

Deposition of: **Board of Trustees Meeting**

March 10, 2020

In the Matter of:

Clean Water Management Trust Fund Meeting

Caseworks Court Reporting

800.955.0541 | calendar-caseworks@veritext.com | www.veritext.com

	Page 1
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	CLEAN WATER MANAGEMENT TRUST FUND
7	BOARD OF TRUSTEES MEETING
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	DNCR Training Room
16	Raleigh, North Carolina
17	Tuesday, March 10, 2020
18	9:00 a.m.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Reported by Andrea L. Kingsley, RPR
2 4	
25	

```
Page 2
1
                     APPEARANCES
 2
 3
    BOARD OF TRUSTEES:
    Greer Cawood, Chair
 4
    Ann Browning
 5
    Amy Grissom (via telephone)
    Renee Kumor
    Dale Threatt-Taylor
6
    Jason Walser
    John Wilson
 7
    David Womack (via telephone)
8
9
10
    ALSO PRESENT:
11
    Walter Clark, Executive Director
    Hank Fordham, Esq.
12
    Steve Bevington
    Will Mann
    Will Summer
1.3
    Justin Mercer
14
    Damon Hearne
    Nancy Guthrie
1.5
    Terri Murray
    Marie Meckham
16
    Marissa Hartzler
    Sydney McDaniel
17
18
19
2.0
2.1
22
23
2.4
2.5
```

	Page 3
1	THE CHAIR: Everyone, we're going
2	to get started. And we'll start with a
3	welcome. I'm Greer Cawood, Chair of Clean
4	Water Management Trust Fund which possibly
5	after this meeting will be the North
6	Carolina Land and Water Fund. It will be this
7	mystic change in our name and who we are. Our
8	identity will stay the same, which is great,
9	but very happy to have everyone here. And
10	we'll start with a roll call of trustees and
11	we'll start with the trustees in the room.
12	MR. WALSER: Jason Walser,
13	Salisbury, North Carolina.
14	THE CHAIR: Greer Cawood.
15	MR. WILSON: John Wilson.
16	MS. KUMOR: Renee Kumor, Henderson
17	County.
18	MS. BROWNING: Ann Browning from
19	Davidson.
20	MS. THREATT-TAYLOR: Dale
21	Threatt-Taylor, I won't say from where.
22	Clayton, North Carolina still.
23	THE CHAIR: On the phone I think we
24	have David Womack of Greenville.
25	MR. WOMACK: I'm here.

Page 4 THE CHAIR: Judy, I think you were 1 going to try to join us. She might be able to join us a little bit later, but we do have a 3 quorum so we will be able to proceed. 4 5 MS. GRISSOM: Amy Grissom is here 6 on the phone as well. 7 Wonderful, Amy. THE CHAIR: In compliance with General Statute § 8 9 138A-15, it mandates that the chair enquire as 10 to whether any trustee knows of any conflict 11 of interest or appearance of conflict of 12 interest with respect to matters on the agenda. If any trustee knows of a conflict of 13 14 interest or appearance of conflict of 15 interest, please state so at this time. 16 MS. THREATT-TAYLOR: Conflict of 17 interest, appearance of a conflict of interest 18 because I now work for the Nature Controversy 19 of South Carolina chapter. 20 THE CHAIR: We will note that. The 21 first, of course, everyone please make sure 2.2 your cell phone is on late or turn them off. Start with any revisions or additions. 2.3 2.4 If not, I move for adoption of the agenda. 2.5 All in favor? Any opposed?

	Page 5
1	Thank you. I wanted to start a we
2	have some visitors back here. I would love to
3	have you introduce yourself and tell us what
4	organization you're with.
5	MR. HOWES: I'm Bill Howse from
6	Triangle Land conservancy.
7	MR. MANN: I'm Will Mann. I'm with
8	the Fishing Creek Soil and Water Conservation,
9	Halifax County.
10	
11	MR. BRADY: Charlie Brady, Blue
12	Ridge Conservancy, co-chair of the North
13	Carolina Land Trust Federation.
14	MR. LAMB: I'm Eric Lamb, I'm with
15	the Soil and Water Commission.
16	THE CHAIR: Thank you. We're glad
17	you're here with us.
18	We will start with our Consent Agenda.
19	As always, these were sent to you beforehand
20	to review, and so if I can have approval of
21	the minutes from the December 29 board
22	meeting.
23	MS. KUMOR: So moved.
24	TRUSTEE: Second.
25	THE CHAIR: All in favor? Any

Page 6 1 opposed? Great. There's a request to extend the date 3 to enter in a construction contract for existing grants which was sent out to 4 5 everyone. Any discussion? If not then --6 MR. WALSER: Move to approve. 7 MS. KUMOR: Second. THE CHAIR: All in favor? 8 Any 9 opposed? 10 I understand from Hank that, luckily, 11 he doesn't have a legal update which always a 12 positive thing. Thank you for all the work 13 you do you behind the scene for us in helping 14 to make that happen. Thank you. 15 We're very honored today to have Reid 16 Morgan our deputy secretary of natural and --17 Wilson -- Reid Wilson. But he would like to 18 give us a budget update which is very 19 important to our work. 20 MR. WILSON: Good morning, 21 everybody. This probably will be kind of 2.2 brief. As you know, currently there is no new budget in this fiscal year which leaves all 2.3 with less money than you should have. But you 2.4 2.5 all know that. Especially because you have a

Page 7

lot of projects that you want to fund. there's no movement on this current fiscal year at this point no one really expects any. The big guestion will be will there be a budget for fiscal year 2020 to 2021. anyone who says they can tell you the answer to that doesn't know anything because it's impossible to predict. But from the standpoint of the Cooper administration, we are all doing the budget process like we would in any other year and like we have always done and like previous administrations have always done, which is the Office of State Budget and Management sent a request to all of the departments and the department then sent a request to all of its divisions and these are requests for expansion of items. That can be operating dollars or capital project dollars, trust funds.

So Walter and his folks put together a solid, ambitious request for the department to consider. And we considered that and along with everything else in our department, and we forwarded that back to Office of State Budget and Management and we are -- our department's

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 8

leadership met with their department's leadership and had a great meeting, discussion. They understand how -- I'm not going talk about the whole department, I will just talk about the Land and Wanter Fund -- they understand how this program works. This isn't a situation where we have to explain what you guys do. They know. And they love what you guys do. So we had a great meeting with them.

Where it is now is the Governor is reviewing all of his options in a budget with his state budget director and other key leaders in his administration. There's no set date for when he will announce his proposed budget. Bear in mind though, the legislature is supposed to come back on April 28 so I wouldn't be surprised if he announced his budget around then, before then a little bit, but again, that's not set. So what's going to happen, again, no one knows but, theoretically, there is 2 and half to 3 billion dollars sort of available that isn't -- which is way more than is normally available in a budget year. This is because

Page 9

the economy's been strong surpluses, have been accruing, plus there was a whole lot of money in this fiscal year which wasn't spent. So it is available. You know, non-recurring dollars were not spent at all so that is all available. Now every department in government knows that and I think they are all ambitious with their requests. But that's okay. Because we made the best cases for our department.

So I don't know what's going to be in the governor's proposal but looking at his previous budget proposals, his goal all along has been over the course of four years to get Clean Water back to -- not back to but Clean Water up to 25 million recurring each year plus your 4 to 5 million of license plate dollars, but I don't know what he's going to do this year.

The other thing that's an added wrinkle but a good kind of wrinkle is from the Governor's executive order aiding on climate change, one of the products of that will soon be a report on long term resiliency, and she isn't here, but I want to give a shout out to

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 10

Misty Buchanan who has been leading our department's efforts to come up with really strong ideas about what sorts of things our department could be involved within long term resiliency in the state. But a couple important aspects of it are more money for the Clean Water -- sorry -- Land and Water Fund, to do stream and wetland restoration, to do flood plain buyouts, to do the sort of typical stream bank preservation that you have always done because that is going to mitigate flooding problems in the future because there will be more floods.

And in addition to the work that

Clean -- I'm going to go back and forth -
that Clean Water does, there's also an element

of funding for potentially for what State

Parks does in terms of returning some of those

areas that have been flooded in the past, I'm

thinking New Bern where people were flooded

out, their houses or their businesses were

flooded out. If, for instance, you all had

extra funding focused on resiliency and you

could buy out some of those areas, people move

to safer places, then those places that have

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

2.5

Page 11

been preserved could be turned into parks, trails, greenways so they become an element of pride for the community rather than one where people's lives are at risk and their businesses are literally under water.

Those are all elements of what our department has proposed for long term resiliency. There's a lot as well from our department about historic preservation and take that into account when you're dealing with long term issues about flooding.

On March 31, this resiliency report is due to the Governor and we have submitted our draft from our department and now it is coming back to us to review again to make sure we don't have any changes we want to make to it or to make those changes if we see them. So I think once that is out, that could help shape the Governor's budget proposal as well which could be in a beneficial way for this particular trust fund.

So that's where we are. It's all nebulous and unknown but that's what makes it interesting; right?

So I close the way I always want to

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 12

remember to close or open when I talk to you guys which is to thank you for the incredible work you do on behalf of the Governor, on behalf our secretary Susi Hamilton. This organization is so highly functioning, it's so impressive, the board, the staff, the stakeholders groups, whether it's a local government or nonprofit land trust, it's an amazing partnership that works so well and every time you all get together, I know you will you make smart sound decisions that will do positive things for water quality in the state. So thank you for all you do, you're doing a great job, don't ever change.

If you have any questions, I happy to try to answer them.

THE CHAIR: Any questions for Reid?

MR. WALSER: I just saw someone

from Chapel Hill where I'm a graduate of, Don

Hornstein gave a TEDx Talk on resiliency for

insurance purposes. Have you seen that?

MR. WILSON: I have not.

MR. WALSER: I will send it to Walter and you. It's a 20 minute talk about the North Carolina Plan, what the coastal

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 13

insurance plan is doing. I had forgotten we were presenting a report. It's interesting, how they're trying to encourage people to make better investments in roofs and siding and other things to accomplish resiliency so our waters can stay clean.

MR. WILSON: Good point. Thanks for having me.

THE CHAIR: Reid makes a wonderful point about the history of our trust fund, and it's really the envy of other states, just how well run, the faith that the legislature has in us and Governor's support, it's very, very special. So thank you for being a part of it.

MR. CLARK: Thank you, Reid. Like always, good report. One of these days we may know something about the budget, but we did submit, the division, submit a fairly ambitious request. We heard there was a lot of money available so we submitted a request that includes some funding for resiliency. We'll see how that goes as well as increased funding just for trust funds in general. Some of that will end up in the Governor's budget, hopefully all of it, and maybe this year we'll

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 14

have legislative support for some of that too. Thank you for that update.

This year alone, the number of applications that we receive shows the need that we have in the state for the projects that we fund. We had 144 applications this year requesting 82.6 million dollars, and if you even consider the reapplications when people last year, that's still a 26 percent increase. So that let's you know that there's a huge need out there for the resources that we have as we go. I just -- if you compare that with last year, last year we had I think 114 applications requesting 65 million. The need is certainly there. it's going up. I think the legislature knows there's in a need from the constituents that parks and greenways and trails are important assets for communities and economic sustainability. So there's a lot of support for that.

So the other big thing is our name change. We are changing our name.

 $\label{eq:theorem} \mbox{THE CHAIR: We will all stumble}$ over it.

MR. CLARK: We'll say Clean Water

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 15

forever probably. But in the next few months we will evolve to the North Carolina Land and Water Fund which in a way is comprehensive enough to cover all of what we do. Most of you know the history of Clean Water, when the fund was established in 1996, it really had a focus on water quality. We were doing waste water projects, storm water projects. And so the name was appropriate at that time, but now that we do protection for storage sites, military buffers, natural -- important natural resources, I think this name will better sort of capture our mission. One of the drawbacks is that it almost sounds like the Federal Land and Water Conservation Fund. So we're going to try to use North Carolina in front of that so people don't get too confused.

We have hired a company out of
Asheville called Design One which is going to
work with us on rebranding. We really see
this as a great opportunity to get the word
out about Clean Water, our work, all the good
things we do, so that rebranding will involve
a new logo, a tag line, and a strategy for
rolling out all of our new brand. So we're

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 16

hoping that will be ready to go maybe in June. It will take us all a while to call us by our new name, the internal work we will have to do with our forms that say Clean Water and in all those places and change the name there. But I'm confident that Design One, the firm we hired, is going to do a good job for us. And we have some creative talent here on the board with John Wilson, we're going to plug him in and get him involved in helping us with this effort. So stay tuned, John.

In your package you will find a little bit about our next board meeting in May which is going to be interesting. It will be on the Battleship North Carolina in Wilmington, May 18 and May 19, so that should be fun. You get there early that day on the 18th you will go on a tour of the Battleship. We will have our meeting on the Battleship itself and we will go to one of Wilmington's other historic sites, Bellamy Mansion, for a reception afterwards. And there will be some to-be-announced fields trips on the 19th, the next day. So please mark your calendars, plan on being there. It will be a fun meeting.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 17

I have one or two comments before we dive into the agenda. We're going to talk about the CREP program here in a few minutes. Steve's going to lead that discussion. You know we felt like it's a program Clean Water's been involved in for years. We just provisionally funded this year's CREP application. And we really felt like it was need for all of you to better understand it. It's not necessarily an intuitive, uncomplicated program, and so Steve's put together some educational materials and invited a quest here to talk a little bit about CREP. I know Dale has worked with the CREP program in the past. She might have insights. This is really a kind of a tutorial for me and for all of us. So looking forward to hearing that, Steve.

The other thing that Steve is going to present, most of you may remember this from last year, we had some discussion about our restoration conservation easements and whether or not they're being appropriately stewarded. We had an intern take a sampling of those. Steve will present some of the findings that

Page 18

Marcus found when he did that study. So I think there's some interesting information there.

And finally, this afternoon I hope most of us will go on the field trip to Brumley. What time are we starting that, Will?

MR. SUMMER: I believe it's 1:30.

MR. CLARK: I hope the weather

stays dry. That's it for me.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

THE CHAIR: Just to comment on a couple of Walter's comments. Thank you all for stewarding this great group. I want to give a shout out, I think part of the increase in our applications is some of the great work that Damon and Justin have done in working with potential applicants so that they understand the process, help guide them through the process. I don't want us to lose site of that. I think a lot of thank you's go out to you all for helping our applicants.

As Walter was describing, and thank you to the staff for putting together what sounds like it's a great, great board meeting down at the -- down in Wilmington. We've had

Page 19

some great offsite board meetings and one reason is because our counsel has allowed us to where previous counsel told us that we had to all sit in a room like this and not do anything out in the field together. So for newer trustees, you might not know, that's a really big deal for those of us who have been on the board for awhile. Thank you, Hank.

Any comments on Walter's comments?

We will start with public comments.

If any members of the public would like to give a three-minute comment, we're happy to hear from them.

MS. THREATT-TAYLOR: I just want to say to conservationists, public is not saying it, to all the conservationists across North Carolina, the work we do does matter. In my new role as executive director, I had to follow in the footsteps of Reid and I just want to give one little quick example of learning from each other.

You know when you go to a donor's meeting you need to tell why and thanks and then you always have to have a story. And the story I chose was the time spent at Peekatop

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

Page 20

(phonetic) on the deck looking over the Blue Ridge mountains and explaining to them that that's how I felt this is how -- why we do what we do. So that it lasts. And I told them that I stood there and I turned to John, didn't know who John was, I turned to John and said, "John, does it ever get old? looking out over the deck of Peekatop, if you stand there looking over the Blue Ridge Mountains?" And he says, "Dale, it never gets old." And that's the story I told in Greenville, South Carolina. I was trying to be a Reid, thinking of you, and I just wanted to say you all have no idea how we impact each other over time. By the way, I've been bragging on you all in South Carolina too, about the conservation bank. I want to put a word of encouragement out there. We go through the roles sometimes but don't think you're not impacting each other. David, Amy, is there THE CHAIR:

anything that you would like to add? Since you're participating by phone.

MS. GRISSOM: Nothing from me.

MR. WOMACK: I'm good.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

Page 21

THE CHAIR: You know to speak up whenever you want to, of course.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

2.5

Now we will move onto our business.

And Steve is going to start with the

Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program.

MR. BEVINGTON: I will actually start with our old slide, certainly in need of updating, Walter. So we'll get on that this afternoon. The Clean Water Management Trust Find Board of Trustees Meeting is also the Land and Water Board Meeting.

I'm going to take us through a little bit of the CREP program which a very unusual program for us in that, especially on the restoration side, we really accept conservation easements generally only as a match as a donation and this is one of the exceptions to rule where we actually will go into some of the details how this works. Pay for acquisition both in a term sense and a permanent sense, the program. And it comes to us -- we've been doing it on and off -- this agency has been doing it on and off since 1998, and it's changed a little bit but there's probably a time for change coming that

Page 22

we have some documents and standard contract requirements essentially developed 20 years ago that may need to get revisited. And we had applications to us to consider changing our template for the contract.

Those really aren't mature today but I and others think it's a very good idea to get up to speed on the same page of this program. So if those requests for changes to contracts behavior and contracts requirements change, we'll be in the best position to really bring you the best questions that you can answer at a meeting like this new future.

Some of those may reflect on timber management which is, as you will see, a major use of land as it goes through the CREP program. It doesn't begin that way but that's where we're headed.

CREP is a program -- and this is going to be a tag team effort. I think -- you will meet very soon Will Mann from Halifax County. He's going to tell you a little bit what CREP is on the ground. I will give you the central office point of view which is probably slightly warped and Dale and Will and Eric

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.2

2.4

2.5

Page 23

Lamb in the back I hope will point out their own particular corrections if we don't understand it fully. It's a complicated program in which we allow a state agency to spend federal moneys in North Carolina to further both their needs and the needs of the Clean Water Management Trust Fund in our mission. So it's a mixed and cooperative program that really has been, as I said, sort of an unusual program.

One thing we do is this 30 year end permanent easements, they're actually paid for again, that's not unusual for an acquisition program, to pay for permanent conservation easements, but almost all restoration projects have federal only as match.

The match in this case tends to be not only a large amount of federal and state dollars from other places and in-kind services from a large program you will here about minute, but also best management practices that go out and take current agricultural practices which are legal and permitted and important but being right next to a stream maybe not the best location and turn it into a

Page 24

win/win for water quality. That's what we will hear more of just now.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

So the CREP program is often thought of as sort of an either statewide program which it is not or eastern North Carolina program which it is not. It involves quite a lot of -- a large portion of the state, it reminds me of Justin's being an eastern field rep he gets pretty far west some years, it does take into account large river basins as far west as the Yadkin river basin. the project is something as I said before we've been doing for years and years since 1998. 18.5 million dollars has been spent by the Clean Water Management Trust Fund in seven phases of that. Through that we've acquired 27,000 acres in easements. I think an important fact is to realize two-thirds of those have been temporary easements. We will see examples of those. So a 30-year easement can be important in changing the land practice but it really is -- it's different than many of the permanent easements we have in other places. There is an option for a permanent conservation easement of 9,000 acres. That's

Page 25

an important distinction I think to keep in mind.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

We have a grant presently funded in 2017 that's open although such a popular program with landowners, essentially, that the funds have been committed by the agency. It takes a while to pull off an acquisition and deed transfer but those are in process. And we do have one from last year's award cycle that was just funded. So we have an open contract that we will probably enter into contract with with the standard contract language but differing questions about how to sort of fine tune the contract requirements to get the best water quality benefit out of this vehicle.

So with that in mind, we will see some examples this in a minute, when these things go into easements, it's essentially taking a row crop or other agricultural intensively used perhaps for grazing. We will see some examples where land is essentially intensive agricultural land adjacent to streams or major drainage canals, ditches that feed directly into streams within a 50-foot buffer,

2.0

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 26

sometimes a 300-foot buffer converted into a non-intensive use project. And most of those in eastern North Carolina essentially converted from agricultural land into timber. It could be a forest or it could be a working land with a 30-year easement essentially most of these projects are planted and harvestable species. There are requirements required for two different species. It is intended often with a 30-year easement essentially to be a forestry project and forestry lands.

These are pictures you may have seen before of Justin's slides of the variety of different ages. I think you will see there's some -- obviously some lands here that were planted silviculture sort of way, planted pretty much for future harvest. And as well as natural areas right along streams that we might think of being more typical of what we see in the acquisition program where we're really preserving natural forest on the banks and creaks throughout the CREP service area and they are well managed. We will hear about that from Will Mann in a minute, but through the soil and water districts there are

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 27

and make sure the requirements of this
management contract are in place for either
the -- indefinitely with permanent
conservation easements or short term for the
30-year conservation easements.

THE CHAIR: Can I ask -- maybe this is what you're getting into. What happens after 30 years?

MR. BEVINGTON: That's exactly the crux.

THE CHAIR: That was not a setup to make the Chair look like she knows what's she's talking about.

MR. BEVINGTON: I think that's an excellent question. Please interrupt me with questions because this is in a way a new topic for me because it's not our standard restoration program in though we've been doing it a long time. Our river builder programs out west where they plant on bare stream banks and we have a 15-year agreement with the owner not to cut down trees for 15 years. The thought is once you have converted land use from bare open soil to a silviculture project

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 28

or recurring plant team, the date of that contract period you're unlikely to revert all the way back to a row crop or very intensive use because it literally is an investment to remove the stumps and take all of it back. But it's sort of a hope.

And I think to answer your question, this slide here illustrates really well, this is a 30-year conservation easement. Keep in mind, historically, all the acres we put in in the CREP program, two-thirds are in this 30-year conservation easement. So the red lines are parcel lines in Halifax County, the blue lines are what was enlisted in Clean Water Management Trust Fund to help pay for the CREP 30-year easement in the blue circle. And it has this funny shape in a lot of ways because in this little doughnut hole where the red dot is right now, it's essentially more than 300 feet away from any water course. that part was excluded. But the whole thing was essentially planted in rows of harvestable timber. I think the intent is very clear here, that this was planted as a silviculture project. What's interesting is if you look

2.0

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 29

over here, here's the stream, also qualified for the ditch on the other side, this red line is a ditch, that's why that strip of trees was allowed to be counted you can see in the shading along the stream is a different color right where the mouse pointer is now, there's a strip of lighter colored trees that are hard woods. So that's 50 foot buffer. That's the other part of the river that we get from this is there is a -- before with Ag practices, there's no guarantee, in fact, in most cases, land use activities went right up to the banks of the stream.

With the silviculture project, the standard practices which require limited harvesting or best management practices which they can take trees from the edge but they are supposed to protect water quality if they do that, but the CREP program actually requires them to plant at different widths and different applications, but here's a 50 foot hardwood buffer which is less attractive often for the timber harvesting and also provides more natural buffer in the stream. That's one thing we're getting out of this 30-year

Page 30

easement.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

This is the same shot of the project beforehand. So it was a wide open row crop with no buffer essentially on either stream or ditch. So this large tract here was really just an open field which had -- we'll hear from Will Mann what his opinion is of these row crops being managed in this way, it's been converted to a silviculture project. So it's been planted but, again, I think it's almost inevitable that planning for this property is to be clear cut. So at the end of the 30-year term, the CREP managers will offer they can convert this if they wish either to another 30-year term easement or they can convert it at any time to a permanent conservation easement. So they could take an additional -difference in money, \$750 would be today dollars per acre to convert this to a permanent conservation easement or they could exit the program, at that point, clear cut the trees if they wanted, revert back to row cropping if we want to expense.

conservation easement?

Any questions about this 30-year

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 31

That's the change. What we get is a forest with a buffer where we had an Ag field. I think there's a lot of merit to it that. It's been sold to the Clean Water Management Trust Fund over the years as having merit in itself.

This is an example of a permanent conservation easement. This may look confusing. The red lines are the property lines and this sort of meandering easement line is following the streams on the property. So it's not a huge one. It's around 10 acres. But I draw your attention up here to the small field here in Green County, right there there is an intensely planted silviculture plot. I slide into Google earth time, this same thing, that yellow marker is that same field. So I will go back and forth one or two times. That's the open row crop. So when the landowner was sold on this program, he took that small field, two or three acres of a row crop field to get it into the CREP program, he offered all of that stream and this buffer that was already there, he rolled back in a permanent conservation easement so to give up

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 32

that little bit and be paid -- he was paid for this, he was able to convert that in a permanent way to forest. So I think the bank for the buck in this case is pretty amazing. This is one of the more efficient projects we see.

There's that square field and there it is in that same general position now, obviously, planted with pine trees to be harvested in some way, at least the current contracts allow selected timber but not clear I think this is where we get our strongest benefit. One of the fantastic things about this program, we have an ability to sell not only opportunities like this where you have a small farm field and you get the adjoining buffer in the program, we also have an opportunity to convert the 30-year easements we've been doing for years to permanent and maybe pick up some additional buffer in the process. So that's sort of the crux of the opportunity. So what I would like to do is step away for a second and let Will Mann from Fishing Creek Soil and Water sort of tell his perspective of how CREP works in

Page 33

Halifax County. He can give details how it works, how it sells --

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

THE CHAIR: Excuse me a second. We have an extremely special guest that joined us, Secretary Susi Hamilton.

SECRETARY HAMILTON: Thank you all first and foremost for giving your volunteer time to be here. I'm in no doubt per diem is going to make everybody really wealthy. We appreciate what you do. Clean Water Management Trust Fund, the LWF, is the backbone of what this department does and those two funds with parks and recreation really gives us a lot of opportunity to put this money back out into our communities and it's a real tangible way we accomplish the initiative of this department. We appreciate your time and thank you for being here.

