an entire species named after a single water body, and I think that pretty much does it relatively quick there, but happy to answer any potential questions if you've got them.

Ms. Caywood: Just one thing, and again, I'm going to call on probably Nancy's memory, but wasn't it Trustee Robin Hackney who brought up the importance of doing -- former Trustee Robin Hackney, who brought up the importance of doing this project or maybe Will knows, too.

1 Interim Executive Director Summer: I cannot 2 recall, to be honest. I do remember that a Trustee 3 spoke up, because this was the highest scoring of its 4 -- of its peers. So it was singled out because it is 5 such an extraordinary resource, but I would -- I'd be 6 misleading if I tried to pull a name out of a hat after 7 three years. 8 Ms. Cawood: Well, I think that 9 is right, because she's from the Wilmington area and 10 most of us did not know anything about Lake Waccamaw. 11 So I just wanted to give a shout out to her for her 12 service; thank you. 13 Chairman Wilson: Nancy, you look like 14 you were going to say something. 15 Ms. Guthrie: I was going to say 16 that I believe Greer's memory is correct on that, because she was from that area, and there was at that 17 18 meeting quite a bit of discussion on the project 19 because of really a lower scoring project at that time 20 that needed some special attention, so yes, another 21 decision that we got it right, yes. 22 Chairman Wilson: All right, any more 23 discussion, and is it possible to see this recommendation from Committee on our screen before we 24

vote on it or has this been written up? I remember

Amy?

Chairman Wilson:

25

do come to fruition. So in the current version of the House budget, there is the following provision, twenty million to the North Carolina Land and Water Fund and the Department of Natural Cultural Resources. Division shall use the funds to provide grants to counties, municipalities and nonprofit corporations and other State agencies for projects addressing the purposes specified in General Statute 143B-135.234(c)(12). Now that's referring to our specific purposes. One of our specific purposes that I've put down below, and it further goes on to say that the Division shall develop criteria to score the projects based on the ability of a project to reduce flood risks. So if this language appears in a Reconciliation Bill or a Conference Bill between the two and passes, this would be on our plate. And I do want to note that because it requires development of criteria by the Trustees, I don't think this is -these are funds we are meant to spend right now this fall. I think we've got to develop criteria, go out and seek applications, and then fund according to that To dig a little deeper, that General Statute in our Fund purpose reads, to protect and restore flood plains and wetlands for the purpose of storing water, reducing flooding, improving water quality, providing

25

wildlife and aquatic habitat, and providing recreational opportunities. That purpose is kind of covered in some of the work we do, or it is -- sections of it are certainly covered in some of the work we do in the Acquisition Committee, the protection part. restoration part is done in the Restoration, Innovative Stormwater, and Planning Committee. I think to really get at a project that can reduce flood risks, and this is what I just want to put this seed in your head to be thinking of. It might be advantageous to kind of the benefits of both programs because the Acquisition Project can purchase land, but it can't fund, as currently set up, can't fund construction or design or permitting or that sort of thing. On the other hand, the Restoration Program as it's set up, it doesn't permit us to purchase land, but it does permit us to fund removal of structures, design, and construction. And I think some of these solutions are going to require that we both protect land and then improve its ability to reduce flood risks to really get at what the -- what the decision-makers are after here with this fund to really aggressively kind of begin to address the issues. So all of that said in addition to developing criteria if language similar to this passes, I think the committees, a committee has a pretty good

1 bit of work to do. So if and when this passes, we 2 really need to hit the ground running and get to work 3 aggressively so that we can get a program, criteria, 4 applications, requests in so that we can start putting 5 that, what in this version is twenty million dollars to 6 good work, so no action items, particularly since 7 there's no budget, but just be rolling that around in 8 the coming weeks particularly if a budget does draw 9 near to passing and language anything like this is in 10 it. And I will say that this language is similar to 11 what has been in House Bill 500. I've seen Senate 12 Bills with similar language, so I think there's an 13 appetite in both chambers to kind of take some 14 aggressive swings at this problem. So it wouldn't 15 shock me if a budget passes that something like this 16 appears in there. So I just want us to be prepared to 17 jump in and just be thinking about that. So, John, 18 that's all I have to say. I don't want to go get ahead 19 of ourselves, but I just wanted everyone to know what 20 may be out there. 21 Chairman Wilson: Any -- thank you, Will; 22 any questions or comments for Will regarding this 23 agenda item? 24 Restoration Committee Chairman Kumor: Renee