This might be the last time for a while that people are all gathered together the way things are going. I want to kind of reinforce Governor Cooper has got a very strong handle on what's going on in the state. He has got his cabinet agencies working together and very, very closely. We're

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 34

talking about on an hourly basis as it relates to our agency operations. So we're very committed to making sure that not only do we not get sick, but we're trying not to panic. I think probably the worst thing that will come out of this will be impact on our global economy and it will trickle right down to our local communities and so we're preparing for that now. Hoping for the best. But certainly we are getting our ducks in a row because these are big ships they take a while to turn around sometimes.

Will, I will let you get up there and do your thing. Thank you. I've got to go upstairs to a film council meeting. Thanks.

MR. MANN: Folks, I want to thank you for giving me the opportunity to come and speak and I appreciate you guys having an interest in the local perspective on how these programs are working.

A little bit about me. I've been involved with our soil and water district about 21 years now. Prior to that I was in the fertilizer seed and chemical business. My background is strongly in production Ag, row

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 35

crops, livestock, that sort of thing. little bit about our soil and water district. We're one of the oldest in the nation. The reason I was asked earlier by Steven why we're called the Fishing Creek in Halifax County, back when we were incorporated in the late 1930s, we were joined with Warren County and actually our office at that time was in Littleton, North Carolina which is on the Halifax/Warren County border. In 1946 we split away. We kind of shifted and moved our office to Halifax which is our county seat and Warren County started their own district which is the Warren Soil and Water. We just kind of retained that name.

A little bit about us geographically, Fishing Creek is the southern border of our entire county and about 65 percent of our land acres drains into Fishing Creek which connects us with the Tar-Pamlico Basin. Having said that, that's how we got to be so involved with the CREP.

Early on we had -- I'm talking about early 1999 time frame, we had a lot of landowner meetings back at that point in time.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 36

We've always prided ourselves on having a good working relationship with the landowners in our county, whether they be farmers or absentee landowners or whatever, and we told them about the CREP program coming down the pipeline. Though we were familiar with programs similar to that in the past, this one offered a lot of opportunities we hadn't been familiar with up until that time.

Statistically, that's kind of what we look like today. Back in '99 the majority of our people were looking at both 30-year and 15-year contracts and easements. Up until this point in time, we were involved in just In a soil and water contracts alone. conservation district, we have county funds coming in, we have state funds and we have federal funds. We have always had a very strong federal program in our county and the conservation reserve program was one that we had been familiar with since 1985. And the way the conservation reserve program was different much like the CREP was, it was offered to a landowner in a 10-year contract, not a conservation easement. There was a

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 37

little bit of hesitation by a lot of landowners looking at a conservation easement because, truthfully, it was something we weren't familiar with and certainly something our districts couldn't handle. But they have done very well for us. Also at that point in time, the eligibility criteria were a lot more lenient than what we looked at today. That example that Steve showed of the aerial photography, that land is not highly erodible land.

Well, when we look at Halifax County, 65 of our land is considered highly erodible and there's a lot of criteria that goes into that based on percentage of slope, length of slope and soil type and all those sort of things. And I apologize for going back and forth, but to show you in comparison of where we were at then versus CREP coming in the door so to speak, the conservation reserve program that was offered by federal counterparts, it looked like a scoring or ranking based on the highly erodible land criteria where CREP, we looked at water quality benefits. And in looking at highly erodible land in our county

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 38

because we -- of the -- at the time we had about 130,000 acres of open land, about 65,000 of it was highly erodible. So there was a lot of potential there. Looking at the highly erodible initially with the CREP qualifications were if not only would a stream be a qualifying area, but we could also use a That ditch necessarily did not need to ditch. carry water. Also we could look hydric soil. So if you were to look at some the conservation easements in our county, they look real sporadic, there's no rhyme or reason why that easement is here and this portion of land didn't other than explaining that we could have a hydric soil no larger than this area that these tables encompass and that would be a qualifying source. Also, if the area was highly erodible, we could measure all 750 foot from that eligible source and all of that land would be deemed available or qualifying for the CREP.

Well, I will tell you folks we had so much success with our landowner meetings that once that signup date -- my memory kind of clouds me but I want to say it was March 15,

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 39

1999 that the application period started in Halifax County. Within 30 days time, we had 6,000 acres that had been applied for. At the time, the administration of the CREP program was really concerned because they said we were using it as land retirement program and that was not the case, we just had done kind of our due diligence as far as promoting the program and we just had a lot of case scenarios that were very eligible.

In late 1999, the CREP administration at the time looked at changing some of the qualifying requirements. We kind of trend back 750 foot to 300 regardless of it's highly erodible or not. Also the hydric soil criteria kind of went by the wayside because we were losing a lot of land. And I will tell you, based on my personal preference, I mentioned my background is in production Ag and livestock and we were concerned about what it was going to do as far as putting farmers out of business, drawing -- raising or increasing land rent or land lease on available crop land, and also losing some of our prime farmland in the county because, sad

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 40

to say, some of that land that may be adjacent to a blue line stream or ditch that has a flow 12 months out of the year, typically those are silty clay loams or silt loams that are very productive agronomically to a farmer. So those are things we had to consider.

If you will look there in the red, the 55 15-year contracts that were expired, the majority of our 15-year contracts, again, that was a contract, not an easement, most of those were geared towards a CP 21 practice which were grass filter strips along ditches, kind of buffering that crop field. I've got a picture of one here in that slide right there, and basically the predominance and -- in the background behind that yellow sign, that lighter, paler colored grass, that's a black switchgrass which is a native ecotype of North Carolina. We work quite a bit with the wildlife commission on generating seeding mixes on these grasses to make them conducive to production agriculture. Say, for example, if a landowner had a 30 foot CP 21 filter strip, half of it would be an introduced species much like a tall fescue or Bermuda

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 41

grass where they can operate the farm equipment around there and not have any infrastructure problems, and then the remaining portion that would be up against -- the adjacent to the qualifying source, that would be our native grasses.

We manage these borders through a variety of ways. Prescribed burning was preferably. We also did some control disking with tractors and tillage equipment and final would be herbicide treatment. Mainly, the management of them were basically to keep unwanted tree or woody species from growing. Because one of the things we did, a lot of this land had artificial drainage or subsurface drainage on it and with trees, where those drainage outlets were in that farm ditch, those trees would basically cause a lot of damage to that and start the back wood on the crop fields and damage it further. wanted to try to manage those trees as much as possible.

Again, in the beginning, water quality was always number one objective. At the time the Tar-Pamlico rules had just come out with

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 42

concern about how that would affect production agriculture, how that would affect our land management in Halifax County. That was one thing.

Wildlife habitat was a big interest for everyone, regardless of whether they were a farmer, an absentee landowner, basically someone that would come in and basically lease a farm for hunting fishing privileges. That was a big sale item. Soil quality. That's a hot button for me and, of course, today we kind have retermed that as regenerative agricultural soil help. We saw a lot of improvements in that because we saw less runoff, we saw a lot more stability in our soil structures, and also we're improving that soil all the time with that warm season grasses because of the root structure.

The final thing, that's called carbon storage. Those in production Ag that have used conservation tillage and cover crops for a good number of years, we have kind of kicked around and looked at carbon storage. I know some of my counterparts that have a lot of wetland reserve program easements in coastal

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 43

North Carolina, they have people that have sold the carbon credits off of there. personally stay in touch with a lot of carbon purchasers nationwide. A lot of it, sad to say, is private industry, is kind of dragging us government officials with them. T think that's going to be a big selling point for us here in North Carolina, especially those in the east. I say that because of all of our carbon soils. If you talk to any carbon purchasers, folks, we're well-known, even those in California, if you look at some of the biggest names in the private industry in carbon credit purchasing, all of them got their formal education in North Carolina regardless of where they are in the world. Talking with them, they look at parts of central California, Florida and eastern North Carolina because of those black land or organic soils. That's something that economically really improve some of our smaller communities in coastal North Carolina. We hope that those of us that aren't privileged enough to have organic soils and have mineral soils, hopefully we can look at

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 44

selling some of the carbon credits off these easements and off our production agriculture sites.

We talked a little bit about the financial opportunities. You know, at the time -- we look at the late '90s and early 2000, agriculture was doing pretty well. We had a lot of allotment and financial incentive programs for production Ag, but we could see on the horizon that the tobacco program was fading away, our two biggest Ag commodities in our county is peanuts and cotton. In 2002 the peanut program went away. So all that hurt us economically. A lot of landowners in our area had enough foresight in seeing there was going to be some changes on the horizon so they were looking at a financial opportunity.

Now we didn't look at CREP as far as a money making idea, but it always, like all things, you guys being an organization that needs funds to operate, much like our farmers, they're looking at every opportunity possible.

The stability we talked about the financial aspects of the commodity markets changing. This CREP program helped put a lot

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 45

of positions in there. I can't disclose a lot of personal financial information about our landowners, but I can tell you that at least 10 I know of that were able to save their farm through fighting off bankruptcy, paying off various debts or whatever, based on what the CREP program offered them. As we have progressed down the road a little bit, they have seen a little bit of return as well as the marketing of the trees that were produced as well. The recreational value, obviously the hunting and fishing improved with the CREP, and we were very fortunate in the way the easements were wrote, it's still allowed for that. And it's certainly been a big improvement on that. The aesthetic values, you know the older landowners, those that have the opportunity to take time out of their daily schedule and watch the trees grow or watch the animals live out there in the habitat, all of that has been a great blessing to them and certainly something we can account for.

The roadblocks on our CREP easements, you know, from about 1999 until about 2009, in

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 46

that decade, the administration that was in place of the CREP program, there wasn't a lot of emphasis put on site visitations. You know, I hold myself accountable just as much as the CREP administration because we were involved like everyone else. You know, I have a landowner come in from time to time and say, "Hey, I've got a problem here, my trees don't look well here," and we would always work with them on that, but as far as a broad scheme, broad stream of management or oversight, there wasn't that taking place.

When we started really looking at these easements they were a whole lot of issues. And one of the things that is hard to justify now but when we -- for example, for us in Halifax County, when we had that large glut of sign up in the '99/2000 time frame, a lot of our CREP easements as far as tree standability didn't get off to a good start. The reason for that, we all remember Hurricane Floyd that hit September 1999, well, in that Hurricane Floyd event, the tree nursery that's ran by the North Carolina Forest Service down in Goldsboro was completely destroyed, they

Page 47

lost all their seedlings. Well, for those of us in eastern North Carolina, that was about 80 percent of the available seedlings for two years gone. So our tree contractors, when we started establishing these CREP easements, we had to pull trees from everywhere, as far south as Georgia and on up into Northern Virginia.

We had a lot of varieties that were questionable as far as their quality, and the other thing we ran into with our loblolly pine seedlings, we had seedlings that came in with a fusiform rust disease which is a disease that affects the main stem of a pine tree. And, basically, as that tree grows and matures, the gall grows an exposure on it, it looks like a basketball almost. What happens is that makes that tree very vulnerable to high winds or any growth and that sort of thing, and those trees snapped off. I had one easement in particular that was over 100 acres that lost 90 percent of their trees with in five years down the road.

This example you see in the picture that's kudzu and you can see how the kudzu has

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 48

grown up those trees, it's broken some over. Kudzu was a big issue. When it was a crop field and farmers were doing some kind of tillage method of using some kind of herbicide, they were able to keep that kudzu at bay around the field border. This stand here at the time that picture was taken was about 10 years old and that kudzu had really decimated it. Of course, there's no known herbicide or production method that's 100 percent able to decimate or destroy the kudzu. Basically, we can manage it best through herbicide and cultural practices such as prescribed burning, that sort of thing. Those were things we ran into manage am.

The absentee landowners, that was very difficult. In Halifax County, we have a lot of heir property. We've got one case is a 50 acre farm that has 30 heirs to it. Who makes a decision on how it's managed like that. It's very difficult. We have a lot of land that's considered undivided interest so every party that owns the land has an interest in the land and the say so and to get that number of people involved as far as what direction

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 49

we're going to take and what's the requirements of the program, that's very difficult. And we've got landowners that basically inherited the farm, we've had folks come in the office and say, "Hey, I've never seen the farm, can you tell me how to get I'd like to see it." All sorts of there? things like that. Those were very difficult for us. We've got folks that live on the west coast that depend on us or the forest service or a contractor to maintain it. And, folks, we're not in the land management business, that kind of steps across the line in a conflict of interest. We can't make the decision for these people.

CREP versus the traditional CRP. You know, I mentioned earlier about the CRP program, when that first came out, CRP in '85 and '87 were two big years for everyone in North Carolina. Basically at the time, that land -- water quality was not the issue, it was just an erosion control program. So it was basically the entire field planting and all loblolly pine planted at a stand density of about 800 trees per acre which is

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

Page 50

significantly high based on what's required today, but it was pretty much planted that way because they were looking at successional things and, basically, at some point in time, a future of clear cut harvest. So it was pretty much timber driven. We had a lot of people early on in the CREP program that looked at it and said, hey, this is just like CRP, we're going to look at it from a timber management standpoint. But that was not true. Now we planted a lot of trees in rows and that was obviously done for management aspect. lot of these fields, crop fields and pastures that were converted into trees, they were planted with a mechanical tree plant in rows based on time constraints, based on the economics of it. If we were to hire hand crews and set them at different spacing -some of it was hand planted -- it would have probably added 25 percent on the overall cost and we would not have been able to manage it as effectively. So CREP, we had -- and plus the CREP, we had a reduced tree stand versus that 800 stems per acre, we tuned it down to about 434 acres. So we -- per acre.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 51

about half the trees there. So understanding how the difference in the programs -- and also while some lands qualified, why others weren't, and also about the conservation easements, that was a big block for us. Again, we didn't know how to handle an easement. I had a case where a landowner, her father had purchased the farm in the late 1930s from the Farmers Home Administration. When they went to close the conservation easement on there, they couldn't because by her father buying this land from the FHA, at the time the FHA retained all the mineral rights on the property. With that being said, they couldn't close the conservation easement. So this lady had to hire a group of attorneys and it took about five years of negotiating back and forth with the USDA in order to close that easement that was something that I really wouldn't want to wish on anyone. That was very difficult.

The other thing was the state, the CREP administration at the time, each one of these easements had to be surveyed and this technical staff was very limited. So

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 52

fortunately, there was enough money appropriated where a landowner could hire a registered surveyor to help survey these easements and that was a long drawn out time problem. Another thing was paralegal staff. All this factored in. There were people that planted their trees in 2000 but it was on 'til 2009, 2010 before some of these conservation easements were closed.

Folks, it was just a tremendous strain. It put a lot of burden on our relationship locally, and I know that there was -- what being done, what could be done here in Raleigh. So it was a tremendous undertaking. Looking back now, it's a lot easier to see some of the problems we made or how we could streamline them, but at the time we were kind of walking into the dark on this. And to have had as much success locally as well as statewide with the CREP program is an amazement. It's been very successful because we've had a lot of problems with it. Talking with my counterparts around state, I don't think there's been a program that we have processed that has been as difficult as this.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 53

It's been a really problem and that's just talking about the technical service employees.

You know, moving forward, we've got quite a few 30-year easements left in the county, we've got about decade or so left on those guys. And then we've got quite a few permanents. Our question is what is this CREP easement going to look like. Now you know, after that 30-year easement is up those that don't -- because everyone is in the 30-year has an opportunity to go to permanent. And for whatever reason, they may not want to they may want to stay in a 30-year easement. What will it look like in 30 years? Will they clear cut it? Possibly there may be a percentage. There may be some that will say, hey, I'll go in for another thinning to keep my trees looking good and keep them healthy. To have those that have clear cut go back and row crop production, will that be a I don't know. That is something possibility? that we as an agency have tabled quite a bit and that kind of hinders on what the USDA classifies it because in the 1985 farm bill with the highly erodible land provisions as

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 54

well as the wetland provisions that came about in 1990, we don't know because right now with CRP and looking at CREP, that land retains a production history so to speak. It maintains a track number. It maintains a field number and along with it, it's highly erodible or wetland classification. So the way the language is written right now, there's a possibility it could be stumped and go back to crop production. If something happens in that language from a national standpoint, because right now the, folks, the USDA has lost two major lawsuits based on wetland provisions nationwide because of farmers fighting it, I don't know if that language changes. changes and they say, hey, CREP's been in 30 years, it's reverted back to wetland based on the hydrology of the land, it may not be able, from a legal standpoint, or those that may receive USDA government benefits, they may not be able to put it in row crop production. that's kind of where we're at. Those that want to leave it in trees, we're trying -- and Eric and his staff has been very helpful, he's got a staff member that's been with the CREP

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 55

program just about since day one, and we're able to talk and work with these landowners and it's almost a case-by-case basis of sitting there with them and saying, listen, let's put together a game plan of what you want your CREP program to look like in 10 years, as it moves onto your children or grandchildren, what are their interests, because that's -- we've been at this program so long we're getting to understand the second and third generation of these family members to understand what their objectives are as well because they're going to inherit the And what level of management, that goes back to what are their objectives. If the children or grandchildren live two states away, they're going to have to keep in mind there might be some management aspects in there if they're not able to do it, where they are living geographically, they may have to hire a consultant or some kind of local service provider. We try to stay out of that as much as possible. The only thing we have an influence on, we have a list of contractors. A lot of them we work with that

Page 56

the North Carolina forest service has a list of certified foresters or forest land management that we're always happy to share that information with everyone. So that's the other thing. You know, how do we adjust the current practices. You know, our kudzu photo earlier, we have to make some adjustments in these easements based on that. We have had to go in and prematurely clear cut some of these CREP easements based on that kudzu because if we were to just leave it as status quo, the kudzu would decimate the trees. We wouldn't have anything to justify what guys put in it, what anyone has put in it. We would have to go in and harvest those trees, work with the forest service and basically get an update on progressive type forest management plan to go in and do some herbicide treatments over a period of two to three years and then go back and re-establish those trees.

Those are some of the things we're dealing with. Folks, I know I've been over my time and I certainly appreciate the opportunity, again, and thank you for your hard work on this program.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

Page 57 Will, thank you. 1 THE CHAIR: MR. BEVINGTON: If there's questions for Will, we certainly have time for 3 questions. 4 5 THE CHAIR: One thing, Will, to start off, there's recently -- I'm from 6 7 Winston-Salem -- in our paper about one of our greenways that it's overcome with kudzu and 8 9 they got a group of farmers that had goats who 10 worked on different patches of it to do it in 11 a way to help feed the goats and get rid of 12 the kudzu to remarkable results. I take it 13 these lands are too big for that kind of 14 thing. 15 MR. MANN: It would work. The only 16 thing with the CREP easement, there's a provision in the language you're not allowed 17 18 to graze it --19 THE CHAIR: So we can use 20 herbicides but we can't put the goats in? 21 MR. MANN: That's been since day 2.2 You know, livestock is still the most one. 2.3 effective way of controlling kudzu. And as 2.4 far as a forage, it's highly nutritious, it's high protein, very high quality forage. 2.5

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 58

MR. WALSER: There are significant costs in doing that. Having worked on some kudzu projects with land trust, the fencing, protecting the goats, it's labor intensive. It's a battle, it would cost a lot of money. It's a lot cheaper to do herbicides.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

Other questions for Will or Steve?

MR. HEARNE: You mentioned the program that I work with in the west that does temporary or term easements which is River Builder, and one of the reasons they say it's a great deal because it's a great deal value per acre. I know the acreage amounts you refer to are pretty darn good per acre and the leverage coming in is good. I always talk about the value of that program. When we talk about why term easements are more beneficial, they're not costing us the highest amount, they're costing us the lowest amounts. I have determined the dollars in this program are pretty similar.

MR. BEVINGTON: The numbers go up and down over the years, the math changes, depends on staff resources and the hard work

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 59

on the local end and the willingness of different landowners to participate. We have had had years where CREP averaged per linear foot an enhancement value of 15 dollars per linear foot.

MR. HEARNE: Which is crazy good.

Some of our MR. BEVINGTON: projects are over a hundred dollars per linear foot. The return is fantastic and I think we've got a sense for a little bit today of the complexities those of what we have bought essentially which is two or three different things and probably various by farm to farm. But the value of CREP -- in it's best applications is huge because of the high match and because it's just a conversion, we're not moving earth, not doing terribly expensive things to the earth, ground. It is one of the highest value projects we see and it's one reason it's been funded year after year.

MS. THREATT-TAYLOR: You make soil and water districts look wonderful. But my question comes in, I have been out of touch with CREP in the sense of who pays for the mismanagement practices? I know a lot -- we

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 60

looked at the trees, you know how we used to do loblolly all the time, now we're getting into long leaf which requires burning, and I was thinking about -- with the grass programs, those management practices over those years of the contract or easement, whichever one it is, require somebody to pay some money for some folks to come in and do the management or the farmer does it himself, but that still costs money. It used to be we could write that into the contract where year two or three with the implement of BMDs and that cost was in there. Is that still how it is or they just have to come out of pocket?

MR. MANN: As far as site preparation and getting the easement established, whether it's grass or trees, there is cost sharing money. If there's some management aspects after that, there is. Now if there's a mismanagement, say if the trees were harvested — they did a clear cut before the easement, that's the landowner's expense. If that grass field border gets destroyed or they plant a crop on it, that's the landowner's expense. Anything that's done

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 61

outside of what is required, basically what's legal so to speak, is the landowners expense.

MS. THREATT-TAYLOR: So for the forestry part, the management and thinning, all that stuff is written into the original contract, year two, four, whenever it's needed, it's in the original contract?

MR. MANN: It is. All of the CREP -- we didn't do a pre-commercial thinning. So all of the timber that is thinned and that would be after year 15 so to speak, all of that would be income generated from the sale of the timber. We never cost share anything like that. Basically, the only thing we cost share with the CP 22 or the forestry establishment is the site prep and the trees the themselves. In the case, like the fusiform rust, for example, we had to go in and basically chip or remove all those old trees and that was re-established with some cost share money in that case because that was out of everyone's hands so to speak that was just poor quality seedlings. But all of our timber, we work hand-in-hand with the forest service so we lean on their technical

Page 62

abilities on that.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

2.5

MS. THREATT-TAYLOR: I know there was a case one time when I worked with a little lady landowner who the neighbor said, oh, she's got some trees growing up in her field over there, let me go over clean for her. I've never forgotten that case which was not her fault but the program, so we had to work -- anyway that was not pretty. And I guess that will come back to our discussion later on about flexibility and things because this program is -- intense with our farmers and land community.

MR. BEVINGTON: With Hank's help and those spent time for templates, things written in, very prescribed activities that were written with habitat enhancement in mind by biologists working with Clean Water Management Trust Fund years ago. And landowners opportunities for other programs has changed so there's some funny things in there. I didn't think we were ready -- I certainly was not ready to discuss them today, but I think you're right, Dale, we're going to have some very good questions put to people

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 63

much -- Will included and Eric Lamp who is here about what should the CREP look like both for Clean Water's best interest and also for the landowners's best interest. And I love the earlier discussions about resiliency in eastern North Carolina in particular. a lot of room for improvement that probably won't hurt landowners's interest but as we heard, there are things that complicate the real world application. It's long overdue, we'll have conflicting interest, there will be people pushing to clear cut outside of the easement, people pushing for the 18,000 acres interest, 30-year conservation easement, most of them are due in 10 years or sooner. presently writing contracts that will have a 30 year life that may make little sense. That's an exaggeration but to have some inaccuracy how the real world is working.

We'll try to bring some discussion topics on these three things. I think this discussion is where this needs to go this year sometime.

MR. WILSON: What's the window of time in which you have to make decision about

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 64

whether to get out of the program -- like if a 30-year is about to expire, what's the window to decide pull out completely whether to look to another 30-year or to go to a permanent? And are there any restrictions on what you can do if you're out of the program temporarily? Like could you clear cut it and then say I want to be back in for another 30-year or permanent?

MR. MANN: No, sir, you won't be able to clear like that. What Eric and his team have been very progressive as far as approaching these landowners already even though we've got a decade left, say look you need to look at the permanent we've still got that 10 year time frame and, of course, this is new to us all and I'm sure Eric, I don't want to step over my bounds here, but I know they've probably got something in place. even those that have like a 15-year contract, that question is brought up, they said could I cut that 15-year-old tree, clear cut it because economically right now, because if we look at the timber industry, for example, that tree that's actually 15 to 18 years old on a

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 65

per acre basis brings more economically than the 30-year old timber because basically in our part of the world we have a poke wood market, chip and saw market, that's very progressive. The 30-year old tree, that's going only to saw timber and all our saw timber is export market. That's been depressed back to the housing. As we see, export markets currently in the last several months is getting even more depressed. right now that 15, 18 year old tree looks a lor more attractive. I've had people say, "Can I cut that tree and start all over again, " and, no, you can't do that.

MR. WILSON: To be clear, they can cut it and leave the program if their term is expired, but they won't be able to reenlist back in the CREP. It's a one off.

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Can your 15

year expire and you say "I'm going to scratch

my head and think about this for a year and do

nothing," and then come back after a year and

say "I want to do a 30-year or permanent," or

do you have to make that decision about

whether you're going to exit the program

Page 66

before your 15 year expires?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

MR. MANN: It's going to have to be before 15-year, and going back to our earlier example, because so much of our land got qualified because of hydric soil or the highly erodible provision, today, as far as the easements, the 30-year permanent, it wouldn't be eligible, even if we were starting with a clean slate. So those are things we have to consider.

Our guys, the 30-year easements, probably 35 to 40 percent wouldn't be eligible. We're going to work with them best we can but it's going to be hard to say they're eligible.

MS. GRISSOM: Can I ask a quick question? I just was wondering what exactly is allowed in the existing template for the various contracts or easements that have been signed in the past and the ones we're writing right now? Because some kind of timber management is in there. Is it just not clear cutting or are there other management practices that are allowed or not allowed?

MR. MANN:

As far as the management

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 67

practices overall, nothing has really changed. Yes, there's still some provisions for timber, but no clear cut, and the obvious ones are no dumping trash, no mining practices, no parking of equipment, because that's been a big issue for us.

MS. GRISSOM: You mean within the easement area?

MR. MANN: Exactly. And we've seen quite a bit of that. Those are kind of pretty much the low hanging fruits so to speak, but that pretty much wraps up what the management is.

MR. BEVINGTON: Amy, I will explain what I flashed on the screen. I wasn't going to show the slide because it was for a different meeting so ignore the second column. There is no proposed template at this point.

But the --

MS. GRISSOM: Let me stop for a second, I couldn't go to the meeting so I'm kind of flying blind here. I don't have the slides.

MR. BEVINGTON: I will say quickly, the standard easements are written today for

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 68

conservation easements, those require 50 foot minimum buffer zones. It has a requirement, this is through the CREP program manager requirements that they plant these two different species so it can't be one single crop. Thinning is allowed under very specific conditions as part of a forest management plan.

MS. GRISSOM: That was what I was wondering. How specific is the thinning? Of course, you're going to manage your timber stand and you need to thin, but there's a difference --

MR. BEVINGTON: -- it has some language in there about group selection of trees or single tree thinning techniques which typically are not used as I understand it.

Eric, do you want to answer that?

MR. LAMB: Beginning year 16, you can do some thinning. So there is nothing allowed at all prior to that time. And what we've done is we've worked with the North Carolina Forest Service to develop a policy that once you get to a basal area which is a measurement of the tree density, once you get

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 69

to a basal area of 130 square feet per acre it can be thinned down to 70 and 70 basal area is meant to enhance wildlife habitat. Water quality is our primary focus, we've got a second mission to improve wildlife habitat and that's how we're taking care of that right now.

MR. BEVINGTON: For those that are curious, I can share an e-mail, a current template. I understand some of it in there has prescribed thinning practices that most forest managers today would not recognize as relevant.

THE CHAIR: For overview for trustees, this is something that I thought was really important for the full board to get a view of, but our restoration committee has going to delve into the details on this and, Will, I hope that you will continue to be a partner with us, your knowledge base, we're so lucky to have you and would love for you to continue educating us, best practices. We know a lot of those areas are distressed areas in the state and we've got to think about that kind of impact also as we look at this. So

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 70

we'd love your partnership going forward as we kind of delve into the details and, Steve, thank you, it was great to have Will here with us.

MR. MANN: Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Trustees, it's 10:30. We can take a five, 10 minute break or power through, and people that need to slip out to the restroom, do so. I'm a power through person.

Steve, do you need a break?

MR. BEVINGTON: I'm ready to power through.

THE CHAIR: We're moving on to the restoration easement survey report. Tell us about the intern work.

MR. BEVINGTON: Thank you, Greer.

This topic came out of some earlier discussions we had, again, with the restoration committee about our restoration contract and easement templates which had some 10 year maintenance agreements and still does to this day. People that take on a restoration program are required to, even outside the length of the contract, once the

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 71

contract is closed, after three or four years, typically there's a 10-year maintenance agreement they're supposed to abide by. It's not necessarily -- it's never been enforced by us. When we mentioned this to the committee and I think it came before the board as a general topic, the real issue was how many of these projects need repair, how often do you have to go out and fix them.

Last year we hired Marcus Perry, a young man at NC State, he was charged with visiting as many of our Clean Water Management Trust Fund restoration projects that he could. I will get to those numbers in second. given three months to do this. He spent some of it in the office but most of it in the car driving around all of North Carolina. will bring a little history of what he saw. First I will talk about what our field objectives were and what questions we were trying to answer, discuss what we find, what Marcus found, what I saw and the field reps were helpful putting their point view in this as well. Sort of an assessment of how they're doing. One of which Greer hit today, the

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 72

kudzu in urban environments, so we'll get some goats and check that one off the list.

Although that same requirement not to graze is in our restoration contracts too.

Opportunities for further success. I think this will be kind of fun.

We have 169 completed Clean Water

Management Trust Fund projects over the last
20 or so years. That's really the number of
ones that sort of fit into our standard

practice. So CREP is not included in this
number. And Marcus was able to get to 100 of
those sites. Not all of them.

Essentially he was looking at four different areas. He was looking at are the easements we have in place encroached upon. It's supposed to be a 50 foot repairing buffer, is there a 50 foot repairing buffer there or is it encroached upon. What is that buffer like? It is a native growing natural forest or is it consumed by weeds, is it somebody's front yard? What's going on in there. Really looking at the stream itself.

NC State did this. Clean Water
Management Trust Fund paid for two projects to

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 73

go look intensively at a subset of streams. They also found problems but found that many of these streams were doing pretty well. We can compare results to that. But to look at the stream functions we have paid for, hopefully they're still in place. And then look at the whole environment, the neighbors, and the reason I put that in there actually turns out to be one of our better finds, predictive of success is really the neighborhood essentially. The four or five parcels in the immediate vicinity of the project, what does that look like in terms of a restoration or a preservation opportunity.

What we were checking really, encroachment. Unfortunately, these easements, 169 different areas across the state, are probably not always assigned a diligent steward so we're curious about that. Looking at boundary conditions, whether you can tell where your easement is for example. We spent a lot of time worrying about that. Kind of looking at the flood plain, is the stream using the flood plain as we hoped to see, description of neighbor conditions.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 74

So I think I've covered this more or less, but the real bottom line question is is the project area being encroached upon, is vegetation contributing to the stability of the stream, is the stream supporting uses, drinkable, fishable, swimmable, and is the project area meeting its objective, is it a popular place, something that contributes to the value of the community it sits in.

This is sort of what our form looks Marcus would spend an hour or two at the most at each one of these sites. It's a five page form he would fill in but this is sort of the major disturbance piece where we would look for activities that are obviously inconsistent with our easement. We didn't see a ton of these, but I will show you some that popped up quite regularly unfortunately. In terms of the buffer, we're really looking at, most important, I highlighted here, continuity of vegetative buffers. We have a lot of data, how deep the buffer was, how long it was, was it better on the right or left. The second set of bullets is looking at the continuity. We have a 2000 foot project and we planted

Page 75

2000 feet on both sides of the stream and spent a couple years nurturing, hoping it's healthy, this is a key assessment of how continuous is that buffer that we hopefully created.

In terms of stream conditions it's really opposite of what you do when you see field reps reports from distressed streams, looking for stability of the stream banks and whether this heavy erosion taking place and, obviously, we don't want to go out to ones we fixed 20 years ago and find that we have to start over again.

A couple miscellaneous questions

Marcus answered as well. Again, some of this is the idea of how the project functioned, other competing uses like a greenway, and things -- we also asked -- herbivores are a big problem, beavers, deer eating the vegetation.

This is the site of the 100 sites visited. We tried to get Marcus out to every county. We didn't quite make it to every county, but I think he did a nice job of getting a character of north, south, east and

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

Page 76

west North Carolina. I think it was pretty unbiased.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Here are our findings. Some of these in some ways seem a little distressing and some ways sort of seem like good news. think the first bullet there, 20 percent of our easements were infringed upon. It's quite unfortunate. It's not that surprising. A lot of these projects are sort of ignored frankly. That's certainly true by our agency due to staff limitations, the way we set it up is sort of allowing -- we have third-party enforcement on many of these projects, but unless we hear of a problem we don't really necessarily monitor them. So there are counties that have active soil and water programs, active monitoring for the projects. Certain non-profits do this a lot. Some of the others, frankly, the steward who has contractually promised at the beginning of the project to take care of it has never really established a program for protecting it. They're doing the same as us, waiting for bad news and trying to react if it happens. That's a problem.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 77

THE CHAIR: Steve, with our acquisition programs, they have to have proof to us that there's going to be oversight of the land to make sure -- there has to be a partner involved to do that; correct?

MS. GUTHRIE: Yes. When the state is holding the conservation easement, then there is an endowment set aside that Marissa is managing through the program. With the match easements we then have the partners hold and monitor those easements.

THE CHAIR: So there's more teeth on the acquisition side than we've had on restoration.

MR. BEVINGTON: There's certainly more teeth and probably more attention and there's a better funding mechanism to allow us a steward to the corrective job in checking it once a year. We do have -- we have -- especially in County, Mecklenburg County and Durham County projects some water districts that check all of their projects every year. They don't check all of our projects in those counties. We have done a lot with the storm water in those areas. If you recall, last

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 78

year we did allow partners who have a certified land trust as part of their application package request a stewardship fund for a restoration project so it's a match 50/50 but I would love to see that taken up on. Frankly, I don't believe anyone has gone for it yet. But I might be wrong. It's an obvious deficiency is some of these the land stewards promised to kind of do it and that's the last we heard of it.

This is what you get. One out of five. These don't sound horrible. Mowing, foot traffic, but they're up there because they impacted. We've had several cases where foot traffic really means is people are just taking it over for their own uses and it isn't really a natural area at all and it may fishing spot or something, it's not the worse thing in the world, it's just we paid to have it planted with certain trees, the bank needs to be stable and people are parking their car next to it and trampling all over it. Mowing was one that Damon and Justin brought to my attention. Interestingly enough, we thought it would be an urban problem but it's

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 79

everywhere. The mower is -- obviously easy way to get in to brush and see where your going, people like to see the stream. Over the years, you mow closer and closer.

Anecdotally, we heard that about 10 percent and a little more disturbingly a lot of the demarcation, Marcus said, I can see where buffer starts, I said how do you know, he had a check box on his form, it says mow line. So the edge between our easement is not a sign or fence or a clear legal boundary of any sort, it's just where the mower last went. It tends to creep in.

So Polk County, when I was down there, the Saluda Land Trust asked if they could have extra money to buy -- great idea -- to post along the Pacolet River to post the easement which had been signed before in the past. But they wanted extra money to have these posts made out of natural hickory wood and the storm water extension aid said no. He suggested we not do it, he said when the guy with the brush hog out there he's not going to hear those posts, he will mow them by accident and never know the difference. Put a big metal post out

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

Page 80

there and he'll know. So boundary marking is where we're going in this discussion. We need to have these things clearly marked and they're not at all.

Any questions about how we -- what's happening to these things? We're not seeing clear cutting, we're not seeing any bull dozing, but we are seeing serious impacts in one out of five which I think we would see a lot less in Nancy's acquisition side. I'm sure there's some but it seems high.

This is an example of subtle you know, doesn't seem like a big threat, correcting these things and we're correcting quite a number of them, Will stumbled across one in Durham recently.

MS. THREATT-TAYLOR: We're seeing there should be wooded vegetation on the other side also?

MR. BEVINGTON: Yes. This is a matched part of our parcel where we were supposed to get a wild 100 foot wide corridor for habitat and what we got was more of the guy's yard. It happens. There's quite a few examples of that unfortunately.

Page 81

I mentioned earlier the majority --1 even worse 35 percent of the easements, we couldn't tell where they started or stopped. 3 Sometimes that was fine because it's the 4 5 middle of the forest and there was extra repairing buffer there sort of by accident 6 maybe, it was ignored, that's fine. 7 really quite a few of them -- it's even a 8 9 little more stark than that because 35 percent 10 of easements had visible signs or marking but 11 a lot of the other ones weren't well marked. 12 I don't have the exact number that were 13 clearly marked but it was view. I know there 14 are for different agency standards of how you 15 mark an important area and I think it's time 16 we probably consider bringing some of the committee to look -- when the construction 17 18 people walk off the property, what should the 19 marking look like. We presently have no real 20 instructions to applicants on that. Again, 21 the mow line is the most common one. percent had a fence. Some of those fences 2.2 2.3 were in poor shape so people crossing them 2.4 are -- not that you couldn't cross it if it 2.5 was public property but it's consistent with

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 82

our program. And very few of our signs -- our signs weren't useful, that's why I sort of highlighted. We'll have to change all these of course, to Land and Water, but sometimes, sort of near the road there was a Clean Water sign but the rest of the property there's no further sign.

Several applicants do really take great care in putting informative information together. So there are some success stories in there of really nice educational material along these projects. I don't want to take away from that. But in terms of protecting our buffer, there's very little signage. vegetation actually did pretty well despite all this. Obviously there were some incursions into it. Only 35 percent were perfect, that 35 percent easements on both sides, continuous up and down but we were counting any larger than 10 foot gap as imperfect. Marcus came back with 14 of them. I went to look at some of them with him where they really were impacted pretty severely and sometimes it was just things we hadn't taken into account, power lines, some applicants are

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 83

good about working with power lines, saying hey, look, if you're going to continue to cross there we will do remonstration and build you a ford, others forget about it and power line easement makes a much bigger impact than we anticipated. It's allowed use of the conservation easement but the way it's being managed creates a gap and stream restoration problem.

Repairing, 25 percent of the projects out of 100 visited had no invasive species problem at all. 50 percent of the buffers had invasive species. We'll talk about that in just a second.

I can't remember why I put this
picture in but we have a lot of situations
where thick vegetation is there, it's just not
exactly what we designed or engineered. A lot
of invasives came in.

Looking at the streams themselves,
they did a lot better. Again, I think they're
sort of in the condition of being ignored but
doing very well. The history of stream
restoration, Nancy did ask me the question is
there any correlation between the ones that

Page 84

did pass over time and there isn't. There are some projects that were done poorly that were done in 1998 and the more recent one doing poorly is 2013. Anything more recent than that, of course, the contractor was just out there patching it up. It does seem to be pretty much -- the streams are holding up I would say the history of stream well. restoration has changed. It used to be essentially, 20 years ago, people would go in with large rock, stone structures, they're not going to go anywhere. They could fail for different reasons especially if the vegetation is removed.

More recent projects have been built with a lot more wood, using smaller rock to be more natural, maybe a little more vulnerable. I think it's something to keep an eye on in the future. I was really happy. I was asked quite often the reason -- beaver is up there as a separate bullet. We are asked quite often about beaver control. Officially -- I worked with Justin on this as well, should we develop a policy on how to control beaver. In a lot of ways they're a natural feature of a

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 85

stream so they should be there, you don't want them chewing away -- we had a pretty new site, a beaver had been eating what was planted but there's ways to manage that. And there were a couple where the stream had chosen a new channel because of beaver activity. But generally, as a matter of fact, some of them they seem to be improving the project. There were side wetlands that were from beaver. I think our growing answer is that if they have a local nuisance problem they can take it up with the county and address them but, otherwise, we have no objection to beavers being present on the project.

This is Richland Creek. This was one
I was very nervous to visit. It's in Wake
Forest. Luckily, this is not our project.
This is more or less the before project. It's
a sandy bed creek with huge erosion problems.
You can see the trees tipping over, large
woody jams that create more erosion. Probably
six to eight foot banks. It had had a repair
after it was done. We sort of tipped out
there nervously assuming the thing would be a
disaster. I bring this up as an example of

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 86

This is about a 10-year-old how this works. project. We did have a repair. They found the money to go and do it. But this stream held up fantastically and, you know, it's got this and that natural point bar. It has a sore spot or two as we hiked down it. You can see how sandy it is. It does work. We were really relieved to find that compared to the disaster literally upstream and downstream, I was so proud, there's many projects I've gone out and said this is pretty but this one, to literally see -- you could see where we started and finished. We funded it. But both ends of it look like this and I think, Justin has been working on it a little bit, phase 2, it's an expensive project to do. The stream is so torn up, it really does seem like a great opportunity. I think it died because there was -- the application came back to us not because it was too expensive or worrisome, but there was greenway project that sort of started and stalled and we came across some funny exercise equipment in bushes that hadn't been used in years. But it really is a success environmentally and was super happy to

Page 87 1 see that. THE CHAIR: How long ago was that 3 done? MR. BEVINGTON: Finished about 10 4 5 years ago. MR. SUMMER: I think it was 6 7 repaired in 2013. 8 MR. BEVINGTON: The structures, I 9 put this in just to reiterate, where they put 10 in harden structures is not that surprising 11 that they are still there so we found that to 12 be quite a success. 13 Really what was, you know, we'll get into the urban problems in a minute because we 14 did have some bad problems with urban vines 15 16 growing over our projects. Aside from that --17 I don't have a fantastic way to characterize 18 this, but we did ask Marcus to characterize 19 what the neighbors were doing, what was going 20 on, and two things came out of that. One is 21 where there's other active conservation 2.2 management, the thing was doing great. So in Charlotte, for example, where they have a lot 2.3 2.4 of greenways and take pride in them, manage them well, while they're out there they tend 2.5

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 88

to do good things for the streams. Same projects that keep invasive species problems down and keep snags out of stream, having someone present and caring about it a tiny bit makes a huge difference. So that was really something -- there was nice simpatico with the greenways that we didn't -- they were sort of a nice marker too that they were managed for a greenway so they wouldn't let other people in there to have some of the problems we did have at other places.

We don't have a ton of out and out in the boonies sort of agricultural projects but they also showed relatively few encroachments. I was encouraged by that. I was a little worried they would show that people had forgotten an easement there and it had been plowed up, but that wasn't the case. There is no doubt about the urban projects, unless you have a program, I'm happy to hear about that in the newspaper, Greer, attention. In Old Salem we've had complains of kudzu taking one of our stream restoration projects. Urban projects are not only nuisance problems but as we saw in the CREP slides, tree killing vines

Page 89

being a problem and that's not surprising when you build a perfect vine environment, a narrow strip with a street on one side that allows sunlight and a creek on the other side letting sunlight in and planting trees there. Urban vines are, not surprisingly, destructive.

We wondered if mowing was sort of the problem of city rec departments and it's not. We did find some of those where people go out and mow in the city park and don't treat it like a restoration opportunity, but it's everywhere, it happens in all environments. And really the highest correlation we found of anywhere of all the data is how the neighbors are taking care of the yard. If the surrounding environment was not being treated as a natural area, the stream was likely -- repairing buffers were likely to be in a lot of trouble.

So here's kudzu examples. We've got literally tree killing. We have no real financial resource to do this. This is something, all these projects, going back to the local municipalities and asking if there's a way, they can find a way to slow some of

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

Page 90

this destructive growth down in about 10 sites. This is in Winston-Salem. This maybe Sivitan Park (phonetic). I don't know if that was the one in the newspaper.

THE CHAIR: South Park.

Q. You can see pretty much as far as the -I can see the stream is behind it. This is kudzu up
front, tree killing, those trees will all be -- it's
probably too late for those trees probably.

I think the thing I'm sort of happy to say, I don't have shocking news to tell. The streams themselves were in good shape. So I mean, I really think the findings are pretty straightforward, that left alone, the streams are going fine. Left alone, the stewardship is insufficient, it really needs to be improved.

Here are some examples. This is out west where it's part of our easement is essentially that. It's common land being used by people and valuable to the community in a certain way but not what we imagined when we got this project started. Looking all over, I think the bottom line is streams themselves are fine except for a few exemptions.

Most of the problems that Marcus covered we either knew about or suspected. Easements are in fairly

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

Page 91

good condition but do not have stewardship.

Projects are not well marked. Aggressive vines are a problem. Projects that had compatible uses around them did very well. There was one exception at a state park where there was just probably an engineering mistake, stream's doing terribly inside the park. Otherwise, if they had good stewards close by, it would likely be in great shape.

Just an example of some of the bad stuff we did find that you know we do have areas that really could qualify for new grant and that's luckily quite rare. But there's a number between spot repairs, we will try to get effected now through sort of a couple of do overs. One case in point, there are three creeks that are a completely do overs. There's no way -- it's probably at least as bad as -- I think Damon and Justin could sell this to you as a restoration project. Whether it be worthwhile going back in or not, I don't know.

MS. THREATT-TAYLOR: In a situation like that, before you go back to the doctor, you have to find out what the source of the problem is. If you haven't changed your diet, the doctor ain't going to help you. What is the source --

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 92

MR. BEVINGTON: This actually is an active area where our score sheet is doing better with how we defined stream restoration and enhancement. This was a priority 2 restoration where they put in a bench. They left it in size but cut a bench. Well, probably through poor mismanagement of the engineering description of the likely floods, they had more water than they thought came through and essentially taken that structure away.

MS. THREATT-TAYLOR: Get a new doctor.

MR. BEVINGTON: Like I said, I think there's 10 projects that we need work on, there's three that just do not work at all. One of them, is it Hominy Creek -- I forgot the name of it. It is going to be redone. They're going to find I think other funds, not Clean Water Management funds, just a do over. I think if we did 2 percent do overs, I'd be happy. I would love to see zero. Right now, three out of 100 are do overs. If we get one or two of them fixed, I think -- I guess where I'm getting, Dale, is

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 93

that the opportunity for the best win projects are out there and we've had some recently come in scoring extremely high on the Roanoke and other streams where they are engaging the whole flood plain again, not patch it up second or third priorities where they're working inside an incised channel, but reflooding I think goes along very well with the emphasis we'll likely hear on resiliency. That big, flat forest up there above the bank was the original flood plain. The stream doesn't get up there anymore but with the project can actually allow the stream to flood up there obviously can be successful. I think in latter years my hope is we'll show the projects we've been funding in the last five or six years are very, very few of these. Ι worked with Clean Water Management Trust Fund since 1998, I probably helped through with ones that didn't do so well, but I do think the emphasis is on the big picture. I know that's not a thunderous answer to this three-month project.

MS. KUMOR: As the restoration committee starts looking at issues such as

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 94

monitoring, stewardship monitoring and ways to improve it and marking, those are the two issues --

MR. BEVINGTON: Right. Thank you, Renee. I just wanted to point out a picture. This one was just completed and I chose it because both field reps were involved in bringing this to fruition. It's right at the border between east and west. A very recent picture. There are plenty of places where the channel is just as bad as you saw believe or not can look as nice as this. We have some super winners out there.

I just want to -- exactly where Renee was directing me here, there are some short term opportunities. That's how I characterize it. We just need to go through our list of who said they're going to do stewardship and see if they're interested in doing it anymore. I think that's my homework, to really maintain that contact list and touch base once a year and just say have you seen the creek recently because we saw it and it looked pretty good or it looked terrible. We're beginning to require -- when we get projects completed, we

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 95

have a better map. Marissa can go into this more. We were very disappointed in the state of conservation easements. Some of them had misinformation, some things changed over 20 years, missing maps or incorrect maps.

They're supposed to be legally recorded documents. They were not a great source. So even if we had to make someone go in and make someone do something, there is often not accurate information.

MR. HEARNE: You mean the documents themselves?

MR. BEVINGTON: The documents that are actually recorded with it in county offices.

On closeout, we're doing that now to make sure what's coming through as a completed project, we have a good conservation easement that includes Schedule A or B or exhibits that really describe exactly where it is. And then I think with Will's help and other people, we really need to clearly describe what we want people walking away from a project, how they want to sign the projects. That could be even with projects that are in great shape now,

Page 96

just say could you flag it better or tag it.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

2.5

Will, what agency do you think have detailed description sign marking practice?

MR. SUMMER: Division of mitigation services that essentially does nothing but steward easements associated with stream restorations and those are tied to regulatory requirements and mitigation credits, they've got a very, detailed sign policy, if Eric's still here, largely written by Eric when he was in charge of that program. That's something we could do is to adopt that as our recommendations and when our name gets updated, we get logos, little boundary signs, we could order a thousand of those just with administrative funds, that's something we could do at the staff level to not only encourage and require folks to mark the boundary and provide them with signs at 51 cents a piece.

MR. BEVINGTON: That's our short term recommendations. I really think where the committee and board eventually should probably hear from us in the not too distant future is really reexamining the stewardship

2.2

2.4

2.5

Page 97

qualifications issue. We don't want to exclude people from the process. Mary works with so many of these contractors and payments, she always wants a button for a good applicant or praise the applicant. There are people we should be able to reward in some way for being great stewards, at the minimum tell you about it, this group continues to monitor their projects really well and give you an update, it could be a score at some point, it could be some other way of flagging dysfunctional stewardship which I think we really need to do. Consider expanding stewardship programs, restoration projects to help people pay for it.

Will mentioned mitigation services, they just raised their banking price to over \$500 linear foot to get these projects built because they were running low on people, running low for these projects to make credits. So there is a very high cost do to this. I think we have some fantastic match that comes in, makes it affordable, but I think if we want a great product, we may have to pay for stewardship one way or another.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 98

That's for you guys to help decide. Invasive species, I don't mean invasive species we control, that we would go out and solve the kudzu problem on our restoration projects, I think it's out of our hands, but at least have it factored in so people have some estimate if they have to do something, if were their environment, their greenway, who's going to --Winston-Salem does need to pay to control their kudzu, who's going to do that and is that reflected in a budget or management plan and help talk to you about that.