has a question. I wanted to know if there's a

difference between dealing with stormwater management and dealing with flooding, because aren't they both caused -- aren't they both the same thing or to my mind one leads to the other?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Interim Executive Director Summer: They are both the result of having too much precipitation on the ground in places you don't want it, and there's certainly a lot of overlap. When I think of stormwater, I think of -- at least when I think of stormwater measures, I think of things that are designed to handle the first inch and a half of rain from a 24 hour storm that falls predominantly in an urban area. And of course, you know, flooding is anything that happens both from a small urban scale, you know, behind Crabtree Mall to down east where 30 inches of rain fall in two days and everything in the 500 year flood plain for counties around is under water. So I think they're both, there's overlap, but I don't quite see them as the same. Let me let Steve who is our fund expert on that tell me where I'm -- what I'm missing, where I'm wrong.

Mr. Bevington: Not wrong, the thing
I would add to that is that just collocally how people
think of them. Stormwater also has to do really with
water quality. So it's not totally impact just to

prevent water all getting to the same place and being a nuisance flooding which it could be. It's also treating it to improve the water quality. So it's keeping things out of the water in effect, while flooding is keeping water out of things. So stormwater is one approach to it, but there is much larger concerns. When you're looking at flooding, you may be actually moving things out of harms way, getting them out of the flood plain, doing much more landscape-based changes with flood management, climatic issues, things like that. So it's partly dealing with scale, and it's also a fact that stormwater really has two parts. quantity and quality, and so it really, it does separate the techniques people use to address those two fields. I'm not an engineer, so there's another whole set of definitions we could get if you want them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Restoration Committee Chairman Kumor: I just think if we get into this, it's going to have to be really clear, because a community who has an ineffective stormwater management program might see flooding as their problem and not stormwater. And on the other hand, 14 inches of rain at one time clearly is going to start flooding whether you have stormwater management or not. So I think we might have to just be very clear, because we've seen it in our planning

3

4

5

7 8 9

10

12

11

14

13

15

16

17 18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

programs today, our proposals, that there are very small communities looking for stormwater -- to address stormwater issues, and I would wonder if they might not be more in the line of flooding issues.

Mr. Bevington: Yeah, expectations are really hard to deal with either of those two areas and especially with the stream restoration we do. For example, there's often a tiny benefit to reduce flooding, but it's so small compared to a hurricane sized flooding. I was thinking also earlier today, in the Committee we were talking about shoreline protection issues and they prevent wave action during certain kind of high tides, but in a hurricane tide, the storm goes completely over them or maybe, you know, 10, 20 feet deep. So again, it kind is a thing of scale, and the expectations have to be very carefully managed, because when people hear you have millions of dollars to spend on flooding and then they get flooded five years later, they are going to be hugely disappointed. So they need to understand the scope and scale of what's being undertaken. And, you know, as much money as some of these programs sound like, you know, distributed over 100 counties in North Carolina, it's really not much to address the threat of flooding that we all -- all face even those who live at the top

1 of the hill.

2

3

Chairman Wilson: Any more thoughts on this; all right, thanks, Will; we'll move on to our final agenda item, which is additional funding considerations.

Interim Executive Director Summer: Thank you, John, and again, this is -- this is put into the agenda before a budget was not on the forefront, but still worth considering because a budget might happen. So just putting these here to bear fruit at a later date, but, you know, over the years, I heard comment that if we only had the extra money, we should do this and that, kind of the once we get our most immediate conservation restoration needs taken care of, there are some other things and other interests. For instance, you know, when we -- you know, in the early 2000s, when we had large grant funds coming, we invested a lot in planning. And of course, it's just planning is generally good money to see that the things that are being brought to us via acquisition or restoration are well thought out, well planned for. And there came a time when our funds were very limited, that we had lots of projects that had been planned for, and I think the board kind of rightfully so at that time said, well, we don't really need to fund planning so aggressively.