Last one, really is there a way to describe better what I mean by neighborhood? Are there areas we should be withdrawing from, that are unlikely to be successful. I didn't find terribly many strongly predictive factors beside neighborhoods. That's not terribly surprising. I think there are certain environments, social environments I think, where it's not a great place for a restoration project and we have to find a way to quantify that, either go with cautions, extra care or avoid them potentially.

There's my snapshot. I wish Marcus

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 99

could have been here, he put in a lot of great hours and bug spray. He never got a machete but he was talking about it.

THE CHAIR: Please thank him on behalf of the Trust Fund and trustees for his great work because this has been a big question that has come up again and again. So I thank you for spending the time to work with him.

Renee, I look forward to your committee's good work.

MR. WALSER: Not only am I not surprised with these results, especially urban areas. I would have expected more impact. We have been in our society so terrible to our streams, kudzu is completely our fault, and undoing that is really, really hard and I would have expected numbers worse than this coming from a relatively urban environment and kudos to your committee and to the staff.

Those pictures -- to not see water bottles and trash. I'm used to seeing a lovely stream and seeing a water bottle.

MR. WILSON: Could staff perhaps maybe in committee meetings let us know if

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 100

there are any applicants that have really lousy stewardship track records? It's not part of our scoring system but I would like to know here's something that going to score well enough to probably be funded but they've got a really crappy stewardship.

MR. BEVINGTON: I think the way I could put it is we could certainly list the ones that are not paying attention to their streams. And certainly to both of these points together, some of the success was dumb These projects kind of got ignored and they did fine. If you build it right and leave it alone, it's not a problem. doesn't mean tomorrow someone couldn't come in and mess it up because nobody's looking. think that's the biggest problem. I do think providing -- I essentially know what it is, I could provide you that list. There certainly -- the other level is expertise. Ι mean, the land trust often have staff who are exactly familiar with kind of the procedure. Generally they work on a more effective way where you see 70 acres, we can sort of walk the perimeter with -- people have talked about

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 101

aerial photography and drones, things like this to collect better data. I think the other list to give you to talk about in committee or somewhere would be the technical resources that maybe people who might be a better steward if they knew how to get in touch with these people. I think it's a funding issue again. There's two issues. Some applicants ignore their responsibilities and the other one is there are people who are much better at it than others. I will think of a non-unfair way to present that to you board.

MR. HEARNE: We can definitely talk about which ones have active or requirements stewardship program. One goes out with college students and track easements like it's one of their kids. We can talk about which ones have dedicated staff or the endowments or kind of really proactive programs. We saw 100 out of how many?

MR. BEVINGTON: 169. But there's other restoration things that aren't really -- for example, CREP is one. We didn't go see the sites, they're stewarded in a different

Page 102

way.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

MR. HEARNE: What I'm getting at, since there's stuff we haven't seen, we may find one we consider to be a problem issue but another group that may not get called out that year. I think there are ways to -- understanding their efforts and their staffing and their general practices, whether or not they happen to be identified as having a problem.

THE CHAIR: I think, John, to your point again, this is something that I see that the field reps can do their best job with that applicant, but the trustees want to know who the best are so our funds are being used in the best possible way. I think that's a --

MR. WILSON: And to inform applicants this conversation happened today and it's ongoing.

MR. BEVINGTON: Yes, that message coming back out, if you allow it, I think it's very important. Mostly through these two but through central office as well.

MS. KUMOR: Sometimes in restoration when we are doing phase 2, that

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 103

goes into your issue. When you look at phase one, you already know do I want to get help from these people in phase 2? What have they done downstream or upstream.

MR. CLARK: How much would it cost -- maybe it would be a good idea next summer to have an intern look at the rest of these.

MR. BEVINGTON: I think it's a good idea. I also think there are some that need revisiting. I'm glad to hear you say that, Walter.

I want to say one other thought that we've been working on, we're trying to get better easement projects so bigger. We're trying to take flood plains because it makes more sense to steward it. Some of these older projects have a 35 foot buffer. With an urban -- with a sewer line and a greenway, it's just not a huge priority, not a lot of other environmental -- not tons of environmental good that will come from maintaining that. But as we develop some of these larger, we have quite a few projects in the pipeline with 3 or 400 foot numbers in the

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 104

flood plain, that needs to be stewarded because the bang for the buck on repairing the buffer is huge.

THE CHAIR: For folks to know, your presentation is about 20 minutes, Will?

MR. SUMMER: I think I could do it faster than that.

Thank you so much. A lot of wonderful discussion here today. I will be as brief as I can. I will break down the 2020 application requests, talk a bit about numbers and a few of the nuances, briefly discuss the staff review process and remind everybody about the trustee site visit.

For our 2020 grant cycle we had 144 applications, almost 83 million, an even 100 in acquisition, 22 restoration, 8 innovative storm water and 14 planning. I will note there's a few large projects that skew this. There was one project that requested 10 million. It's a big project but, obviously, we won't fund it that way unless things are very fortunate in the budget. There's a large one in restoration as well I believe is 6 million dollars. Even considering those, it's

Page 105

an still increase from last year both in number and amount.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

We've got 29 more applications than we did last year. Roughly a quarter of those are reapplications. It's worth noting had we got the budget passed, we got that roughly 7 million, a lot of those reapplications would have been funded. They were kind of the next on the list. Those folks were probably right to put it in and if the budget goes where it should then perhaps those will be funded. On top of that, there was another quarter of additional applications. So we had a lot of repeats and a lot of new applications. The reapplications are requesting a total of 30 million. Again, some might have been funded had we had a big budget bump last year.

As far as regional distribution goes, the Piedmont stayed roughly the same in terms of number of applications. The mountains saw an increase, coastal saw an increase. There's quite a few applications in here. More than we generally tend to see. All good things.

I'll talk a little bit specifically about acquisition. 12 of the 100 came from

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 106

state agencies, four from local governments, 84 from nonprofits. It is worth noting that a lot of those nonprofit applications are proposed to be transferred to state agencies, so if you think about the ones that might be state agencies you're really thinking about the green and orange being parks, game lands, and areas that are by default open to public access as well as some of the nonprofits could have public access as well.

What we will see this afternoon in the Brumley Preserve is a great example of a nonprofit owned public access site that is a well loved recreational resource for folks around here and local government as well is almost always public access.

In terms of the resource that they claimed on the application as a reminder, we've got four major resource types, any application could have all four. Almost all the applications had some water on or proximate to them on our buffers, so 96 out of 100. Quite a few had some scoring for natural heritage. That might be as high as the 50 points which would have maxed that out or as

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 107

So they're not all low as five points. excellent natural heritage sites but they are. Of those, the natural heritage staff has reviewed all 100 from the desktop. Some sites were familiar. Some were new to them. The ones that were new to them, based on what they could see, they wanted to go out and visit 43 new site visits. So all the biologists are going out to see the sites for us which is great to have that level of eyes on the 21 claimed historical cultural ground. Nancy sent those off to historians to credit. review. They will tell us if the do have the merit that they claim. And eight are green ways.

I will also note of these 16 or 17 claimed, that they were military buffer projects. Again, Nancy sent those off to designated individuals on each base to determine whether or not it is priority for the base as it says it is.

I did want to touch base on the results from the changes we made to the -- you made to the criteria as a result of S 381.

The two big things that had changes in points

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 108

were the likelihood of land use change, that added two points to the system. A little less than half got the full credit based on the growth model we showed you in December. A quarter of them received partial credit based on the same model, and three additional applications are likely to receive full credit based on other evidence. And in these three cases it was the fact that they were clearly already subdivided, in fact failed subdivision, so it's pretty easy to make the case that with a twist of the economy or financing term, these could again be developed.

On the planning side, 44 of the applicants submitted plans that appeared to meet most of the requirements. A quarter of them referenced plans that would score at least a point. No everyone had attached their plans. That's one of the things we have to do is chase those down. And eight of them submitted plans that we funded. Some of the other corridor plans and few more recently funded plans. It's nice to see the money we're putting in the planning is coming back

Page 109

around and helping form what we see in applications.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Something that we see nearly every year, I want to remind the trustees, in a lot of cases there are times when a property must be purchased before you guys are going to meet in September, it might be it's on the market, the landowner is going to sell it. That puts us in an interesting position because we, the state, have to have a new transaction occur after the grant award. So if a fee simple property has already been purchased, the transaction that's left for us to participate in is purchase conservation easement. So if the fee simple value was \$100,000, that might leave us only 60 or \$70,000 worth of eligibility in terms of the conservation easement that we can reimburse and pay for that transaction. When that happens, we do allow the other party to be considered as a Alternatively, if the property is going to change ownership, for instance, the land trust purchased it, at closing it's going to the state, then the state can participate at the fee simple value. It's just something

Page 110

that I think field reps will bring up when they're presenting these to you folks in September, that this project was already purchased, but it was done so because of a need and the timing didn't line up.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

There are also applications for properties that might be purchased just after the application deadline happens. So right now if the project becomes available to the land trust, and our cycle has already past, they might have to purchase it, wait until February, then you're seeing it a year and a half old but we still consider that part of the window because they couldn't have gotten it in any other cycle. Staff does not submit applications for properties they've owned for years and maybe monetize the value of the easement for ownership property. So you will be made aware of those if they do come through and that's up to the board to decide how to spend those funds or not spend them.

For restoration, 22 applications, three state agency, four local governments, 15 non-profits. The restoration field nonprofits are, again, some of the larger applicants.

Page 111

Broken down by project type, roughly 60 percent of the traditional stream restoration, what Steve has been showing you. We've got four of the riparian buffer enhancement, these are the tree plantings, less construction heavy but less expensive work. Three active conversion projects, one dam removal and one shoreline protection. We do have four regional applications, but it is worth noting this year with direction from Steve and great work with the field reps up front, we no longer have regional applications that say we're going to do at least X amount of feet and X amount of properties, each of the regional applications have sent in a list of landowners and projects they're going to do the work on which is a vast improvement for us. Of all the projects only one is design only.

For innovative storm water, eight applications, three state agency, four local governments, one nonprofit. As is typical, the budgets contain monitoring costs from 2 percent to 50 percent. Why that is worth highlighting, the innovative storm water, as a

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Page 112

reminder, it's a program to learn something new about storm water practices, develop new tools, advance the science, but because there is no other storm water funding in the state that is grant based currently, folks with conventional storm water needs often try to fit into this grant program. So if 2 percent of the grant is monitoring it probably is a little construction heavy, maybe light on the evaluation results and that's -- when that committee gets into the weeds of that, they're going to have to really wrestle what is innovative and how do these projects fit with that.

Grant cycle plan. Three local governments, 11 non-profits. Most of these are sort of restoration type planning. Three do contain significant portions of acquisition planning. This is roughly on par with recent years in terms of how many were more based on acquisition and conservation. I will talk a little bit more about that in a sec.

For the restoration program they're -the committee made changes, but there weren't
any changes that really drastically effected

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 113

the scoring so there's nothing to talk about on that. I think a lot of staff and trustees anticipated a large tidal wave of planning once we made plans critical to the applications, it didn't hit this year yet because -- it may very well, I think it's just such a short time line we release the new guidance and folks had the opportunity.

Just to let you know, we're not going to meet again and review projects until September. Despite how long it seems between February 3 and when you guys see the applications, it is absolute crunch time for staff involved in project review. projects to read and understand the details, understand the deficiencies, understand what we need to go back to the applicant and get. Field reps I don't think have but a tiny fraction of days in the office between now and June 1. We won't see them for quite a while. Program managers are reviewing and scoring the project. All the parameters that go into the score, we don't take anybody's word for that, we go through each one and look all that up. I say we, it's not me, it's Nancy, Reese and

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 114

Steve and others. We've got biologists working on our behalf checking these out, we've got historians, we've got military installations, DEO storm water staff. There's just a mountain to review to make sure the scope that gets presented to you in September is accurately feasible and it's time well spent every time we look over a project from 15 years ago, we're wrestling with some sort of problem or issue or conservation strategy that now seems to have us tilting our heads, it's really because at that point staff is so busy trying to catch up they didn't get to do a lot of upfront work. Having to work to resolve the issues that were created a decade ago, this upfront time really pays dividends. But staff will be swamped.

There is a brief period for applicants to get us information either that they missed or was confusing in the application. And program managers are going to be preparing project scope. Field reps will be after they get done with field visits through June will look at score and scope and preparing for presentation for June and July, and then we

2.0

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 115

pull that together and send it to you in early August. If anyone is interested, we have the un-updated corrected version of any applications that you are welcome to request and look through. Happy to deliver it. But in recent years it turned out trustees liked having the scopes prepared, scores, presentations ready to go so we're sending them out if folks want them in a full package, but if that's not your preference, we can certainly accommodate.

Few quick slides. Guidance for the trustee site visit. This is something this board did just over a year ago. Kind of with Hank's input on when it would be appropriate to see sites and when and how to do it. I think the notion here is do you go, get more information that way, do you not go. Maybe make it look like your playing a favorite. I think taking that into consideration, knowing the trustees are intelligent and able to execute their responsibilities impartially, we err on the side of getting you more information. That's what this is about. So if somebody does reach out to you and requests

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 116

a site visit, it is at your discretion, if you look through the application and say, hey, this is something I want to see more about, I can get all the information about the application, that is certainly your right, it is not your obligation. You may turn down any invitation that you please. But, you know, I think Hank has worked hard, we can make sure we can be in compliance if you choose to visit a site.

Couple points of guidance, one to notify Walter, let him know you've been contacted. Two, let the staff know to coordinate visits. It allows us and the field reps to be on site so everyone is getting the same information from two different times, two different points, it's awkward if the field rep heard something one day and the trustee heard something else. It's important that we can all be -- staff can be at those meetings. Also allows us an opportunity to find out how many and which trustees from which committees are going so we can be in compliance with the open meetings. If any of you get together and do clean water business, we need to notice the

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 117

meeting, provide it to the public. Running it through staff is critical there.

THE CHAIR: This is different because this is the smallest board I serve on. So we get to the open meetings. We have to be mindful about it.

MR. SUMMER: Finally, when we're reviewing these in September and a project comes up, I think it's important to trustees to say "I did see this project in July and want to make the other trustees aware." So it's out in the open.

Deciding to go. I covered this a little bit. It's really at your discretion if you think you can get more information out of it or want to. Again, it's your right, not your obligation at all.

MR. HEARNE: Can I add something?

So you all now how we communicate with the applicants on the other end, we don't tell the applicants not to invite you but we work to prevent an arm's length of applicants inviting as many as possible thinking that getting you on site is going to make a difference on the project. So we tell them we prefer you not

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 118

invite the trustees and let them decide where they want to go. It doesn't mean it's not going to happen, it doesn't mean it's improper if they do invite someone, but we kind of put it in your court to think about it rather than applicants trying to compete who they can get out there. It would get complicated really quickly if they felt they needed to do that to get an edge and they definitely know that's not the case, so when they ask about that, there are times when we can help facilitate something they might reach out to you all, but we try to work it so that it's your decision if you want to see something and sometimes they just do their own thing and that's their right. But that's kind of how we work on it on our end to keep it reasonable.

MR. SUMMER: Kind of coming back to arranging the site visit, particularly this year where we have just the two field reps, 144 applications, Damon and Justin pretty much both want to be on the site visits. There are not many days in the calendar between now and June when that's possible for either one of them so it's good to let us coordinate those

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 119

and accept that probably July, early August will be the best time to visit them. We will work with the trustees and visit the site when you want to see it, but it's a lot easier on staff if we can be really involved in that.

Just a reminder, gifts are prohibited. Food, logo wear, anything of any value constitute a gift so they should not be accepted.

That's all I have, thank you very much.

THE CHAIR: Questions for Will?

MR. WALSER: If you ever want some cover, I'm thinking about any tracts that are weird, I don't know anything about \$10 million property, but to me that stands out as if the staff has any desire to say let's put \$2 million in there something, that's contrary to what we already said, I think it would be great to have a trustee on some of those visits. Anything weird that can give you cover so you're not alone or you're saying we need to look at this differently because of X, Y and Z, I would encourage you to reach out to us. I don't know that we're available but --

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 120

MR. HEARNE: I appreciate that.

THE CHAIR: I think that's an excellent point because it might be that you can see it's going to be a phase project over three or four years so in the end maybe we do put 10 million something. But for nobody to have laid on eyes on it in that sense --

MR. WALSER: Not a policy shift, something bigger we know will inspire conversation in September might as well get some objective third-party eyes out there.

MR. HEARNE: We may not necessarily know that on our initial visit, but as follow up, a lot of times it's much more efficient in kind of getting to the crux of the issue is harder to do on the first visit because we're exploring, planning, asking questions, figuring it out, but kind of identifying which one may need more follow up in that category. That's also the advantage to the July or August time frame is that we've been able to weed out the easy question and understand generally where the project is going so if you all go on site, we can really focus in on what's happening.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 121

THE CHAIR: Thank you to Walter.

Thank to our leadership. From the little bit that I've heard from you all and that you covered, we're going to have some incredible applications to review in September and some really hard decisions to make. Especially since we have no idea what moneys we're going to have.

Sydney, thank you for getting the meeting all set up and you probably -- do you have any housekeeping items for folks? Do we need to get people to sign this?

These are in your blue packet. So sign those and get those to Sydney.

I would like to thank everybody for their time and great questions and thank you to David and Amy, it's hard, I know, being on a conference call for a long meeting like this so thank you for your involvement and we appreciate it very much.

MR. WOMACK: In some cases you feel like your sort of out of loop but at the same time I want to thank the staff for those really interesting presentations. Very informative. So thank you for that.

Page 122 Thank you everybody and 1 THE CHAIR: 2. thank you as always to a wonderful Clean Water 3 Management staff. And next time we meet, we will be saying thank you to Land and Water 4 Thank you. Looking forward to seeing 5 staff. 6 everyone in Wilmington. 7 I say we stand adjourned. (Meeting adjourned at 10:41 a.m.) 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 2.2 23 24 25

[1 - able] Page 1

1	18,000 63:13	27,000 24:17	6
1 113:20	18.5 24:14	28 8:17	6 104:24
10 1:17 31:12	18th 16:17	29 5:21 105:3	6,000 39:3
36:24 45:4 48:8	19 16:16	3	60 109:16 111:1
55:6 63:15 64:16	1930s 35:7 51:9	3 8:22 103:25	65 14:14 35:18
70:7,22 71:2 79:5	1946 35:10	113:12	37:13
82:20 86:1 87:4	1985 36:21 53:24	30 23:11 24:20	65,000 38:2
90:1 92:15 104:20	1990 54:2		
119:15 120:6	1996 15:6	26:6,10 27:6,9	7
100 47:21 48:10	1998 21:24 24:14	28:9,12,16 29:25	7 105:6
	84:3 93:19	30:12,15,24 32:18	70 69:2,2 100:24
72:12 75:21 80:22	1999 35:24 39:1,11	36:12 39:2 40:23	70,000 109:16
83:11 92:23	45:25 46:22	48:19 53:4,9,10,13	750 30:18 38:19
101:20 104:16	19th 16:23	53:14 54:16 63:14	39:14
105:25 106:23	1:30 18:8	63:17 64:2,4,8	8
107:4	2	65:2,5,23 66:7,11	8 104:17
100,000 109:15		105:15	80 47:3
10:30 70:6	2 8:22 86:15 92:4	300 26:1 28:20	
10:41 122:8	92:21 102:25	39:14	800 49:25 50:24
11 112:16	103:3 111:23	31 11:12	82.6 14:7
114 14:14	112:7 119:17	35 66:12 81:2,9	83 104:16
12 40:3 105:25	20 12:24 22:2 72:9	82:17,18 103:18	84 106:2
130 69:1	75:12 76:6 84:10	381 107:24	85 49:18
130,000 38:2	95:4 104:5	4	87 49:19
138a 4:9	2000 44:7 52:7	4 9:17	9
14 82:21 104:18	74:25 75:1	40 66:12	9,000 24:25
144 14:6 104:15	2002 44:12	400 103:25	90 47:22
113:14 118:21	2009 45:25 52:8	43 107:7	90s 44:6
15 4:9 27:22,23	2010 52:8	434 50:25	96 106:22
36:13 38:25 40:8	2013 84:4 87:7	44 108:15	99 36:11
40:9 59:4 61:11	2017 25:4	5	99/2000 46:18
64:20,22,25 65:11	2020 1:17 7:5	_	9:00 1:18
65:19 66:1,3	104:10,15	5 9:17	a
81:21 110:23	2021 7:5	50 25:25 29:8,21	
114:9	21 34:23 40:11,23	48:18 68:1 72:17	a.m. 1:18 122:8
16 68:19 107:16	107:11	72:18 83:12	abide 71:3
169 72:7 73:17	22 61:15 104:17	106:24 111:24	abilities 62:1
101:22	110:22	50/50 78:5	ability 32:14
17 107:16	25 9:16 50:20	500 97:18	able 4:2,4 32:2
18 16:16 64:25	83:10	51 96:19	45:4 48:5,11
65:11	26 14:9	55 40:8	50:21 54:18,21
			55:2,19 64:11
	1	I .	

[able - application]

65:17 72:12 97:6	activities 29:12	agency 21:23 23:4	ambitious 7:21 9:7
115:21 120:21	62:16 74:15	25:6 34:2 53:22	13:19
absentee 36:4 42:7	activity 85:6	76:10 81:14 96:2	amount 23:18
48:16	add 20:22 117:18	110:23 111:21	58:19 105:2
absolute 113:13	added 9:20 50:20	agenda 4:13,24	111:13,14
accept 21:15 119:1	108:2	5:18 17:2	amounts 58:14,20
accepted 119:9	addition 10:14	ages 26:14	amy 2:5 4:5,7
access 106:9,10,13	additional 30:17	aggressive 91:2	20:21 67:14
106:16	32:20 105:13	ago 22:3 62:19	121:17
accident 79:24	108:6	75:12 84:10 87:2	andrea 1:23
81:6	additions 4:23	87:5 114:9,16	anecdotally 79:5
accommodate	address 85:12	115:14	animals 45:20
115:11	adjacent 25:23	agreement 27:22	ann 2:4 3:18
accomplish 13:5	40:1 41:5	71:3	announce 8:15
33:16	adjoining 32:17	agreements 70:22	announced 8:18
account 11:10	adjourned 122:7,8	agricultural 23:22	16:23
24:10 45:22 82:25	adjust 56:5	25:20,23 26:4	answer 7:6 12:16
accountable 46:4	adjustments 56:7	42:13 88:13	22:12 28:7 68:18
accruing 9:2	administration	agriculture 40:22	71:21 85:10 93:22
accurate 95:10	7:9 8:14 39:4,11	42:2 44:2,7	answered 75:15
accurately 114:7	46:1,5 51:9,23	agronomically	anticipated 83:6
acquired 24:16	administrations	40:5	113:3
acquisition 21:20	7:12	aid 79:21	anybody's 113:23
23:13 25:7 26:20	administrative	aiding 9:22	anymore 93:12
77:2,13 80:10	96:16	ain't 91:24	94:19
104:17 105:25	adopt 96:12	allotment 44:8	anyway 62:9
112:18,21	adoption 4:24	allow 23:4 32:11	apologize 37:17
acre 30:19 48:19	advance 112:3	77:17 78:1 93:13	appearance 4:11
49:25 50:24,25	advantage 120:20	102:21 109:20	4:14,17
58:14,15 65:1	aerial 37:9 101:1	allowed 19:2 29:4	appeared 108:16
69:1	aesthetic 45:16	45:14 57:17 66:18	applicant 97:5,5
acreage 58:14	affect 42:1,2	66:24,24 68:6,21	102:14 113:17
acres 24:17,25	affordable 97:23	83:6	applicants 18:17
28:10 31:12,21	afternoon 18:4	allowing 76:12	18:21 81:20 82:8
35:19 38:2 39:3	21:9 106:11	allows 89:3 116:14	82:25 100:1 101:9
47:21 50:25 63:13	ag 29:10 31:2	116:21	102:18 108:16
100:24	34:25 39:19 42:20	alternatively	110:25 114:18
active 76:16,17	44:9,11	109:21	117:20,21,22
87:21 92:2 101:15	agencies 33:24	amazement 52:21	118:6
111:6	106:1,4,6	amazing 12:9 32:4	application 17:8
			39:1 63:10 78:3
		<u> </u>	

[application - better]

106:18,20 110:8 114:20 116:2,5 applications 14:4 14:20 116:2,5 applications 14:4 14:20 116:2,5 applications 14:4 14:61 14:15 12:14 14:61 14:15 12:14 15:15 12:15 104:16 105:3,13 12:17 106:3,13 105:14,20,22 aspect 50:12 aspect 50:12 aspect 50:12 aspect 50:19	86:19 104:10	asheville 15:19	33:15 35:6,25	basis 34:1 55:3
114:20 116:2,5			· ·	
applications 14:4 79:15 84:19,21 asking 89:24 53:19 54:9,17 battle 58:5 battleship 16:15 22:4 29:21 59:15 104:16 105:3,13 aspect 50:12 aspect 50:12 asset 51:18 asset 51:18 ascet 50:12 asset 51:18 ascet 69:23 as:16 backbone 33:12 beaver 84:20,22 84:24 85:36,9 beavers 75:19 beackbone 33:12 bed 85:19 beginning 41:23 68:19 76:20 94:24 beal mile 10:20:17 asset 51:18 bad 76:23 87:15 p1:9,17 94:11 beginning 41:23<	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
14:6,14 18:15 22:4 29:21 59:15 120:17 64:8 65:8,18,22 16:18,19 bay 48:6 bear 8:16 beaver 84:20,22 10:63,21 108:7 75:3 assessment 71:24 10:21 108:25 113:17 118:18 backbone 33:12 tassets 14:18 assets 14:18 associated 96:6 associated 96:6 associated 96:6 associated 96:6 associated 96:6 associated 96:6 associated 96:13 aspororaching 64:13 100:9 attention 31:13 appropriated 15:9 115:15 appropriated 52:2 appropriated 52:2 appropriated 15:20 approval 5:20 approva				
22:4 29:21 59:15 104:16 105:3,13 105:14,20,22 1106:3,21 108:7 109:2 110:6,16,22 111:9,12,15,21 113:5,13 115:4 118:21 121:5 applied 39:3 appreciate 33:10 33:17 34:18 56:23 120:1 121:20 appropriate 15:9 17:16 78:24 88:21 100:9 attention 31:13 appropriate 52:2 appropriated 52:2 appropriated 52:2 appropriated 52:2 approval 5:20 approve 6:6 april 8:17 area 26:22 38:7,16 38:18 44:14 67:8 68:24 69:1,2 74:3 74:7 78:17 81:15 89:17 92:2 areas 10:19,24 26:18 69:23,23 72:15 73:17 77:25 91:10 98:15 99:14 106:8 arm's 117:22 arranging 118:19 20:17 464:8 65:8,18,22 66:3 82:21 86:19 bay 48:6 beaver 84:20,22 84:24 85:3,6,9 beavers 75:19 backbone 33:12 background 34:25 39:19 40:16 background 34:25 39:19 40:16 background 34:25 bad 76:23 87:15 91:9,17 94:11 background 34:25 back 7:23 87:15 background 34:25 background 34:25 background 34:25 back 7:23 87:15 background 34:25 back 7:23 87:15 background 34:25 back 7:23 87:15 back 7:25 85:19 back 8:19 76:20 94:24 ballal 10:23 48:10 back 8:19 76:20 94:24 back 11:23,4 99:5 back 6:22 12:21 backsin 7:18 backsin 7:18 backsin 7:18 backsin 7:19 back 7:25 85:12 back 65:8,10 10:16 back 8:19 76:20 94:24 back 10:19 20:17 back 7:25		· ·	/	
104:16 105:3,13 105:14,20,22 aspects 10:6 44:24 55:18 60:19 109:2 110:6,16,22 119:12,5,21 113:5,13 115:4 118:21 121:5 aspreciate 33:10 aspreciate 33:10 aspreciate 15:9 120:1 121:20 appropriate 15:9 17:23 appropriated 52:2 appropriated 52:2 appropriated 52:2 appropriated 52:2 approval 5:20 approval 5:20 approve 6:6 april 8:17 area 26:22 38:7,16 38:18 44:14 67:8 68:24 69:1,2 74:3 74:7 78:17 81:15 89:17 92:2 araging 118:19 arm's 117:22 arranging 118:19 aspects 10:6 44:24 89:23 91:19,21 beaver 84:20,22 sevend 34:25 sevend 10:10 20:17 sevend 10:20 sevend 84:20,22 sevend 34:25 sevend 34:25 sevend 34:25 sevend 10:20 sevend 84:20,22 sevend 34:25 sevend 34:25 sevend 10:20 sevend 34:25 sevend 10:20 sevend 11:23 sevend 11:24 sevend 11:24 sevend 11:24 sevend 11:25 sevend 11:25 sevend 11:24 sevend 11:24 sevend 11:25 sevend 11:25 sevend 11:25 sevend 11:25 sevend 11:25 sevend 11:25 sevend 11:24 sevend 11:25 sevend 12:25 sevend 11:25 sevend 12:25 sevend 12:25 sevend 12:25	′			_
105:14,20,22			· · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
106:3,21 108:7 109:2 110:6,16,22 assessment 71:24 75:3 assessment 71:24 75:2 assessment 71:24 75:3 assessment 71:25 71:4 71:14 77:16 71:24 77:16 71:24 77:16 71:24 77:16 71:24 77:16 71:24 77:16 71:24 77:16 71:24 77:16 71:24 77:16 71:24 77:16 71:24 77:16 71:24 77:16 71:24 77:16 71:24 77:16 71:24 77:16 71:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_		
109:2 110:6,16,22 111:9,12,15,21 75:3		-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
111:9,12,15,21 13:5,13 115:4 assets 14:18 assigned 73:18 associated 96:6 bad 76:23 87:15 beginning 41:23 assuming 85:24 attached 108:19 attention 31:13 attention 31:13 approaching 64:13 100:9 attention 31:13 appropriate 15:9 attention 31:13 100:9 attention 31:13 appropriated 52:2 appropriately 17:23 approval 5:20 approve 6:6 april 8:17 area 26:22 38:7,16 38:18 44:14 67:8 68:24 69:1,2 74:3 74:7 78:17 81:15 89:17 92:2 areas 10:19,24 26:18 69:23,23 72:15 73:17 77:25 area's 117:22 arm's 117:22 armaging 118:19 back 5:2 7:24 8:17 9:15 15:10:15 back 5:2 7:24 8:17 back 5:2 7:24 8:17 9:15 15:10:15 back 5:2 7:24 8:17 back 5:2 7:24	· ·	assessment 71:24	113:17 118:18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
applied 39:3 assigned 73:18 39:19 40:16 bed 85:19 appreciate 33:10 associated 96:6 bad 76:23 87:15 beginning 41:23 appreciate 33:17 34:18 56:23 attached 108:19 ank 10:10 20:17 68:19 76:20 94:24 behalf 12:3,4 99:5 appropriating 77:16 78:24 88:21 32:3 78:20 93:10 behavior 22:10 behavior	111:9,12,15,21	75:3	backbone 33:12	
applied 39:3 associated 96:6 bad 76:23 87:15 beginning 41:23 appreciate 33:17 34:18 56:23 attached 108:19 attached 108:19 bang 104:2 behalf 12:3,4 99:5 approaching 77:16 78:24 88:21 32:3 78:20 93:10 behavior 22:10 behavior	113:5,13 115:4	assets 14:18	background 34:25	85:13
appreciate 33:10 assuming 85:24 attached 91:9,17 94:11 bang 68:19 76:20 94:24 behalf 12:3,4 99:5 approaching 77:16 78:24 88:21 100:9 bank 10:10 20:17 14:2 behalf 12:3,4 99:5 appropriate 15:9 15:15 attorneys 51:16 attractive bank 10:10 20:17 20:17 behalf 12:3,4 99:5 appropriated 52:2 appropriated attorneys 51:16 attractive banks 26:21 27:21 20:17 believe 18:8 78:6 94:11 104:24 bellamy believe 18:8 78:6 94:11 104:24 bellamy 16:21 bench 92:5,6 bench 92:11 92:5,6 bench 92:21,25 58:18 bench 92:13 92:13 92:13 92:13 93:14 93:14 93:14 93:14 93:14 93:14 93:14 93:14 93:1	118:21 121:5	assigned 73:18	39:19 40:16	bed 85:19
33:17 34:18 56:23 attached 108:19 bang 104:2 behalf 12:3,4 99:5 approaching 77:16 78:24 88:21 bank 10:10 20:17 114:2 behalf 12:3,4 99:5 appropriate 15:9 attorneys 51:16 banking 97:17 believe 18:8 78:6 appropriated 52:2 appropriately august 115:2 bank ruptcy 45:5 behalf 12:3,4 99:5 15:15 attorneys 51:16 banking 97:17 believe 18:8 78:6 appropriated 52:2 august 115:2 bank ruptcy 45:5 behalf 12:3,4 99:5 banking 97:17 bench 94:11 104:24 94:11 104:24 94:11 104:24 banking 97:17 bank 98:12	applied 39:3	associated 96:6	bad 76:23 87:15	beginning 41:23
120:1 121:20 attention 31:13 bank 10:10 20:17 114:2 approaching 77:16 78:24 88:21 32:3 78:20 93:10 behavior 22:10 appropriate 15:9 attorneys 51:16 bankruptcy 45:5 94:11 104:24 appropriated 52:2 65:12 bankruptcy 45:5 94:11 104:24 appropriately august 115:2 banks 26:21 27:21 bench 92:5,6 approval 5:20 available 8:23,25 basel 68:24 69:1,2 58:18 approve 6:6 9:4,6 13:20 38:20 base 69:20 94:21 32:13 april 8:17 39:24 47:3 110:9 107:19,21,22 benefit 25:15 area 26:22 38:7,16 38:18 44:14 67:8 averaged 59:3 39:18 45:6 50:1 benefits 37:24 39:17 92:2 averaged 59:3 39:18 45:6 50:1 bernuda 40:25 areas 10:19,24 117:11 basically 40:15 29:16 34:9 48:12	appreciate 33:10	assuming 85:24	91:9,17 94:11	68:19 76:20 94:24
approaching 77:16 78:24 88:21 32:3 78:20 93:10 behavior 22:10 appropriate 15:9 attorneys 51:16 banking 97:17 believe 18:8 78:6 appropriated 52:2 attractive 29:22 banks 26:21 27:21 bellamy 16:21 appropriately 17:23 august 115:2 bar 86:5 beneficial 11:20 approval 5:20 available 8:23,25 basal 68:24 69:1,2 benefit 25:15 april 8:17 39:24 47:3 110:9 107:19,21,22 benefits 37:24 area 26:22 38:7,16 39:24 47:3 110:9 107:19,21,22 base 69:20 94:21 32:13 38:18 44:14 67:8 averaged 59:3 39:18 45:6 50:1 benefits 37:24 56:24 69:1,2 74:3 avoid 98:24 50:16,16 54:13,17 bern 10:20 bern 10:20 74:7 78:17 81:15 aware 10:19 108:3,5,8 112:5,20 basically 40:15 29:16 34:9 48:12 29:16 34:9 48:12 29:16 34:9 48:12 29:16 34:9 48:12 29:16 34:9 48:12 29:16 34:9 48:12	33:17 34:18 56:23	attached 108:19	bang 104:2	behalf 12:3,4 99:5
64:13 100:9 banking 97:17 believe 18:8 78:6 appropriate 15:9 attorneys 51:16 bankruptcy 45:5 94:11 104:24 94:11 104:24 94:11 104:24 believe 18:8 78:6 94:11 104:24 94:11 104:24 believe 18:8 78:6 94:11 104:24 94:11 104:24 believe 18:8 78:6 94:11 104:24 believe 18:8 78:6 94:11 104:24 believe 18:8 78:6 94:11 104:24 bench 92:5,6 bench 92:5,6 <th< th=""><th>120:1 121:20</th><th>attention 31:13</th><th>bank 10:10 20:17</th><th>114:2</th></th<>	120:1 121:20	attention 31:13	bank 10:10 20:17	114:2
appropriate 15:9 attorneys 51:16 bankruptcy 45:5 94:11 104:24 appropriated 52:2 65:12 banks 26:21 27:21 bellamy 16:21 appropriately 17:23 19:1 120:21 bare 27:21,25 bench 92:5,6 approval 5:20 available 8:23,25 basal 68:24 69:1,2 benefit 25:15 april 8:17 39:24 47:3 110:9 107:19,21,22 benefits 37:24 april 8:17 39:24 47:3 110:9 107:19,21,22 bernefits 37:24 april 8:17 averaged 59:3 39:18 45:6 50:1 bernuda 40:25 absed 37:15,22 39:18 45:6 50:1 bern 10:20 best 9:9 22:11,12 39:17 92:2 aware 110:19 108:3,5,8 112:5,20 best 9:9 22:11,12 39:10 98:15 93:14 b 95:19 basically 40:12 49:20,23 50:4 50:16 61:1,14,19 66:13 69:22 93:1 40:8 49:20,23 50:4 50:	approaching	77:16 78:24 88:21	32:3 78:20 93:10	behavior 22:10
appropriated 52:2 attractive 29:22 banks 26:21 27:21 bellamy 16:21 appropriately august 115:2 bar 86:5 bench 92:5,6 approval 5:20 available 8:23,25 basal 68:24 69:1,2 58:18 april 8:17 39:24 47:3 110:9 107:19,21,22 benefit 25:15 area 26:22 38:7,16 19:25 based 37:15,22 54:20 as:18 44:14 67:8 68:24 69:1,2 74:3 avoid 98:24 50:16,16 54:13,17 bernits 37:24 58:17 9:17 92:2 award 25:9 109:11 56:8,10 107:6 best 9:9 22:11,12 areas 10:19,24 117:11 basically 40:15 29:16 34:9 48:12 26:18 69:23,23 awhile 19:8 47:15 48:12 49:4 66:13 69:22 93:1 91:10 98:15 99:14 b 49:20,23 50:4 102:13,15,16 91:0 98:15 99:14 b 56:16 61:1,14,19 65:2 basin 24:11 35:20 better	64:13	100:9	banking 97:17	believe 18:8 78:6
appropriated 52:2 65:12 29:12 75:9 85:22 bench 92:5,6 appropriately 17:23 119:1 120:21 bar 86:5 beneficial 11:20 approval 5:20 available 8:23,25 basal 68:24 69:1,2 benefit 25:15 april 8:17 9:4,6 13:20 38:20 base 69:20 94:21 32:13 area 26:22 38:7,16 19:25 based 37:15,22 benefits 37:24 38:18 44:14 67:8 averaged 59:3 39:18 45:6 50:1 bermuda 40:25 68:24 69:1,2 74:3 avoid 98:24 39:18 45:6 50:1 56:8,10 107:6 bermuda 40:25 48:17 92:2 aware 110:19 108:3,5,8 112:5,20 best 9:9 22:11,12 39:19 9:10 98:15 99:14 b 41:12,18 42:7,8 59:14 63:3,4 47:15 48:12 49:4 49:20,23 50:4 102:13,15,16 106:8 17:9 73:9 74:23 arm's 117:22 b 56:16 61:1,14,19 65:2 better 13:4 15:12 <th>appropriate 15:9</th> <th>attorneys 51:16</th> <th></th> <th>94:11 104:24</th>	appropriate 15:9	attorneys 51:16		94:11 104:24
appropriately august 115:2 bar 86:5 beneficial 11:20 17:23 119:1 120:21 available 8:23,25 basal 68:24 69:1,2 benefit 25:15 approve 6:6 9:4,6 13:20 38:20 39:24 47:3 110:9 107:19,21,22 benefit 25:15 area 26:22 38:7,16 119:25 averaged 59:3 based 37:15,22 54:20 38:18 44:14 67:8 averaged 59:3 avoid 98:24 50:16,16 54:13,17 bermuda 40:25 68:24 69:1,2 74:3 award 25:9 109:11 56:8,10 107:6 best 9:9 22:11,12 89:17 92:2 aware 110:19 108:3,5,8 112:5,20 best 9:9 22:11,12 26:18 69:23,23 awhile 19:8 41:12,18 42:7,8 59:14 63:3,4 72:15 73:17 77:25 b 95:19 56:16 61:1,14,19 19:2 65:2 better 13:4 15:12 arm's 117:22 basin 24:11 35:20 7:9 73:9 74:23		attractive 29:22	banks 26:21 27:21	
17:23 119:1 120:21 bare 27:21,25 58:18 approval 5:20 available 8:23,25 basal 68:24 69:1,2 benefit 25:15 april 8:17 39:24 47:3 110:9 base 69:20 94:21 32:13 benefits 37:24 area 26:22 38:7,16 119:25 averaged 59:3 averaged 37:15,22 54:20 bermuda 40:25 68:24 69:1,2 74:3 avoid 98:24 50:16,16 54:13,17 56:8,10 107:6 bern 10:20 47:7 78:17 81:15 award 25:9 109:11 56:8,10 107:6 best 9:9 22:11,12 38:18 44:14 67:8 award 25:9 109:11 56:8,10 107:6 best 9:9 22:11,12 38:17 92:2 aware 110:19 108:3,5,8 112:5,20 23:21,25 25:15 42:15 73:17 77:25 awkward 41:12,18 42:7,8 59:14 63:3,4 47:15 48:12 49:4 49:20,23 50:4 102:13,15,16 106:8 102:13,15,16 arm's 117:22 arm's 117:12 back 5:2 56:16 61:1,14,19 56:2 better <			29:12 75:9 85:22	· ·
approval 5:20 available 8:23,25 basal 68:24 69:1,2 benefit 25:15 april 8:17 39:24 47:3 110:9 107:19,21,22 benefits 37:24 area 26:22 38:7,16 119:25 based 37:15,22 54:20 as:18 44:14 67:8 averaged 59:3 39:18 45:6 50:1 bermuda 40:25 68:24 69:1,2 74:3 avoid 98:24 50:16,16 54:13,17 56:8,10 107:6 best 9:9 22:11,12 89:17 92:2 aware 110:19 108:3,5,8 112:5,20 best 9:9 22:11,12 areas 10:19,24 117:11 basically 40:15 29:16 34:9 48:12 26:18 69:23,23 awhile 19:8 41:12,18 42:7,8 59:14 63:3,4 91:10 98:15 99:14 b 49:20,23 50:4 102:13,15,16 106:8 595:19 56:16 61:1,14,19 65:2 better 13:4 15:12 arm's 117:22 basin 24:11 35:20 17:9 73:9 74:23				
approve 6:6 9:4,6 13:20 38:20 base 69:20 94:21 32:13 april 8:17 39:24 47:3 110:9 107:19,21,22 benefits 37:24 area 26:22 38:7,16 119:25 based 37:15,22 54:20 38:18 44:14 67:8 avoid 98:24 50:16,16 54:13,17 bermuda 40:25 68:24 69:1,2 74:3 avoid 98:24 50:16,16 54:13,17 bern 10:20 avard 25:9 109:11 56:8,10 107:6 best 9:9 22:11,12 89:17 92:2 aware 110:19 108:3,5,8 112:5,20 best 9:9 22:11,12 26:18 69:23,23 awhile 19:8 41:12,18 42:7,8 59:14 63:3,4 72:15 73:17 77:25 b 49:20,23 50:4 66:13 69:22 93:1 91:10 98:15 99:14 b 95:19 56:16 61:1,14,19 65:2 better 13:4 15:12 arm's 117:22 basin 24:11 35:20 24:11 35:20 17:9 73:9 74:23			,	
april 8:17 39:24 47:3 110:9 107:19,21,22 benefits 37:24 area 26:22 38:7,16 119:25 averaged 59:3 39:18 45:6 50:1 54:20 38:18 44:14 67:8 averaged 59:3 39:18 45:6 50:1 bermuda 40:25 68:24 69:1,2 74:3 avoid 98:24 50:16,16 54:13,17 bern 10:20 89:17 92:2 aware 110:19 108:3,5,8 112:5,20 best 9:9 22:11,12 areas 10:19,24 117:11 basically 40:15 29:16 34:9 48:12 26:18 69:23,23 awhile 19:8 41:12,18 42:7,8 59:14 63:3,4 72:15 73:17 77:25 awkward 116:17 49:20,23 50:4 66:13 69:22 93:1 106:8 b 95:19 56:16 61:1,14,19 19:2 barn's 117:22 56:15 10:15 17:9 73:9 74:23		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	
area 26:22 38:7,16 119:25 based 37:15,22 54:20 38:18 44:14 67:8 averaged 59:3 39:18 45:6 50:1 bermuda 40:25 68:24 69:1,2 74:3 avoid 98:24 50:16,16 54:13,17 best 9:9 22:11,12 74:7 78:17 81:15 award 25:9 109:11 56:8,10 107:6 best 9:9 22:11,12 89:17 92:2 aware 110:19 108:3,5,8 112:5,20 23:21,25 25:15 basically 40:15 29:16 34:9 48:12 29:16 34:9 48:12 26:18 69:23,23 awhile 19:8 41:12,18 42:7,8 59:14 63:3,4 91:10 98:15 99:14 b 47:15 48:12 49:4 66:13 69:22 93:1 106:8 56:16 61:1,14,19 65:2 better 13:4 15:12 arranging 118:19 50:15 15:10:15 56:16 61:1,14,19 56:2 50:16 61:1,14,19 47:15 48:12 49:4 49:20,23 50:4 102:13,15,16 119:2 50:16 61:1,14,19 105:2 105:2 105:2 105:2 105:2 105:2 105:2 105:2 105:2 105:2 105:2 105:2 105:2 105:2 105:2 <		1		
38:18 44:14 67:8 averaged 59:3 39:18 45:6 50:1 bermuda 40:25 68:24 69:1,2 74:3 avoid 98:24 50:16,16 54:13,17 bern 10:20 74:7 78:17 81:15 award 25:9 109:11 56:8,10 107:6 best 9:9 22:11,12 89:17 92:2 aware 110:19 108:3,5,8 112:5,20 23:21,25 25:15 areas 10:19,24 117:11 basically 40:15 29:16 34:9 48:12 26:18 69:23,23 awhile 19:8 41:12,18 42:7,8 59:14 63:3,4 72:15 73:17 77:25 awkward 116:17 49:20,23 50:4 66:13 69:22 93:1 91:10 98:15 99:14 b 56:16 61:1,14,19 119:2 back 5:2 7:24 8:17 9:15 15 10:15 basin 24:11 35:20 better 13:4 15:12 17:9 73:9 74:23	_		·	
68:24 69:1,2 74:3 avoid 98:24 50:16,16 54:13,17 bern 10:20 74:7 78:17 81:15 award 25:9 109:11 56:8,10 107:6 best 9:9 22:11,12 89:17 92:2 aware 110:19 108:3,5,8 112:5,20 23:21,25 25:15 areas 10:19,24 117:11 basically 40:15 29:16 34:9 48:12 26:18 69:23,23 awhile 19:8 41:12,18 42:7,8 59:14 63:3,4 72:15 73:17 77:25 awkward 116:17 47:15 48:12 49:4 66:13 69:22 93:1 91:10 98:15 99:14 b 56:16 61:1,14,19 102:13,15,16 106:8 56:16 61:1,14,19 65:2 better 13:4 15:12 arranging 118:19 back 5:2 7:24 8:17 65:2 basin 24:11 35:20 17:9 73:9 74:23	1		·	
74:7 78:17 81:15 award 25:9 109:11 56:8,10 107:6 best 9:9 22:11,12 89:17 92:2 aware 110:19 108:3,5,8 112:5,20 23:21,25 25:15 areas 10:19,24 117:11 basically 40:15 29:16 34:9 48:12 26:18 69:23,23 awhile 19:8 41:12,18 42:7,8 59:14 63:3,4 72:15 73:17 77:25 awkward 116:17 47:15 48:12 49:4 66:13 69:22 93:1 91:10 98:15 99:14 b 49:20,23 50:4 102:13,15,16 106:8 56:16 61:1,14,19 56:2 better 13:4 15:12 arranging 118:19 59:15 15 10:15 43:24:11 35:20 17:9 73:9 74:23		_		
89:17 92:2 aware 110:19 108:3,5,8 112:5,20 23:21,25 25:15 areas 10:19,24 117:11 basically 40:15 29:16 34:9 48:12 26:18 69:23,23 awhile 19:8 41:12,18 42:7,8 59:14 63:3,4 72:15 73:17 77:25 awkward 116:17 47:15 48:12 49:4 66:13 69:22 93:1 91:10 98:15 99:14 b 49:20,23 50:4 102:13,15,16 106:8 56:16 61:1,14,19 56:2 better 13:4 15:12 arranging 118:19 basin 24:11 35:20 17:9 73:9 74:23	*			
areas 10:19,24 117:11 basically 40:15 29:16 34:9 48:12 26:18 69:23,23 awhile 19:8 41:12,18 42:7,8 59:14 63:3,4 72:15 73:17 77:25 awkward 116:17 47:15 48:12 49:4 66:13 69:22 93:1 91:10 98:15 99:14 b 49:20,23 50:4 102:13,15,16 106:8 56:16 61:1,14,19 119:2 back 5:2 7:24 8:17 65:2 better 13:4 15:12 17:9 73:9 74:23 17:9 73:9 74:23			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,
26:18 69:23,23 awhile 19:8 41:12,18 42:7,8 59:14 63:3,4 72:15 73:17 77:25 awkward 116:17 47:15 48:12 49:4 66:13 69:22 93:1 91:10 98:15 99:14 b 49:20,23 50:4 102:13,15,16 106:8 56:16 61:1,14,19 119:2 arranging 118:19 basin 24:11 35:20 17:9 73:9 74:23				
72:15 73:17 77:25 awkward			_	
91:10 98:15 99:14 106:8 arm's 117:22 arranging 118:19 b 49:20,23 50:4 56:16 61:1,14,19 65:2 better 13:4 15:12 17:9 73:9 74:23	′		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
106:8 arm's 117:22 arranging 118:19 b 95:19 back 5:2 7:24 8:17 9:15 15 10:15 56:16 61:1,14,19 65:2 better 13:4 15:12 17:9 73:9 74:23				
arm's 117:22 back 5:27:248:17 65:2 better 13:415:12 arranging 118:19 9:15 15 10:15 basin 24:11 35:20 17:9 73:9 74:23		b		
arranging 118:19 back 5:2 /:24 8:1 / 9:15 15 10:15 basin 24:11 35:20 17:9 73:9 74:23		b 95:19		
9.17 17 10.17		back 5:2 7:24 8:17		
	0 0	9:15,15 10:15		
artificial 41:15 11:15 23:1 28:3,5 basins 24:10 77:17 83:21 92:3	arunciai 41:15	11:15 23:1 28:3,5	pasins 24:10	
30:22 31:18,24 95:1 96:1 98:14		30:22 31:18,24		95:1 96:1 98:14