25

We've already planned the pipeline is full. What we need to do is focus on the implementation, and I think we're back to a time where we can consider, again, putting some funds in the planning if the budget passes and moneys come through. And we used to do Riparian Corridor Planning Grants when the then Clean Water Management Trust Fund was in the business of focusing on riparian corridors. And I think those worked to great effect with our partners and resulted in a lot of well thought out and ultimately executed and implemented plans. So what's been discussed is perhaps providing those kind of plans, large regional plans, but with the added focus on flood reduction, watershed planning, and conservation planning that can considers the broader purview that we have since June 13. You know, we do historic and cultural sites. We do natural heritage and military buffers. So those are things that weren't considered in previous plans, and they match our current purview. And it's one thing that if we are funded generously, and we have a small amount we might set aside and focus on some of these things that I think a lot of Trustees have brought up over the years and have interest in, but the funds were just too tight to do anything, I'll say extra. So that's one of the ideas. Something we had discussed in recent years,

25

particularly when the Board considers a project that has undergone a large -- a restoration project that might have undergone a large rain event that has damaged the project and not during its infancy, but once it's established and stable and everyone signed off, moved off the site, everything looks good, and years go by and just one of these massive storms like we've had in western North Carolina in recent months comes through and, you know, collapses a hillside or just brings so much water down that some of the benefit of our project is impacted from a -- you know, a failed structure or something. And rather than watch that continue to damage or unravel upstream and do damage to something we invested in, it would be nice on occasion to consider having some funds set aside to repair that. So we save, put a little money in to save the benefit from our previously funded project. And finally as those of you have been on the Board for a while know, prior to 2007 we didn't always satisfy funds to steward our projects. Sometimes we granted them to our partner agencies. Sometimes they were included as a match in an application. Sometimes they were just not considered. I mean, in the infancy of the Fund, I don't think the emphasis on stewardship, the emphasis was placed on stewardship that we place on it today.

25

As you know since 2007 when we fund a project and we put a State or a conservation easement, we set funds aside in the endowment, and those funds generate interest that we can use each and every year to do the necessary monitoring to make sure that our investment in that conversation is protected in perpetuity. And Marissa has -- has compiled a list of projects that -older projects that for which we don't have funds set So third, the potential option, if we are so fortunate as to have an abundance of funds, is to strategically look at the list, find the ones the ones that are most at risk and we can identity stewards for and begin to kind of work out some of that backlog, so that, you know, ten years from now we're not looking at a big pile of unfunded mandates that we have to monitor and protect those lands that we have the resources we need to properly protect them. So again, I'm planting There are other ideas that we may -- that seeds. Trustees may want to discuss if we get back together later this fall or perhaps in the winter or spring and are looking at things that are consistent with our purpose, appropriate for our funds and important and necessary, but just that haven't come to the front in recent years because things have been so tight for so long. So, John, that's really all I'll say about that.

I again don't want to get ahead of us. I just want to plant that seed and have folks be thinking in the coming weeks of things that might fit that bill; thank you.

Chairman Wilson: Thanks, Will; any comments or questions for Will on this agenda item?

Ms. Caywood: Mr. Chairman, just overall I would love to, you know, just publicly thank the Legislative members for having this faith in us and believing in our mission and the work that we've done, and the stewards that we are to the funds that they and the Governor decide to give us, so I just publicly want to thank them.

Chairman Wilson: Amen, thanks, Greer; any more thoughts, comments from Trustees about anything or shall I say anything pertaining to the North Carolina Land and Water Fund or to Nancy?