[better - carolina]

101:2,6,11 103:15	blue 5:11 20:1,9	browning 2:4 3:18	business 21:3
bevington 2:12	28:14,16 40:2	3:18	34:24 39:22 49:12
21:6 27:10,15	121:13	brumley 18:6	116:25
57:2 58:23 59:7	bmds 60:12	106:12	businesses 10:21
62:14 67:14,24	board 1:7 2:3 5:21	brush 79:2,22	11:5
68:14 69:8 70:12	12:6 16:8,13	buchanan 10:1	busy 114:13
70:17 77:15 80:20	18:24 19:1,8	buck 32:4 104:2	button 42:11 97:4
87:4,8 92:1,14	21:10,11 69:16	budget 6:18,23 7:5	buy 10:24 79:16
94:4 95:13 96:21	71:6 96:23 101:13	7:10,13,24 8:12,13	buying 51:12
100:7 101:22	110:20 115:14	8:16,19,25 9:13	buyouts 10:9
102:20 103:9	117:4	11:19 13:17,24	c
big 7:4 14:21 19:7	boonies 88:13	98:11 104:23	c 2:1
34:11 42:5,10	border 35:10,17	105:6,10,17	cabinet 33:24
43:7 45:15 48:2	48:6 60:23 94:9	budgets 111:23	calendar 118:23
49:19 51:5 57:13	borders 41:7	buffer 25:25 26:1	calendars 16:24
67:5 75:19 79:25	bottle 99:23	29:8,22,24 30:4	california 43:12
80:13 93:10,21	bottles 99:21	31:2,23 32:17,21	43:18
99:6 104:21	bottom 74:2 90:22	68:2 72:18,18,20	call 3:10 16:2
105:17 107:25	bought 59:11	74:19,22 75:4	121:18
bigger 83:5 103:15	boundary 73:20	79:8 81:6 82:14	called 15:19 35:5
120:9	79:11 80:1 96:14	103:18 104:3	42:19 102:5
biggest 43:13	96:19	107:17 111:4	canals 25:24
44:11 100:17	bounds 64:18	buffering 40:13	capital 7:18
bill 5:5 53:24	box 79:9	buffers 15:11	capture 15:13
billion 8:23	brady 5:11,11	74:21 83:12 89:18	car 71:16 78:21
biologists 62:18	bragging 20:16	106:22	carbon 42:19,23
107:8 114:1	brand 15:25	bug 99:2	43:2,3,10,10,14
bit 4:3 8:19 16:13	break 70:7,11	build 83:3 89:2	44:1
17:13 21:13,24	104:10	100:13	care 69:6 76:21
22:22 32:1 34:21	brief 6:22 104:9	builder 27:20	82:9 89:15 98:23
35:2,16 37:1	114:18	58:12 built 84:15 97:18	caring 88:4
40:19 44:4 45:8,9	briefly 104:12	bull 80:7	carolina 1:16 3:6
53:22 59:10 67:10 86:15 88:4 104:11	bring 22:11 63:20 71:18 85:25 110:1	bullet 76:6 84:21	3:13,22 4:19 5:13
105:24 112:22		bullets 74:24	12:25 15:2,16
103:24 112:22	bringing 81:16 94:8	bump 105:17	16:15 19:17 20:12
black 40:17 43:19	brings 65:1	burden 52:11	20:16 23:5 24:5
blessing 45:21	broad 46:10,11	burning 41:8	26:3 35:9 40:19
blind 67:22	broken 48:1 111:1	48:14 60:3	43:1,8,15,19,22
block 51:5	brought 64:21	bushes 86:23	46:24 47:2 49:20
DIUCH J1.J	78:23	Dubited 00.23	56:1 63:6 68:23
	10.23		71:17 76:1

[carry - commodity]

carry 38:9	change 3:7 9:23	city 89:8,10	105:21
case 23:17 32:4	12:14 14:22 16:5	claim 107:14	collect 101:2
39:7,9 48:18 51:7	21:25 22:10 31:1	claimed 106:18	college 101:17
55:3,3 61:17,21	82:3 108:1 109:22	107:11,17	color 29:5
62:3,7 88:18	changed 21:24	clark 2:11 13:15	colored 29:7 40:17
91:14 108:12	62:21 67:1 84:9	14:25 18:9 103:5	column 67:17
118:10	91:23 95:4	classification 54:7	come 8:17 10:2
cases 9:9 29:11	changes 11:16,17	classifies 53:24	34:6,17 41:25
78:14 108:9 109:5	22:9 44:16 54:15	clay 40:4	42:8 46:7 49:5
121:21	54:16 58:24	clayton 3:22	60:8,14 62:10
catch 114:13	107:23,25 112:24	clean 1:6 3:3 9:15	65:22 93:2 99:7
category 120:19	112:25	9:15 10:7,15,16	100:15 103:22
cause 41:18	changing 14:22	13:6 14:25 15:5	110:19
cautions 98:23	22:4 24:21 39:12	15:22 16:4 17:5	comes 21:21 59:23
cawood 2:4 3:3,14	44:25	21:9 23:7 24:15	97:23 117:9
cell 4:22	channel 85:6 93:7	28:14 31:4 33:10	coming 11:14
central 22:23	94:11	62:6,18 63:3 66:9	21:25 36:5,17
43:18 102:23	chapel 12:19	71:12 72:7,24	37:19 58:16 95:17
cents 96:20	chapter 4:19	82:5 92:20 93:18	99:19 102:21
certain 76:18	character 75:25	116:25 122:2	108:25 118:18
78:20 90:20 98:19	characterize 87:17	clear 28:23 30:12	comment 18:11
certainly 14:15	87:18 94:16	30:21 32:11 50:5	19:12
21:7 34:9 37:4	charge 96:11	53:15,19 56:9	comments 17:1
45:15,22 56:23	charged 71:11	60:21 63:12 64:7	18:12 19:9,9,10
57:3 62:23 76:10	charlie 5:11	64:11,22 65:15	commercial 61:9
77:15 100:8,10,20	charlotte 87:23	66:22 67:3 79:11	commission 5:15
115:11 116:5	chase 108:21	80:7	40:20
certified 56:2 78:2	cheaper 58:6	clearly 80:3 81:13	committed 25:6
chair 2:4 3:1,3,14	check 27:1 72:2	95:22 108:9	34:3
3:23 4:1,7,9,20	77:22,23 79:9	climate 9:22	committee 69:17
5:12,16,25 6:8	checking 73:15	close 11:25 12:1	70:20 71:5 81:17
12:17 13:9 14:23	77:18 114:2	51:10,15,18 91:8	93:25 96:23 99:20
18:11 20:21 21:1	chemical 34:24	closed 52:9 71:1	99:25 101:4
27:7,12,13 33:3	chewing 85:2	closely 33:25	112:11,24
57:1,5,19 58:7	children 55:7,16	closeout 95:16	committee's 99:11
69:14 70:6,14	chip 61:19 65:4	closer 79:4,4	committees
77:1,12 87:2 90:5	choose 116:9	closing 109:23	116:22
99:4 102:11 104:4	chose 19:25 94:6	clouds 38:25	commodities
117:3 119:12	chosen 85:5	coast 49:10	44:11
120:2 121:1 122:1	circle 28:16	coastal 12:25	commodity 44:24
		42:25 43:22	

[common - county]

common 81:21	conflicting 63:11	consultant 55:21	conversion 59:16
90:19	confused 15:17	consumed 72:21	111:7
communicate	confusing 31:9	contact 94:21	convert 30:14,15
117:19	114:20	contacted 116:13	30:19 32:2,18
communities	connects 35:19	contain 111:23	converted 26:1,4
14:19 33:15 34:8	consent 5:18	112:18	27:24 30:9 50:14
43:22	conservancy 5:6	continue 69:19,22	cooper 7:9 33:22
community 11:3	5:12	83:2	cooperative 23:8
62:13 74:9 90:20	conservation 5:8	continues 97:8	coordinate 116:14
company 15:18	15:15 17:22 20:17	continuity 74:20	118:25
compare 14:12	21:5,16 23:14	74:24	correct 77:5
73:4	24:25 27:5,6 28:9	continuous 75:4	corrected 115:3
compared 86:8	28:12 30:16,20,25	82:19	correcting 80:13
comparison 37:18	31:8,25 36:16,20	contract 6:3 22:1	80:14
compatible 91:3	36:22,25 37:2,20	22:5 25:11,12,12	corrections 23:2
compete 118:6	38:11 42:21 51:4	25:14 27:3 28:2	corrective 77:18
competing 75:17	51:10,15 52:8	36:24 40:10 60:6	correlation 83:25
complains 88:22	63:14 68:1 77:7	60:11 61:6,7	89:13
completed 72:7	83:7 87:21 95:3	64:20 70:21,25	corridor 80:22
94:6,25 95:17	95:18 109:14,17	71:1	108:23
completely 46:25	112:21 114:10	contractor 49:11	cost 50:20 58:5
64:3 91:15 99:16	conservationists	84:5	60:12,18 61:13,15
complexities 59:11	19:15,16	contractors 47:4	61:21 97:21 103:6
compliance 4:8	consider 7:22 14:8	55:25 97:3	costing 58:19,20
116:9,23	22:4 40:6 66:10	contracts 22:9,10	costs 58:2 60:9
complicate 63:9	81:16 97:13 102:4	32:11 36:13,15	111:23
complicated 23:3	110:13	40:8,9 63:16	cotton 44:12
118:7	consideration	66:19 72:4	council 34:15
comprehensive	115:20	contractually	counsel 19:2,3
15:3	considered 7:22	76:20	counted 29:4
concern 42:1	37:13 48:22	contrary 119:18	counterparts
concerned 39:5,20	109:20	contributes 74:8	37:21 42:24 52:23
condition 83:22	considering	contributing 74:4	counties 76:16
91:1	104:25	control 41:9 49:22	77:24
conditions 68:7	consistent 81:25	84:22,24 98:3,9	counting 82:20
73:20,25 75:6	constituents 14:17	controlling 57:23	county 3:17 5:9
conducive 40:21	constitute 119:8	controversy 4:18	22:21 28:13 31:14
conference 121:18	constraints 50:16	conventional	33:1 35:5,7,10,12
confident 16:6	construction 6:3	112:6	35:13,18 36:3,16
conflict 4:10,11,13	81:17 111:5 112:9	conversation	36:19 37:12,25
4:14,16,17 49:14		102:18 120:10	38:11 39:2,25

[county - depend]

42:3 44:12 46:17	36:5,23 37:19,23	cut 27:23 30:12,21	december 5:21
48:17 53:5 75:23	38:5,21 39:4,11	32:12 50:5 53:15	108:4
75:24 77:20,20,21	44:18,25 45:7,13	53:19 56:9 60:21	decide 64:3 98:1
79:14 85:12 95:14	45:24 46:2,5,19	63:12 64:7,22,22	110:20 118:1
couple 10:5 18:12	47:5 49:16 50:7	65:13,16 67:3	
75:2,14 85:5	50:22,23 51:23	92:6	deciding 117:13 decimate 48:11
91:14 116:11	52:20 53:7 54:3		56:12
		cutting 66:23 80:7	decimated 48:9
course 4:21 9:14	54:25 55:6 56:10	cycle 25:9 104:15	
21:2 28:20 42:11	57:16 59:3,14,24	110:10,15 112:15	decision 48:20
48:9 64:16 68:11	61:9 63:2 65:18	d	49:15 63:25 65:24
82:4 84:5	68:3 72:11 88:25	daily 45:19	118:13
court 118:5	101:24	dale 2:6 3:20	decisions 12:11
cover 15:4 42:21	crep's 54:16	17:14 20:10 22:25	121:6
119:14,22	crews 50:18	62:24 92:25	deck 20:1,8
covered 74:1	criteria 37:7,14,23	dam 111:7	dedicated 101:19
90:24 117:13	39:16 107:24	damage 41:19,20	deed 25:8
121:4	critical 113:4	damon 2:14 18:16	deemed 38:20
cp 40:11,23 61:15	117:2	78:23 91:17	deep 74:22
crappy 100:6	crop 25:20 28:3	118:21	deer 75:19
crazy 59:6	30:3 31:19,22	dark 52:18	default 106:8
creaks 26:22	39:24 40:13 41:20	darn 58:15	deficiencies
create 85:21	48:2 50:13 53:20	data 74:21 89:14	113:16
created 75:5	54:10,21 60:24	101:2	deficiency 78:8
114:15	68:6	date 6:2 8:15 28:1	defined 92:3
creates 83:8	cropping 30:23	38:24	definitely 101:14
creative 16:8	crops 30:8 35:1	david 2:7 3:24	118:9
credit 43:14	42:21	20:21 121:17	deliver 115:5
107:12 108:3,5,7	cross 81:24 83:3	davidson 3:19	delve 69:18 70:2
credits 43:2 44:1	crossing 81:23	day 16:17,24 55:1	demarcation 79:7
96:8 97:21	crp 49:16,17,18	57:21 70:23	density 49:24
creek 5:8 32:24	50:9 54:3	116:18	68:25
35:5,17,19 85:15	crunch 113:13	days 13:16 39:2	department 7:15
85:19 89:4 92:17	crux 27:11 32:22	113:19 118:23	7:21,23 8:4 9:6,10
94:22	120:15	deadline 110:8	10:4 11:7,9,14
creeks 91:15	cultural 48:13	deal 19:7 58:13,13	33:12,17
creep 79:13	107:11	dealing 11:10	department's 7:25
crep 17:3,7,14,15	curious 69:9 73:19	56:22	8:1 10:2
21:13 22:16,19,22	current 7:2 23:22	debts 45:6	departments 7:15
24:3 26:22 28:11	32:10 56:6 69:9	decade 46:1 53:5	89:8
28:16 29:19 30:13	currently 6:22	64:14 114:15	depend 49:10
31:22 32:25 35:22	65:9 112:5	OT.17 11 T .13	

[depends - easement]

depends 58:25	59:2,12 67:17	district 34:22 35:2	doughnut 28:18
depressed 65:8,10	68:5 72:15 73:17	35:13 36:16	downstream 86:9
deputy 6:16	81:14 84:13	districts 26:25	103:4
deq 114:4	101:25 116:16,17	37:5 59:22 77:21	dozing 80:8
describe 95:20,22	117:3	disturbance 74:14	draft 11:14
98:14	differently 119:23	disturbingly 79:6	dragging 43:5
describing 18:22	differing 25:13	ditch 29:2,3 30:5	drainage 25:24
description 73:25	difficult 48:17,21	38:8,8 40:2 41:18	41:15,16,17
92:8 96:3	49:3,8 51:21	ditches 25:24	drains 35:19
design 15:19 16:6	52:25	40:12	drastically 112:25
111:18	diligence 39:8	dive 17:2	draw 31:13
designated 107:19	diligent 73:18	dividends 114:16	drawbacks 15:13
designed 83:18	directing 94:15	division 13:18	drawing 39:22
desire 119:17	direction 48:25	96:4	drawn 52:4
desktop 107:4	111:10	divisions 7:16	drinkable 74:6
despite 82:15	directly 25:24	dncr 1:15	driven 50:6
113:11	director 2:11 8:13	doctor 91:21,24	driving 71:17
destroy 48:11	19:18	92:13	drones 101:1
destroyed 46:25	disappointed 95:2	documents 22:1	dry 18:10
60:23	disaster 85:25	95:7,11,13	ducks 34:10
destructive 89:6	86:9	doing 7:10 12:14	due 11:13 39:8
90:1	disclose 45:1	13:1 15:7 21:22	63:15 76:10
detailed 96:3,9	discretion 116:1	21:23 24:13 27:19	dumb 100:11
details 21:19 33:1	117:14	32:19 44:7 48:3	dumping 67:4
69:18 70:2 113:15	discuss 62:23	58:2 59:17 71:25	durham 77:21
determine 107:20	71:21 104:12	73:3 76:23 83:23	80:16
determined 58:21	discussion 6:5 8:3	84:3 87:19,22	dysfunctional
develop 68:23	17:4,21 62:10	91:6 92:2 94:19	97:12
84:24 103:23	63:20,22 80:2	95:16 102:25	e
112:2	104:9	dollars 7:18,18	e 2:1,1 69:9
developed 22:2	discussions 63:5	8:23 9:4,18 14:7	earlier 35:4 49:17
108:14	70:19	23:19 24:14 30:19	56:7 63:5 66:3
died 86:18	disease 47:13,13	58:21 59:4,8	70:18 81:1
diem 33:8	disking 41:9	104:25	early 16:17 35:23
diet 91:23	distant 96:24	don 12:19	35:24 44:6 50:7
difference 30:18	distinction 25:1	donation 21:17	115:1 119:1
51:2 68:13 79:25	distressed 69:23	donor's 19:22	earth 31:16 59:17
88:5 117:24	75:8	door 37:19	59:18
different 24:22	distressing 76:4	dot 28:19	easement 24:20,25
26:9,14 29:5,20,21	distribution	doubt 33:8 88:19	26:6,10 28:9,12,16
36:23 50:18 57:10	105:18		30:1,15,17,20,25
			, -, -, -,

[easement - exactly]

21.0 10 25 26.25	200mamia 50.17		eric 5:14 22:25
31:8,10,25 36:25 37:2 38:13 40:10	economics 50:17	encouragement 20:18	54:24 63:1 64:11
	economy 34:7		
47:21 51:7,11,15	108:12	encroached 72:16	64:17 68:18 96:10
51:19 53:8,9,13	economy's 9:1	72:19 74:3	eric's 96:9
57:16 60:6,16,22	ecotype 40:18	encroachment	erodible 37:10,13
63:13,14 67:8	edge 29:17 79:10	73:16	37:23,25 38:3,5,18
70:15,21 73:21	118:9	encroachments	39:15 53:25 54:6
74:16 77:7 79:10	educating 69:22	88:14	66:6
79:17 83:5,7	education 43:15	endowment 77:8	erosion 49:22
88:17 90:18 95:18	educational 17:12	endowments	75:10 85:19,21
103:15 109:14,18	82:11	101:19	err 115:23
110:18	effected 91:13	ends 86:14	especially 6:25
easements 17:22	112:25	enforced 71:4	21:14 43:8 77:20
21:16 23:12,15	effective 57:23	enforcement	84:13 99:13 121:6
24:17,19,23 25:19	100:23	76:13	esq 2:11
27:5,6 32:19	effectively 50:22	engaging 93:4	essentially 22:2
36:13 38:11 42:25	efficient 32:5	engineered 83:18	25:5,19,22 26:3,6
44:2 45:14,24	120:14	engineering 91:6	26:10 28:19,22
46:14,19 47:5	effort 16:11 22:20	92:8	30:4 59:12 72:14
51:5,24 52:4,9	efforts 10:2 102:7	enhance 69:3	73:11 84:10 90:18
53:4 56:8,10	eight 85:22 107:14	enhancement 21:5	92:10 96:5 100:18
58:11,18 66:7,11	108:21 111:20	59:4 62:17 92:4	establish 56:20
66:19 67:25 68:1	either 24:4 27:3	111:4	established 15:6
72:16 73:16 76:7	30:4,14 90:24	enlisted 28:14	60:17 61:20 76:22
77:10,11 81:2,10	98:23 114:19	enquire 4:9	establishing 47:5
82:18 90:25 95:3	118:24	enter 6:3 25:11	establishment
96:6 101:17	element 10:16	entire 35:18 49:23	61:16
easier 52:16 119:4	11:2	environment 73:7	estimate 98:6
east 43:9 75:25	elements 11:6	89:2,16 98:8	evaluation 112:10
94:9	eligibility 37:7	99:19	event 46:23
eastern 24:5,8	109:17	environmental	eventually 96:23
26:3 43:18 47:2	eligible 38:19	103:21,22	everybody 6:21
63:6	39:10 66:8,13,15	environmentally	33:9 104:13
easy 79:1 108:11	emphasis 46:3	86:25	121:15 122:1
120:22	93:9,21	environments	everyone's 61:22
eating 75:19 85:3	employees 53:2	72:1 89:12 98:20	evidence 108:8
economic 14:19	encompass 38:16	98:20	evolve 15:2
economically	encourage 13:3	envy 13:11	exact 81:12
43:21 44:14 64:23	96:18 119:24	equipment 41:2	exactly 27:10
65:1	encouraged 88:15	41:10 67:5 86:23	66:17 67:9 83:18
			94:14 95:20

[exactly - fishing]

100.22	1.'. 0.7.67.14	52.04.50.12.12	71 00 75 0 04 7
100:22	explain 8:7 67:14	53:24 59:13,13	71:22 75:8 94:7
exaggeration	explaining 20:2	farmer 40:5 42:7	102:13 110:1,24
63:18	38:14	60:9	111:11 113:18
example 19:20	exploring 120:17	farmers 36:3	114:22,23 116:14
31:7 37:9 40:22	export 65:7,9	39:21 44:21 48:3	116:17 118:20
46:16 47:24 61:18	exposure 47:16	51:9 54:14 57:9	fields 16:23 41:20
64:24 66:4 73:21	extend 6:2	62:12	50:13,13
80:12 85:25 87:23	extension 79:21	farmland 39:25	fighting 45:5
91:9 101:24	extra 10:23 79:16	faster 104:7	54:14
106:12	79:19 81:5 98:23	father 51:8,12	figuring 120:18
examples 24:20	extremely 33:4	fault 62:8 99:16	fill 74:13
25:18,22 80:25	93:3	favor 4:25 5:25	film 34:15
89:20 90:17	eye 84:18	6:8	filter 40:12,23
excellent 27:16	eyes 107:10 120:7	favorite 115:19	final 41:10 42:19
107:2 120:3	120:11	feasible 114:7	finally 18:4 117:7
exception 91:4	f	feature 84:25	financial 44:5,8,17
exceptions 21:18	facilitate 118:11	february 110:12	44:24 45:2 89:22
exclude 97:2	fact 24:18 29:11	113:12	financing 108:13
excluded 28:21	85:7 108:9,10	federal 15:14 23:5	find 16:12 21:10
excuse 33:3	factored 52:6 98:6	23:16,18 36:18,19	71:21 75:12 86:8
execute 115:22	factors 98:17	37:21	89:9,25 91:10,22
executive 2:11	fading 44:11	federation 5:13	92:19 98:17,22
9:22 19:18	fail 84:12	fee 109:11,15,25	102:4 116:21
exemptions 90:23	failed 108:10	feed 25:24 57:11	findings 17:25
exercise 86:23	fairly 13:18 90:25	feel 121:21	76:3 90:13
exhibits 95:19	faith 13:12	feet 28:20 69:1	finds 73:9
existing 6:4 66:18	familiar 36:6,9,21	75:1 111:13	fine 25:14 81:4,7
exit 30:21 65:25	1	felt 17:5,8 20:3	90:15,23 100:13
expanding 97:13	37:4 100:22 107:5	118:8	finished 86:13
expansion 7:17	family 55:11 fantastic 32:13	fence 79:11 81:22	87:4
expected 99:14,18	59:9 87:17 97:22	fences 81:22	firm 16:6
expects 7:3		fencing 58:3	first 4:21 33:7
expense 30:23	fantastically 86:4	fertilizer 34:24	49:18 71:19 76:6
60:22,25 61:2	far 24:9,11 39:8	fescue 40:25	120:16
expensive 59:17	39:21 44:18 46:10	fha 51:12,13	fiscal 6:23 7:2,5
86:16,20 111:6	46:19 47:6,10	field 18:5 19:5	9:3
expertise 100:20	48:25 57:24 60:15	24:8 30:6 31:2,14	fishable 74:6
expire 64:2 65:20	64:12 66:6,25	31:17,21,22 32:7	fishing 5:8 32:24
expired 40:8 65:17	90:6 105:18	32:16 40:13 48:3	35:5,17,19 42:9
expires 66:1	farm 32:16 41:1	48:6 49:23 54:5	45:12 78:18
	41:17 42:9 45:4	60:23 62:6 71:19	.5.12 / 5.10
	48:19 49:4,6 51:8	00.25 02.0 / 1.17	
	1	1	1

[fit - give] Page 11

fit 72:10 112:7,13	39:14 40:23 59:4	89:13	102:15 110:21
five 47:23 51:17	59:5,9 68:1 72:17	four 9:14 61:6	funny 28:17 62:21
70:7 73:11 74:13	72:18 74:25 78:13	71:1 72:14 73:11	86:23
78:12 80:9 93:16	78:15 80:22 82:20	106:1,19,20	further 23:6 41:20
107:1	85:22 97:18	110:23 111:4,8,21	72:5 82:7
fix 71:9	103:18,25	120:5	fusiform 47:13
fixed 75:12 92:24	footsteps 19:19	fraction 113:19	61:18
flag 96:1	forage 57:24,25	frame 35:24 46:18	future 10:12 22:13
	ford 83:4	64:16 120:21	26:17 50:5 84:19
flagging 97:11 flashed 67:15	fordham 2:11		96:25
flat 93:10	foremost 33:7	frankly 76:9,19 78:6	90.23
		front 15:16 72:22	g
flexibility 62:11 flood 10:9 73:23	foresight 44:15	90:8 111:11	gall 47:16
	forest 26:5,21 31:2		game 55:5 106:7
73:24 93:5,11,13 103:16 104:1	32:3 46:24 49:10 56:1,2,16,17 61:24	fruition 94:8 fruits 67:11	gap 82:20 83:8
			gathered 33:20
flooded 10:19,20	68:7,23 69:12	full 69:16 108:3,7	geared 40:11
10:22	72:21 81:5 85:17	115:9	general 4:8 13:23
flooding 10:12	93:10	fully 23:3	32:8 71:7 102:8
11:11	foresters 56:2	fun 16:16,25 72:6	generally 21:16
floods 10:13 92:8	forestry 26:11,11	functioned 75:16	85:7 100:23
florida 43:18	61:4,16	functioning 12:5	105:23 120:23
flow 40:2	forever 15:1	functions 73:5	generated 61:12
floyd 46:22,23	forget 83:4	fund 1:6 3:4,6 7:1	generating 40:20
flying 67:22	forgot 92:18	8:5 10:7 11:21	generation 55:11
focus 15:7 69:4	forgotten 13:1	13:10 14:6 15:3,6	geographically
120:24	62:7 88:17	15:15 23:7 24:15	35:16 55:20
focused 10:23	form 74:10,13	28:15 31:5 33:11	georgia 47:7
folks 7:20 34:16	79:9 109:1	62:19 71:13 72:8	getting 27:8 29:25
38:22 43:11 49:4	formal 43:15	72:25 78:3 93:18	34:10 55:10 60:2
49:9,11 52:10	forms 16:4	99:5 104:22	60:16 65:10 75:25
54:12 56:22 60:8	forth 10:15 31:18	funded 17:7 25:3	92:25 102:2
96:18 104:4 105:9	37:18 51:18	25:10 59:20 86:13	115:23 116:15
106:14 110:2	fortunate 45:13	100:5 105:8,11,16	117:23 120:15
112:5 113:8 115:9	104:23	108:22,24	121:9
121:11	fortunately 52:1	funding 10:17,23	gift 119:8
follow 19:19	forward 17:17	13:21,23 77:17	gifts 119:6
120:13,19	53:3 70:1 99:10	93:16 101:8 112:4	give 6:18 9:25
following 31:11	122:5	funds 7:19 13:23	18:14 19:12,20
food 119:7	forwarded 7:24	25:6 33:13 36:16	22:23 31:25 33:1
foot 25:25 26:1	found 18:1 71:22	36:17,18 44:21	97:9 101:3 119:21
29:8,21 38:19	73:2,2 86:2 87:11	92:20,20 96:16	

[given - hand] Page 12

71.15	((.14 (7.15 (0.11		10.12.51.16
given 71:15	66:14 67:15 68:11	grandchildren	group 18:13 51:16
gives 33:14	69:18 70:1 72:22	55:8,16	57:9 68:15 97:8
giving 33:7 34:17	77:3 79:3,23 80:2	grant 25:3 91:11	102:5
glad 5:16 103:11	83:2 84:12 87:19	104:15 109:11	groups 12:7
global 34:6	89:23 90:15 91:19	112:5,7,8,15	grow 45:19
glut 46:17	91:24 92:18,19	grants 6:4	growing 41:13
go 10:15 14:12	94:18 98:8,10	grass 40:12,17	62:5 72:20 85:10
16:1,17,20 18:5,20	100:4 107:9 109:6	41:1 60:4,17,23	87:16
19:22 20:18 21:18	109:8,22,23	grasses 40:21 41:6	grown 48:1
23:22 25:19 31:18	111:13,16 112:12	42:18	grows 47:15,16
34:14 53:11,17,19	113:9 114:21	graze 57:18 72:3	growth 47:19 90:1
54:9 56:9,15,17,19	116:23 117:24	grazing 25:21	108:4
58:23 61:18 62:6	118:3 120:4,23	great 3:8 6:1 8:2,9	guarantee 29:11
63:22 64:4 67:21	121:4,7	12:14 15:21 18:13	guess 62:10 92:25
71:9 73:1 75:11	goldsboro 46:25	18:15,24,24 19:1	guest 17:13 33:4
84:10,12 86:3	good 6:20 9:21	45:21 58:13,13	guidance 113:8
89:9 91:21 94:17	13:7,16 15:22	70:3 79:16 82:9	115:12 116:11
95:1,8 98:3,23	16:7 20:25 22:7	86:18 87:22 91:8	guide 18:18
101:24 107:7	36:1 42:22 46:20	95:7,25 97:7,24	guthrie 2:14 77:6
113:17,22,24	53:18 58:15,16	98:21 99:1,6	guy 79:22
115:8,17,18	59:6 62:25 76:5	106:12 107:10	guy's 80:24
117:13 118:2	83:1 88:1 90:12	111:10 119:20	guys 8:8,9 12:2
120:24	91:1,7 94:23	121:16	34:18 44:20 53:6
goal 9:13	95:18 97:4 99:11	green 31:14 106:7	56:13 66:11 98:1
goats 57:9,11,20	103:6,9,22 105:23	107:14	109:6 113:12
58:4 72:2	118:25	greenville 3:24	h
goes 13:22 22:16	google 31:16	20:12	habitat 42:5 45:21
37:14 55:14 93:8	gotten 110:14	greenway 75:17	62:17 69:3,5
101:16 103:1	government 9:6	86:21 88:9 98:8	80:23
105:10,18	12:8 43:6 54:20	103:19	half 8:22 40:24
going 3:1 4:2 8:4	106:15	greenways 11:2	51:1 108:3 110:13
8:20 9:11,18	governments	14:18 57:8 87:24	halifax 5:9 22:21
10:11,15 14:15	106:1 110:23	88:7	28:13 33:1 35:5
15:15,19 16:7,9,14	111:22 112:16	greer 2:4 3:3,14	35:10,12 37:12
17:2,4,19 21:4,12	governor 8:11	70:17 71:25 88:21	39:2 42:3 46:17
22:19,22 33:9,21	11:13 12:3 33:22	grissom 2:5 4:5,5	48:17
33:23 37:17 39:21	governor's 9:12	20:24 66:16 67:7	hamilton 12:4
43:7 44:15 49:1	9:22 11:19 13:13	67:20 68:9	
50:9 53:8 55:13	13:24	ground 22:23	33:5,6
55:17 62:24 65:6	graduate 12:19	59:18 107:11	hand 50:17,19
65:20,25 66:2,3,13	_		61:24,24

[handle - idea] Page 13

handle 33:23 37:5	heads 114:11	hey 46:8 49:5 50:8	homework 94:20
51:6	healthy 53:18 75:3	53:17 54:16 83:2	hominy 92:17
hands 61:22 98:5	hear 19:13 24:2	116:2	honored 6:15
hanging 67:11	26:23 30:6 76:14	hickory 79:20	hope 18:4,9 23:1
hank 2:11 6:10	79:23 88:20 93:9	high 47:19 50:1	28:6 43:23 69:19
19:8 116:8	96:24 103:11	57:25,25 59:15	93:15
hank's 62:14	heard 13:19 63:9	80:11 93:3 97:21	hoped 73:24
115:15	78:10 79:5 116:18	106:24	hopefully 13:25
happen 6:14 8:21	116:19 121:3	highest 58:19	43:25 73:6 75:4
102:9 118:3	hearing 17:18	59:19 89:13	hoping 16:1 34:9
happened 102:18	hearne 2:14 58:9	highlighted 74:20	75:2
happening 80:6	59:6 95:11 101:14	82:3	horizon 44:10,16
120:25	102:2 117:18	highlighting	hornstein 12:20
happens 27:8	120:1,12	111:25	horrible 78:12
47:17 54:10 76:24	heavy 75:10 111:6	highly 12:5 37:10	hot 42:11
80:24 89:12	112:9	37:13,23,25 38:3,4	
109:19 110:8	heir 48:18	38:18 39:14 53:25	hourly 34:1
happy 3:9 12:15	heirs 48:19	54:6 57:24 66:5	hours 99:2
19:12 56:3 84:19	held 86:4	hiked 86:6	housekeeping
86:25 88:20 90:10	help 11:18 18:18	hill 12:19	121:11
92:22 115:5	28:15 42:13 52:3	hinders 53:23	houses 10:21
hard 29:7 46:15	57:11 62:14 91:24	hire 50:17 51:16	housing 65:8
56:25 58:25 66:14	95:21 97:15 98:1	52:2 55:21	howes 5:5
99:17 116:8 121:6	98:12 103:2	hired 15:18 16:7	howse 5:5
121:17	118:11	71:10	huge 14:11 31:12
harden 87:10	helped 44:25	historians 107:12	59:15 85:19 88:5
harder 120:16	93:19	114:3	103:20 104:3
hardwood 29:22	helpful 54:24	historic 11:9 16:20	hundred 59:8
hartzler 2:16	71:23	historical 107:11	hunting 42:9
harvest 26:17 50:5	helping 6:13 16:10	historically 28:10	45:12
56:15	18:21 109:1	history 13:10 15:5	hurricane 46:21
harvestable 26:7	henderson 3:16	54:4 71:18 83:23	46:23
28:22	herbicide 41:11	84:8	hurt 44:13 63:8
harvested 32:10	48:5,10,13 56:18	hit 46:22 71:25	hydric 38:9,15
60:21	herbicides 57:20	113:5	39:15 66:5
harvesting 29:16	58:6	hog 79:23	hydrology 54:18
29:23	herbivores 75:18	hold 46:4 77:10	i
he'll 80:1	heritage 106:24	holding 77:7 84:7	idea 20:14 22:7
head 65:21	107:2,3	hole 28:18	44:19 75:16 79:16
headed 22:18	hesitation 37:1	home 51:9	103:6,10 121:7
			100.0,10 121.7

[ideas - joined]

ideas 10:3	incised 93:7	input 115:15	intuitive 17:10
identified 102:9	included 63:1	inside 91:6 93:7	invasive 83:11,13
identifying 120:18	72:11	insights 17:16	88:2 98:1,2
identity 3:8	includes 13:21	inspire 120:9	invasives 83:19
ignore 67:17 101:9	95:19	installations 114:4	investment 28:4
ignored 76:9 81:7	income 61:12	instance 10:22	investments 13:4
83:22 100:12	inconsistent 74:16	109:22	invitation 116:7
illustrates 28:8	incorporated 35:6	instructions 81:20	invite 117:21
imagined 90:21	incorrect 95:5	insufficient 90:16	118:1,4
immediate 73:12	increase 14:10	insurance 12:21	invited 17:13
impact 20:14 34:6	18:14 105:1,21,21	13:1	inviting 117:22
69:25 83:5 99:14	increased 13:22	intelligent 115:21	involve 15:23
impacted 78:14	increasing 39:23	intended 26:9	involved 10:4
82:23	incredible 12:2	intense 62:12	16:10 17:6 34:22
impacting 20:20	121:4	intensely 31:15	35:21 36:14 46:6
impacts 80:8	incursions 82:17	intensive 25:22	48:25 77:5 94:7
impartially 115:22	indefinitely 27:4	26:2 28:3 58:4	113:14 119:5
imperfect 82:21	individuals 107:19	intensively 25:20	involvement
implement 60:12	industry 43:5,13	73:1	121:19
important 6:19	64:24	intent 28:23	involves 24:6
10:6 14:18 15:11	inevitable 30:11	interest 4:11,12,14	issue 48:2 49:21
23:24 24:18,21	influence 55:24	4:15,17,17 34:19	67:5 71:7 97:1
25:1 69:16 74:20	inform 102:17	42:5 48:22,23	101:8 102:4 103:1
81:15 102:22	information 18:2	49:14 63:3,4,8,11	114:10 120:15
116:19 117:9	45:2 56:4 82:9	63:14	issues 11:11 46:15
impossible 7:8	95:10 114:19	interested 94:19	93:25 94:3 101:8
impressive 12:6	115:18,24 116:4	115:2	114:15
improper 118:3	116:16 117:15	interesting 11:24	item 42:10
improve 43:21	informative 82:9	13:2 16:14 18:2	items 7:17 121:11
69:5 94:2	121:25	28:25 109:9	j
improved 45:12	infrastructure	121:24	jams 85:21
90:16	41:3	interestingly	jason 2:6 3:12
improvement	infringed 76:7	78:24	job 12:14 16:7
45:16 63:7 111:17	inherit 55:13	interests 55:8	75:24 77:18
improvements	inherited 49:4	intern 17:24 70:16	102:13
42:14	initial 120:13	103:7	john 2:7 3:15 16:9
improving 42:16	initially 38:5	internal 16:3	16:11 20:5,6,6,7
85:8	initiative 33:17	interrupt 27:16	102:11
inaccuracy 63:19	innovative 104:17	introduce 5:3	join 4:2,3
incentive 44:8	111:20,25 112:13	introduced 40:24	joined 33:4 35:7
			.

[judy - license]

judy 4:1	42:23 44:5 45:4	land 3:6 5:6,13 8:5	104:19,23 113:3
july 114:25 117:10	45:17,25 46:4,6	10:7 12:8 15:2,14	·
	49:17 51:6 52:12	21:11 22:16 24:21	largely 96:10
119:1 120:20			larger 38:15 82:20 103:24 110:25
june 16:1 113:20	53:3,8,21 54:2,15	25:22,23 26:4,6	
114:23,25 118:24	56:5,6,22 57:22	27:24 29:12 35:18	lasts 20:4
justify 46:16 56:13	58:14 59:25 60:1	37:10,11,13,23,25	late 4:22 35:6
justin 2:13 18:16	62:2 64:18 69:23	38:2,14,20 39:6,17	39:11 44:6 51:8
78:23 84:23 86:14	79:8,25 80:1,12	39:23,23,24 40:1	90:9
91:17 118:21	81:13 86:4 87:13	41:15 42:2 43:19	lawsuits 54:13
justin's 24:8 26:13	90:3 91:10,19	48:21,23,24 49:12	lead 17:4
k	93:21 99:25 100:4	49:21 51:12 53:25	leaders 8:14
keep 25:1 28:9	100:18 102:14	54:3,18 55:14	leadership 8:1,2
41:12 48:5 53:17	103:2 104:4 113:9	56:2 58:3 62:13	121:2
53:18 55:17 84:18	116:7,12,13 118:9	66:4 77:4 78:2,8	leading 10:1
88:2,3 118:17	119:15,25 120:9	79:15 82:4 90:19	leaf 60:3
key 8:13 75:3	120:13 121:17	100:21 108:1	lean 61:25
kicked 42:22	knowing 115:20	109:23 110:10	learn 112:1
kids 101:18	knowledge 69:20	122:4	learning 19:21
killing 88:25 89:21	known 43:11 48:9	landowner 31:20	lease 39:23 42:8
90:8	knows 4:10,13	35:25 36:24 38:23	leave 54:23 56:11
kind 6:21 9:21	8:21 9:7 14:16	40:23 42:7 46:7	65:16 100:14
17:16 23:19 33:21	27:13	51:7 52:2 62:4	109:16
	kudos 99:20	109:8	leaves 6:23
35:11,14 36:10	kudzu 47:25,25	landowner's 60:22	left 53:4,5 64:14
38:24 39:7,13,16	48:2,5,8,11 56:6	60:25	74:23 90:14,15
40:12 42:12,22	56:10,12 57:8,12	landowners 25:5	92:6 109:13
43:5 48:3,4 49:13	57:23 58:3 72:1	36:2,4 37:2 44:14	legal 6:11 23:23
52:18 53:23 54:22	88:22 89:20 90:7	45:3,17 48:16	54:19 61:2 79:11
55:21 57:13 66:21	98:4,10 99:16	49:3 55:2 59:2	legally 95:6
67:10,22 69:25	kumor 2:5 3:16,16	61:2 62:20 64:13	legislative 14:1
70:2 72:6 73:22	5:23 6:7 93:24	111:16	legislature 8:16
78:9 100:12,22	102:24	landowners's 63:4	13:12 14:16
101:20 105:8	1	63:8	length 37:15 70:25
115:14 118:4,16		lands 26:11,15	117:22
118:18 120:15,18	l 1:23	51:3 57:13 106:7	lenient 37:8
kingsley 1:23	labor 58:4	language 25:13	letting 89:4
knew 90:25 101:6	lady 51:16 62:4	54:8,11,15 57:17	level 55:14 96:17
know 6:22,25 7:7	laid 120:7	68:15	100:20 107:10
8:8 9:4,11,18	lamb 5:14,14 23:1	large 23:18,20	leverage 58:16
12:10 13:17 14:10	68:19	•	license 9:17
15:5 17:5,14 19:6	lamp 63:1	24:7,10 30:5	ncense 9.17
19:22 20:6 21:1		46:17 84:11 85:20	
		Numt Donorting	900 055 0541

[life - management]

life 63:17	lives 11:4	looking 9:12 17:17	lousy 100:2
light 112:9	livestock 35:1	20:1,8,9 36:12	love 5:2 8:8 63:4
lighter 29:7 40:17	39:20 57:22	37:2,25 38:4	69:21 70:1 78:5
liked 115:6	living 55:20	44:17,22 46:13	92:22
likelihood 108:1	loams 40:4,4	50:3 52:15 53:18	loved 106:14
limitations 76:11	loblolly 47:11	54:3 72:14,15,23	lovely 99:22
limited 29:15	49:24 60:2	73:19,23 74:19,24	low 67:11 97:19
51:25	local 12:7 34:8,19	75:9 83:20 90:22	97:20 107:1
line 15:24 29:2	55:21 59:1 85:11	93:25 100:16	lowest 58:20
31:11 40:2 49:13	89:24 106:1,15	122:5	luck 100:12
74:2 79:9 81:21	110:23 111:21	looks 47:17 65:11	luckily 6:10 85:17
83:5 90:22 103:19	112:15	74:10	91:12
110:5 113:7	locally 52:12,19	loop 121:22	lucky 69:21
linear 59:3,5,8	location 23:25	lor 65:12	lwf 33:11
97:18	logo 15:24 119:7	lose 18:19	m
lines 28:13,13,14	logos 96:14	losing 39:17,24	machete 99:2
31:9,10 82:25	long 9:24 10:4	lost 47:1,22 54:12	mail 69:9
83:1	11:7,11 27:20	lot 7:1 9:2 11:8	main 47:14
list 55:24 56:1	52:4 55:10 60:3	13:19 14:20 18:20	maintain 49:11
72:2 94:17,21	63:10 74:22 87:2	24:7 28:17 31:3	94:20
100:8,19 101:3	113:11 121:18	33:14 35:24 36:8	maintaining
105:9 111:15	longer 111:12	37:1,7,14 38:3	103:23
listen 55:4	look 27:13 28:25	39:9,17 41:14,18	maintains 54:4,5
literally 11:5 28:4	31:8 36:11 37:12	42:13,15,24 43:3,4	maintenance
86:9,12 89:21	38:9,10,12 40:7	44:8,14,25 45:1	70:22 71:2
little 4:3 8:19	43:12,17,25 44:6	46:2,14,18 47:9	major 22:15 25:23
16:12 17:13 19:20	44:18 46:9 50:9	48:17,21 50:6,11	54:13 74:14
21:12,24 22:22	53:8,14 55:6	50:13 52:11,15,22	106:19
28:18 32:1 34:21	59:22 63:2 64:3	55:25 58:5,6	majority 36:11
35:2,16 37:1 44:4	64:14,15,24 69:25	59:25 63:7 69:23	40:9 81:1
45:8,9 59:10 62:4	73:1,4,7,13 74:15	73:22 74:21 76:8	making 34:3 44:19
63:17 71:18 76:4	81:17,19 82:22	76:18 77:24 79:6	man 71:11
79:6 81:9 82:14	83:2 86:14 94:12	80:10 81:11 83:16	manage 41:7,21
84:17 86:15 88:15	99:10 103:1,7	83:18,21 84:16,25	48:12,15 50:21
96:14 105:24	113:24 114:8,24	87:23 89:18 99:1	68:11 85:4 87:24
108:2 112:9,22	115:5,19 116:2	103:20 104:8	managed 26:23
117:14 121:2	119:23	105:7,13,14 106:3	30:8 48:20 83:8
littleton 35:9	looked 37:8,22,24	109:4 113:2	88:8
live 45:20 49:9	39:12 42:23 50:8	114:14 119:4	management 1:6
55:16	60:1 94:23,24	120:14	3:4 7:14,25 21:9
			22:15 23:7,21
			44.13 43.1,41

[management - months]

0.1.17.07.0.00.17	24.47.00.0		
24:15 27:3 28:15	marker 31:17 88:8	meeting 1:7 3:5	mining 67:4
29:16 31:4 33:11	market 65:4,4,7	5:22 8:2,9 16:13	minute 12:24
41:12 42:3 46:11	109:7	16:19,25 18:24	19:12 23:21 25:18
49:12 50:10,12	marketing 45:10	19:23 21:10,11	26:24 70:7 87:14
55:14,18 56:3,17	markets 44:24	22:13 34:15 67:17	minutes 5:21 17:3
60:5,8,19 61:4	65:9	67:21 74:7 117:1	104:5
62:19 66:22,23,25	marking 80:1	121:10,18 122:8	miscellaneous
67:12 68:7 71:12	81:10,19 94:2	meetings 19:1	75:14
72:8,25 87:22	96:3	35:25 38:23 99:25	misinformation
92:20 93:18 98:11	mary 97:2	116:20,24 117:5	95:4
122:3	match 21:17 23:16	member 54:25	mismanagement
manager 68:3	23:17 59:15 77:10	members 19:11	59:25 60:20 92:7
managers 30:13	78:4 97:22 109:21	55:11	missed 114:19
69:12 113:21	matched 80:21	memory 38:24	missing 95:5
114:21	material 82:11	mentioned 39:19	mission 15:13 23:8
managing 77:9	materials 17:12	49:17 58:9 71:5	69:5
mandates 4:9	math 58:24	81:1 97:16	mistake 91:6
mann 2:12 5:7,7	matter 19:17 85:7	mercer 2:13	misty 10:1
22:21 26:24 30:7	matters 4:12	merit 31:3,5	mitigate 10:11
32:24 34:16 57:15	mature 22:6	107:14	mitigation 96:4,8
57:21 60:15 61:8	matures 47:16	mess 100:16	97:16
64:10 66:2,25	maxed 106:25	message 102:20	mixed 23:8
67:9 70:5	mcdaniel 2:16	met 8:1	mixes 40:21
mansion 16:21	mean 67:7 90:12	metal 79:25	model 108:4,6
map 95:1	95:11 98:2,14	method 48:4,10	monetize 110:17
maps 95:5,5	100:15,21 118:2,3	middle 81:5	money 6:24 9:2
march 1:17 11:12	meandering 31:10	military 15:11	10:6 13:20 30:18
38:25	means 78:15	107:17 114:3	33:15 44:19 52:1
marcus 18:1 71:10	meant 69:3	million 9:16,17	58:5 60:7,10,18
71:22 72:12 74:11	measure 38:18	14:7,14 24:14	61:21 79:16,19
75:15,22 79:7	measurement	104:16,21,25	86:3 108:24
82:21 87:18 90:24	68:25	105:7,16 119:15	moneys 23:5 121:7
98:25	mechanical 50:15	119:18 120:6	monitor 76:15
marie 2:15	mechanism 77:17	mind 8:16 25:2,17	77:11 97:8
marissa 2:16 77:8	meckham 2:15	28:10 55:17 62:17	monitoring 76:17
95:1	mecklenburg	mindful 117:6	94:1,1 111:23
mark 16:24 81:15	77:20	mineral 43:25	112:8
96:18	meet 22:21 108:17	51:13	month 93:23
marked 80:3	109:6 113:10	minimum 68:2	months 15:1 40:3
81:11,13 91:2	122:3	97:7	65:10 71:15
,			

[morgan - offsite]

morgan 6:16 morning 6:20 mountain 114:5 mountains 20:2,10 105:20 mouse 29:6 move 4:24 6:6 10:24 21:3	79:20 84:17,25 86:5 89:17 106:23 107:2,3 nature 4:18 nc 71:11 72:24 near 82:5 nearly 109:3 nebulous 11:23	19:18 22:13 27:17 64:17 85:2,5 91:11 92:12 105:14 107:5,6,8 109:10 112:2,2 113:7 newer 19:6 news 76:5,24	nuances 104:12 nuisance 85:11 88:24 number 14:3 41:24 42:22 48:24 54:5,5 72:9,12 80:15 81:12 91:12 105:2,20
moved 5:23 35:11 movement 7:2 moves 55:7 moving 53:3 59:17 70:14 mow 79:4,9,24 81:21 89:10 mower 79:1,12 mowing 78:12,22 89:7 municipalities 89:24 murray 2:15 mystic 3:7	necessarily 17:10 38:8 71:4 76:15 120:12 need 14:4,11,15,17 17:9 19:23 21:7 22:3 38:8 64:15 68:12 70:8,11 71:8 80:2 92:15 94:17 95:22 97:13 98:9 103:10 110:5 113:17 116:25 119:23 120:19 121:12 needed 61:7 118:8	90:11 newspaper 88:21 90:4 nice 75:24 82:11 88:6,8 94:12 108:24 nobody's 100:16 non 9:4 26:2 76:18 101:12 110:24 112:16 nonprofit 12:8 106:3,13 111:22 nonprofits 106:2,9 110:24	numbers 58:23 71:14 99:18 103:25 104:11 nursery 46:23 nurturing 75:2 nutritious 57:24 o objection 85:13 objective 41:24 74:7 120:11 objectives 55:12 55:15 71:20 obligation 116:6
n 2:1 name 3:7 14:21,22 15:9,12 16:3,5 35:15 92:18 96:13 names 43:13 nancy 2:14 83:24 107:12,18 113:25 nancy's 80:10 narrow 89:2 nation 35:3 national 54:11 nationwide 43:4 54:14 native 40:18 41:6 72:20 natural 6:16 15:11 15:11 26:18,21 29:24 72:20 78:17	needs 23:6,6 44:21 63:22 78:20 90:16 104:1 112:6 negotiating 51:17 neighbor 62:4 73:25 neighborhood 73:11 98:14 neighborhoods 98:18 neighbors 73:7 87:19 89:14 nervous 85:16 nervously 85:24 never 20:10 49:5 61:13 62:7 71:4 76:21 79:24 99:2 new 6:22 10:20 15:24,25 16:3	normally 8:24 north 1:16 3:5,13 3:22 5:12 12:25 15:2,16 16:15 19:16 23:5 24:5 26:3 35:9 40:18 43:1,8,15,18,22 46:24 47:2 49:20 56:1 63:6 68:22 71:17 75:25 76:1 northern 47:7 note 4:20 104:18 107:16 notice 116:25 notify 116:12 noting 105:5 106:2 111:9 notion 115:17	117:17 obvious 67:3 78:8 obviously 26:15 32:9 45:11 50:12 74:15 75:11 79:1 82:16 93:14 104:21 occur 109:10 offer 30:13 offered 31:23 36:8 36:24 37:21 45:7 office 7:13,24 22:24 35:8,12 49:5 71:16 102:23 113:19 offices 95:15 officially 84:22 officials 43:6 offsite 19:1