Acquisition Committee Chair Walser:

There's a comment from Sandy Sweitzer in the dialogue about the impacts of the delay on land protection projects and then a question. Will the applicants be able to resubmit for more than the cap, and I think it's appropriate for me to address it as the Chairman of the Acquisition's Committee. We did talk about this some, and I personally wrestled with the cost and

1 benefit of delaying and tabling, and this is certainly 2 a cost and it's a risk. My thought was we're three to 3 four weeks away from what we hear from a very likely 4 budget that will be better and delaying another month 5 is certainly going to be an inconvenience. But in the 6 grand scheme of how these projects are managed over 7 time, it seemed like a cost that was worth taking this 8 chance on. So I don't have a better answer, and I'm 9 stumbling, but I did think about that. We talked 10 briefly about a new application process yesterday. 11 did not make -- we did not come to any decision on that 12 or take any action, but it's hard for me to imagine 13 that we'll allow applicants to resubmit or rework their applications in any way. But obviously, that's a 14 15 conversation we can have in the future, but I wanted to 16 at least address the question since it was asked, and I 17 wanted to do it because we talked about it a little bit 18 yesterday. And I welcome staff's thoughts on my 19 response as well, if they want to add to that. 20 Chairman Wilson: Yeah, Will, I'd like 21 to invite you to share the same information you did 22 yesterday at the committee meeting regarding the time 23 frame for the next funding cycle.

24

25

so as I mentioned really beginning very soon, staff

Interim Executive Director Summer: Certainly,

will be working on our 2022 applications. We generally publish those December 1st with our application deadline being the first Monday in February, which is to say that the next grant cycle is right around the corner. And if a budget passes, you know, it will pass as a biannual budget presumably, which means we'll know what we have this year, and we'll get to see what's in store for next year. So I think if folks can be bring their big acts and their bold projects that I know they all have been sitting on to us in the very near future, which is to say that squeezing in another grant cycle between here and there might not make a lot of sense for the effort. I don't think that entirely addresses Sandy's question, but that -- I think it addresses your question about the additional grant cycle. Chairman Wilson: Okay, I would like

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Chairman Wilson: Okay, I would like to conclude just by reminding Trustees to continue to hold those October 19th and 20th dates on your calendars, the 19th for the Acquisition Committee meeting and the 20th for the Restoration, Innovative Stormwater, and Planning Committee as well as the full Board meeting. We will be in touch with you, of course, regarding confirmation or modification potentially of those dates if and as necessary, but final thoughts, anyone; okay, thanks; I'll entertain a

	P	age 80
1	motion to adjourn.	
2	Acquisition Committee Chair Walser:	Jason
3	Walser so moved.	
4	Chairman Wilson: Jason, thanks;	
5	second, anyone?	
6	Restoration Committee Chairman Kumor: I	Renee
7	seconds.	
8	Chairman Wilson: Thanks, Renee, a	and
9	any discussion; hearing none, Ann, how do you vote?	?
10	Vice Chairman Browning: Yes.	
11	Chairman Wilson: Greer?	
12	Ms. Caywood: Yes.	
13	Chairman Wilson: Amy?	
14	Ms. Grissom: Yes.	
15	Chairman Wilson: Renee?	
16	Restoration Committee Chairman Kumor:	Yes.
17	Chairman Wilson: Mike?	
18	Mr. Rusher: Yes.	
19	Chairman Wilson: Jason?	
20	Acquisition Committee Chair Walser:	Yes.
21	Chairman Wilson: Darrel?	
22	Mr. Williams: Yes.	
23	Chairman Wilson: David?	
24	Mr. Womack: Yes.	
25	Chairman Wilson: And John is a ye	es.

	Page 81
1	Thank you everyone; thank you especially to our staff,
2	and we will see you next time.
3	(The proceedings were concluded at 4:55 P.M.)
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

NORTH CAROLINA WAKE COUNTY

CERTIFICATE

I, Diane C. Byrd, Notary/Reporter, do hereby certify that this Board of Trustees Meeting was taken by me and transcribed under my direction and that the eighty-two pages which constitute this Board of Trustees Meeting are a true and accurate transcript.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 12th day of October, 2021.

Dians C. Byrd
Diane C. Byrd
Notary Public

Certificate No.: 19933130099