[oh - permanent]

oh 62:5	order 9:22 51:18	parameters	97:25 98:9 109:18
okay 9:8	96:15	113:22	paying 45:5 100:9
old 20:7,11 21:7	organic 43:20,24	parcel 28:13 80:21	payments 97:4
48:8 61:19 64:22	organization 5:4	parcels 73:12	pays 59:24 114:16
64:25 65:2,5,11	12:5 44:20	park 89:10 90:3,5	peanut 44:13
86:1 88:21 110:13	original 61:5,7	91:5,7	peanuts 44:12
older 45:17 103:17	93:11	parking 67:4	peekatop 19:25
oldest 35:3	outlets 41:17	78:21	20:8
once 11:18 27:24	outside 61:1 63:12	parks 10:18 11:1	people 10:20,24
38:24 68:24,25	70:25	14:17 33:13 106:7	13:3 14:9 15:17
70:25 77:19 94:21	overall 50:20 67:1	part 13:14 18:14	33:20 36:12 43:1
113:4	overcome 57:8	28:21 29:9 61:4	48:25 49:15 50:7
ones 66:20 67:3	overdue 63:10	65:3 68:7 78:2	52:6 62:25 63:12
72:10 75:11 81:11	overs 91:14,16	80:21 90:18 100:3	63:13 65:12 70:8
83:25 93:20 100:9	92:22,24	110:13	70:23 78:15,21
101:15,19 106:5	oversight 46:11	partial 108:5	79:3 81:18,23
107:6	77:3	participate 59:2	84:10 88:9,16
ongoing 102:19	overview 69:14	109:13,24	89:9 90:19 95:21
open 12:1 25:4,10	owned 106:13	participating	95:23 97:2,6,15,19
27:25 30:3,6	110:16	20:23	98:6 100:25 101:5
31:19 38:2 106:8	owner 27:22	particular 11:21	101:7,10 103:3
116:24 117:5,12	ownership 109:22	23:2 47:21 63:6	121:12
operate 41:1 44:21	110:18	particularly	people's 11:4
operating 7:18	owns 48:23	118:19	percent 14:9 35:18
operations 34:2	p	partner 69:20	47:3,22 48:11
opinion 30:7	p 2:1,1	77:5	50:20 66:12 76:6
opportunities	package 16:12	partners 77:10	79:5 81:2,9,22
32:15 36:8 44:5	78:3 115:9	78:1	82:17,18 83:10,12
62:20 72:5 94:16	packet 121:13	partnership 12:9	92:21 111:2,24,24
opportunity 15:21	pacolet 79:17	70:1	112:7
32:18,22 33:14	page 22:8 74:13	parts 43:17	percentage 37:15
34:17 44:17,22	paid 23:12 32:1,1	party 48:23 76:12	53:16
45:18 53:11 56:24	72:25 73:5 78:19	109:20 120:11	perfect 82:18 89:2
73:14 86:18 89:11	paler 40:17	pass 84:1	perimeter 100:25
93:1 113:8 116:21	pamlico 35:20	passed 105:6	period 28:2 39:1
opposed 4:25 6:1,9	41:25	pastures 50:13	56:19 114:18
opposite 75:7	panic 34:4	patch 93:5	permanent 21:21
option 24:24	panic 54.4 paper 57:7	patches 57:10	23:12,14 24:23,24
options 8:12	par 112:19	patching 84:6	27:4 30:16,20
orange 106:7	paralegal 52:5	pay 21:19 23:14	31:7,25 32:3,20
	pururegui 32.3	28:15 60:7 97:15	53:11 64:4,9,15

[permanent - pretty]

65:23 66:7	plains 103:16	pointer 29:6	66:24 67:1,4
permanents 53:7	plan 12:25 13:1	points 100:11	69:11,22 102:8
permanents 33.7 permitted 23:23	16:24 55:5 56:17	106:25 107:1,25	112:2
*	68:8 98:11 112:15		
perry 71:10		108:2 116:11,17	praise 97:5
person 70:10	planning 30:11	poke 65:3	pre 61:9
personal 39:18	104:18 108:15,25	policy 68:23 84:24	predict 7:8
45:2	112:17,19 113:3	96:9 120:8	predictive 73:10
personally 43:3	120:17	polk 79:14	98:17
perspective 32:25	plans 108:16,18,20	poor 61:23 81:23	predominance
34:19	108:22,23,24	92:7	40:15
phase 86:15	113:4	poorly 84:2,4	prefer 117:25
102:25 103:1,3	plant 27:21 28:1	popped 74:18	preferably 41:9
120:4	29:20 50:15 60:24	popular 25:4 74:8	preference 39:18
phases 24:16	68:4	portion 24:7 38:13	115:10
phone 3:23 4:6,22	planted 26:7,16,16	41:4	prematurely 56:9
20:23	28:22,24 30:10	portions 112:18	prep 61:16
phonetic 20:1 90:3	31:15 32:9 49:24	position 22:11	preparation 60:16
photo 56:6	50:2,11,15,19 52:7	32:8 109:9	prepared 115:7
photography	74:25 78:20 85:3	positions 45:1	preparing 34:8
37:10 101:1	planting 49:23	positive 6:12	114:21,24
pick 32:20	89:5	12:12	prescribed 41:8
picture 40:14	plantings 111:5	possibility 53:21	48:14 62:16 69:11
47:24 48:7 83:16	plate 9:17	54:9	present 2:10 17:20
93:21 94:5,10	playing 115:19	possible 41:22	17:25 85:14 88:4
pictures 26:12	please 4:15,21	44:22 55:23	101:12
99:21	16:24 27:16 99:4	102:16 117:23	presentation
piece 74:14 96:20	116:7	118:24	104:5 114:25
piedmont 105:19	plenty 94:10	possibly 3:4 53:15	presentations
pine 32:9 47:11,14	plot 31:15	post 79:16,17,25	115:8 121:24
49:24	plowed 88:18	posts 79:19,24	presented 114:6
pipeline 36:6	plug 16:9	potential 18:17	presenting 13:2
103:25	plus 9:2,17 50:22	38:4	110:2
place 27:3 46:2,12	pocket 60:14	potentially 10:17	presently 25:3
64:19 72:16 73:6	point 7:3 13:7,10	98:24	63:16 81:19
74:8 75:10 98:21	22:24 23:1 30:21	power 70:7,9,12	preservation
places 10:25,25	35:25 36:14 37:6	82:25 83:1,4	10:10 11:9 73:14
16:5 23:19 24:24	43:7 50:4 67:18	practice 24:21	preserve 106:12
88:11 94:10	71:23 86:5 91:15	40:11 72:11 96:3	preserved 11:1
plain 10:9 73:23	94:5 97:10 102:12	practices 23:21,23	preserving 26:21
73:24 93:5,11	108:19 114:12	29:10,15,16 48:13	pretty 24:9 26:17
104:1	120:3	56:6 59:25 60:5	32:4 44:7 50:2,6
	T. Control of the Con	1	

[pretty - purchase]

5 0 15 22 52 0	50.0 05.10 05.14	27.20	1 7 7 00
58:15,22 62:9	73:2 85:19 87:14	programs 27:20	promised 76:20
67:10,12 73:3	87:15 88:2,10,24	34:20 36:7 44:9	78:9
76:1 82:15,23	90:24	51:2 60:4 62:20	promoting 39:8
84:7 85:2 86:11	procedure 100:22	76:17 77:2 97:14	proof 77:2
90:6,13 94:23	proceed 4:4	101:20	properties 110:7
108:11 118:21	process 7:10 18:18	progressed 45:8	110:16 111:14
prevent 117:22	18:19 25:8 32:21	progressive 56:17	property 30:11
previous 7:12 9:13	97:2 104:13	64:12 65:5	31:9,11 48:18
19:3	processed 52:25	prohibited 119:6	51:14 81:18,25
price 97:17	produced 45:10	project 7:18 24:12	82:6 109:5,12,21
pride 11:3 87:24	product 97:24	26:2,11 27:25	110:18 119:16
prided 36:1	production 34:25	28:25 29:14 30:2	proposal 9:12
primary 69:4	39:19 40:22 42:1	30:9 73:13 74:3,7	11:19
prime 39:25	42:20 44:2,9	74:25 75:16 76:21	proposals 9:13
prior 34:23 68:21	48:10 53:20 54:4	78:4 85:8,14,17,18	proposed 8:15
priorities 93:6	54:10,21	86:2,16,21 90:21	11:7 67:18 106:4
priority 92:4	productive 40:5	91:18 93:13,23	protect 29:18
103:20 107:20	products 9:23	95:18,23 98:22	protecting 58:4
private 43:5,13	profits 76:18	104:20,21 110:3,9	76:22 82:13
privileged 43:24	110:24 112:16	111:1 113:14,22	protection 15:10
privileges 42:9	program 8:6 17:3	114:8,22 117:8,10	111:8
proactive 101:20	17:5,11,15 21:5,13	117:25 120:4,23	protein 57:25
probably 6:21	21:14,21 22:8,17	projects 7:1 14:5	proud 86:10
15:1 21:25 22:24	22:19 23:4,9,10,14	15:8,8 23:15 26:7	provide 96:19
25:11 34:5 50:20	23:20 24:3,4,6	32:5 58:3 59:8,19	100:19 117:1
59:13 63:7 64:19	25:5 26:20 27:19	71:8,13 72:8,25	provider 55:22
66:12 73:18 77:16	28:11 29:19 30:21	76:9,13,17 77:21	provides 29:23
81:16 85:21 90:9	31:20,22 32:14,17	77:22,23 82:12	providing 100:18
90:9 91:5,16 92:7	36:5,19,20,22	83:10 84:2,15	provision 57:17
93:19 96:24 100:5	37:20 39:4,6,8	86:10 87:16 88:2	66:6
105:9 112:8 119:1	42:25 44:10,13,25	88:13,19,23,24	provisionally 17:7
121:10	45:7 46:2 49:2,18	89:23 91:2,3	provisions 53:25
problem 46:8 52:5	49:22 50:7 52:20	92:15 93:1,16	54:1,13 67:2
53:1 75:19 76:14	52:24 55:1,6,9	94:25 95:24,25	proximate 106:22
76:25 78:25 83:9	56:25 58:10,17,21	97:9,14,18,20 98:4	public 19:10,11,15
83:12 85:11 89:1	62:8,12 64:1,6	100:12 103:15,18	81:25 106:8,10,13
89:8 91:3,23 98:4	65:16,25 68:3	103:24 104:19	106:16 117:1
100:14,17 102:4	70:24 76:22 77:9	107:18 111:7,16	pull 25:7 47:6 64:3
102:10 114:10	82:1 88:20 96:11	111:18 112:13	115:1
problems 10:12	101:16 112:1,7,23	113:10,15	purchase 109:14
41:3 52:16,22	113:21 114:21		110:11
		I.	

[purchased - reiterate]

purchased 51:8	83:24 99:7 120:22	realize 24:18	received 108:5
109:6,12,23 110:4	questionable	really 7:3 10:2	reception 16:21
110:7	47:10	13:11 15:6,20	recognize 69:12
purchasers 43:4	questions 12:15,17	17:8,16 19:7	recommendations
43:11	22:12 25:13 27:17	21:15 22:6,11	96:13,22
purchasing 43:14	30:24 57:3,4 58:8	23:9 24:11,22	recorded 95:6,14
purposes 12:21	62:25 71:20 75:14	26:21 28:8 30:5	records 100:2
pushing 63:12,13	80:5 119:12	33:9,14 39:5	recreation 33:13
put 7:20 17:11	120:17 121:16	43:21 46:13 48:8	recreational 45:11
20:17 28:10 33:14	quick 19:20 66:16	51:19 53:1 67:1	106:14
44:25 46:3 52:11	115:12	69:16 72:9,23	recurring 9:4,16
54:21 55:5 56:13	quickly 67:24	73:10,15 74:19	28:1
56:14 57:20 62:25	118:8	75:7 76:14,21	red 28:12,19 29:2
73:8 79:25 83:15	quite 24:6 40:19	78:15,17 81:8	31:9 40:7
87:9,9 92:5 99:1	53:4,6,22 67:10	82:8,11,23 84:19	redone 92:19
100:8 105:10	74:18 75:23 76:7	86:8,17,24 87:13	reduced 50:23
118:4 119:17	80:14,24 81:8	88:5 89:13 90:13	reenlist 65:17
120:6	84:20,21 87:12	90:16 91:11 94:20	reese 113:25
puts 109:8	91:12 103:24	95:20,22 96:22,25	reexamining
putting 18:23	105:22 106:23	97:9,13 98:13	96:25
39:21 71:23 82:9	113:20	99:17,17 100:1,6	refer 58:15
108:25	quo 56:11	101:20,23 106:6	referenced 108:18
q	quorum 4:4	112:12,25 114:12	reflect 22:14
qualifications 38:6	r	114:16 117:14	reflected 98:11
97:1	r 2:1	118:7 119:5	reflooding 93:8
qualified 29:1	raised 97:17	120:24 121:6,24	regardless 39:14
51:3 66:5	raising 39:22	reapplications	42:6 43:16
qualify 91:11	raleigh 1:16 52:14	14:8 105:5,7,15	regenerative
qualifying 38:7,17	ran 46:24 47:11	reason 19:2 35:4	42:12
38:21 39:13 41:5	48:15	38:12 46:21 53:12	regional 105:18
quality 12:12 15:7	ranking 37:22	59:20 73:8 84:20	111:9,12,15
24:1 25:15 29:18	rare 91:12	reasonable 118:17	registered 52:3
37:24 41:23 42:10	reach 115:25	reasons 58:12	regularly 74:18
47:10 49:21 57:25	118:12 119:24	84:13	regulatory 96:7
61:23 69:4	react 76:24	rebranding 15:20	reid 6:15,17 12:17
quantify 98:22	read 113:15	15:23	13:9,15 19:19
quarter 105:4,12	ready 16:1 62:22	rec 89:8	20:13
108:5,17	62:23 70:12 115:8	recall 77:25	reimburse 109:18
question 7:4 27:16	real 33:16 38:12	receive 14:4 54:20	reinforce 33:22
28:7 53:7 59:23	63:10,19 71:7	108:7	reiterate 87:9
64:21 66:17 74:2	74:2 81:19 89:21		

[relates - rows] Page 23

relates 34:1	114:22 116:15	27:19 69:17 70:15	rid 57:11
relationship 36:2	114.22 110.13	70:20,20,24 71:13	ridge 5:12 20:2,9
52:12	request 6:2 7:14	70.20,20,24 71.13	right 11:24 23:24
relatively 88:14	7:16,21 13:19,20	78:4 83:8,24 84:9	26:18 28:19 29:6
99:19	78:3 115:4	88:23 89:11 91:18	29:12 31:14 34:7
release 113:7	requested 104:20	92:3,5 93:24	40:14 54:2,8,12
relevant 69:13	requesting 14:7,14	97:14 98:4,21	62:24 64:23 65:11
relieved 86:8	105:15	101:23 102:25	66:21 69:6 74:23
remaining 41:4	requests 7:17 9:8	101.23 102.23	92:23 94:4,8
remarkable 57:12	22:9 104:11	110:24 111:2	100:13 105:9
remember 12:1	115:25	110.24 111.2	110:8 116:5
17:20 46:21 83:15	require 29:15 60:7	restorations 96:7	117:16 118:16
remind 104:13	68:1 94:25 96:18	restrictions 64:5	rights 51:14
109:4	required 26:8 50:1	restrictions 04.3	riparian 111:4
reminder 106:18	61:1 70:24	result 107:24	risk 11:4
112:1 119:6	requirement 68:2	results 57:12 73:4	river 24:10,11
reminds 24:8	72:3	99:13 107:23	27:20 29:9 58:11
remonstration	requirements 22:2	112:10	79:17
83:3	22:10 25:14 26:8	retained 35:15	road 45:8 47:23
removal 111:7	27:2 39:13 49:2	51:13	82:5
remove 28:5 61:19	68:4 96:8 101:15	retains 54:3	roadblocks 45:24
removed 84:14	108:17	retermed 42:12	roanoke 93:3
renee 2:5 3:16	requires 29:19	retirement 39:6	rock 84:11,16
94:5,14 99:10	60:3	return 45:9 59:9	role 19:18
rent 39:23	reserve 21:5 36:20	returning 10:18	roles 20:19
rep 24:9 116:18	36:22 37:20 42:25	revert 28:2 30:22	roll 3:10
repair 71:8 85:22	resiliency 9:24	reverted 54:17	rolled 31:24
86:2	10:5,23 11:8,12	review 5:20 11:15	rolling 15:25
repaired 87:7	12:20 13:5,21	104:13 107:13	roofs 13:4
repairing 72:17,18	63:5 93:9	113:10,14 114:5	room 1:15 3:11
81:6 83:10 89:18	resolve 114:15	121:5	19:4 63:7
104:2	resource 89:22	reviewed 107:4	root 42:18
repairs 91:13	106:14,17,19	reviewing 8:12	roughly 105:4,6
repeats 105:14	resources 14:11	113:21 117:8	105:19 111:1
report 9:24 11:12	15:12 58:25 101:5	revisions 4:23	112:19
13:2,16 70:15	respect 4:12	revisited 22:3	row 25:20 28:3
reported 1:23	responsibilities	revisiting 103:11	30:3,8,22 31:19,21
reports 75:8	101:9 115:22	reward 97:6	34:10,25 53:20
reps 71:22 75:8	rest 82:6 103:7	rhyme 38:12	54:21
94:7 102:13 110:1	restoration 10:8	richland 85:15	rows 28:22 50:11
111:11 113:18	17:22 21:15 23:15		50:15

[rpr - signs]

rpr 1:23	scores 115:7	110:12 122:5	shape 11:18 28:17
rule 21:18	scoring 37:22 93:3	seen 12:21 26:12	81:23 90:12 91:8
rules 41:25	100:3 106:23	45:9 49:6 67:9	95:25
run 13:12	113:1,21	94:22 102:3	share 56:3 61:14
running 97:19,20	scratch 65:20	selected 32:11	61:15,21 69:9
117:1	screen 67:15	selection 68:15	sharing 60:18
runoff 42:15	season 42:17	sell 32:15 91:17	sheet 92:2
rust 47:13 61:18	seat 35:12	109:8	shift 120:8
	sec 112:22	selling 43:7 44:1	shifted 35:11
S	second 5:24 6:7	sells 33:2	ships 34:11
s 2:1 107:24	32:23 33:3 55:10	send 12:23 115:1	shocking 90:11
sad 39:25 43:4	67:17,21 69:5	sending 115:8	shoreline 111:8
safer 10:25	71:14 74:23 83:14	sense 21:20,21	short 27:5 94:15
sale 42:10 61:13	93:6	59:10,24 63:17	96:21 113:7
salem 57:7 88:22	secretary 6:16	103:17 120:7	shot 30:2
90:2 98:9	12:4 33:5,6	sent 5:19 6:4 7:14	shout 9:25 18:14
salisbury 3:13	see 11:17 13:22	7:15 107:12,18	show 37:18 67:16
saluda 79:15	15:20 22:15 24:20	111:15	74:17 88:16 93:15
sampling 17:24	25:17,21 26:14,20	separate 84:21	showed 37:9 88:14
sandy 85:19 86:7	29:4 32:6 44:9	september 46:22	108:4
save 45:4	47:24,25 49:7	109:7 110:3	showing 111:3
saw 12:18 42:13	52:16 59:19 65:8	113:11 114:6	showing 111.3
42:14,15 65:4,6,6	73:24 74:16 75:7	117:8 120:10	sick 34:4
71:18,22 88:25	78:5 79:2,3,7 80:9	121:5	side 21:15 29:2
94:11,23 101:20	85:20 86:7,12,12	serious 80:8	77:13 80:10,19
105:20,21	87:1 90:6,7 92:22	serve 117:4	85:9 89:3,4
saying 19:15 55:4	94:19 99:21	service 26:22	108:15 115:23
83:1 119:22 122:4	100:24 101:24	46:24 49:10 53:2	sides 75:1 82:19
says 7:6 20:10	102:12 105:23	55:22 56:1,16	siding 13:4
79:9 107:21	106:11 107:7,9	61:25 68:23	sign 40:16 46:18
scenarios 39:9	108:24 109:1,3	services 23:19	79:10 82:6,7
scene 6:13	113:12,20 115:16	96:5 97:16	95:24 96:3,9
schedule 45:19	116:3 117:10	set 8:14,20 50:18	121:12,14
95:19	118:14 119:4	74:24 76:11 77:8	signage 82:14
scheme 46:10	120:4	121:10	signed 66:20 79:18
science 112:3	seed 34:24	setup 27:12	significant 58:1
scope 114:6,22,24	seeding 40:20	seven 24:15	112:18
scopes 115:7	seedlings 47:1,3	severely 82:23	significantly 50:1
score 92:2 97:10	47:12,12 61:23	sewer 103:19	signs 81:10 82:1,2
100:4 108:18	seeing 44:15 80:6	shading 29:5	96:14,19
113:23 114:24	80:7,8,17 99:22,23	Siluting 27.3	70.1 1,17
	00.1,0,11 //.22,23		

[signup - state] Page 25

signup 38:24	slope 37:15,16	88:7,13 89:7	stable 78:21
silt 40:4	slow 89:25	90:10 91:14	staff 12:6 18:23
silty 40:4	small 31:13,21	100:24 112:17	27:1 51:25 52:5
silviculture 26:16	32:16	114:9 121:22	54:24,25 58:25
27:25 28:24 29:14	smaller 43:22	sorts 10:3 49:7	76:11 96:17 99:20
30:9 31:15	84:16	sound 12:11 78:12	99:24 100:21
similar 36:7 58:22	smallest 117:4	sounds 15:14	101:19 104:12
simpatico 88:6	smart 12:11	18:24	107:3 110:15
simple 109:11,15	snags 88:3	source 38:17,19	113:2,14 114:4,12
109:25	snapped 47:20	41:5 91:22,25	114:17 116:13,20
single 68:5,16	snapshot 98:25	95:7	117:2 119:5,17
sir 64:10	social 98:20	south 4:19 20:12	121:23 122:3,5
sit 19:4	society 99:15	20:16 47:7 75:25	staffing 102:7
site 18:20 46:3	soil 5:8,15 26:25	90:5	stakeholders 12:7
60:15 61:16 75:21	27:25 32:24 34:22	southern 35:17	stalled 86:22
85:2 104:14	35:2,14 36:15	spacing 50:18	stand 20:9 48:6
106:13 107:8	37:16 38:9,15	speak 21:1 34:18	49:24 50:23 68:12
115:13 116:1,10	39:15 42:10,13,16	37:20 54:4 61:2	122:7
116:15 117:24	42:17 59:21 66:5	61:12,22 67:11	standability 46:20
118:19,22 119:3	76:16	special 13:14 33:4	standard 22:1
120:24	soils 43:10,20,24	species 26:8,9	25:12 27:18 29:15
sites 15:10 16:21	43:25	40:25 41:13 68:5	67:25 72:10
44:3 72:13 74:12	sold 31:4,20 43:2	83:11,13 88:2	standards 81:14
75:21 90:2 101:25	solid 7:21	98:2,2	standpoint 7:9
107:2,4,9 115:16	solve 98:3	specific 68:6,10	50:10 54:11,19
sits 74:9	somebody 60:7	specifically 105:24	stands 119:16
sitting 55:4	115:25	speed 22:8	stark 81:9
situation 8:7 91:20	somebody's 72:22	spend 23:5 74:11	start 3:2,10,11
situations 83:16	soon 9:23 22:21	110:21,21	4:23 5:1,18 19:10
sivitan 90:3	sooner 63:15	spending 99:8	21:4,7 41:19
six 85:22 93:17	sore 86:6	spent 9:3,5 19:25	46:20 57:6 65:13
size 92:6	sorry 10:7	24:14 62:15 71:15	75:13
skew 104:19	sort 8:23 10:9	73:21 75:2 114:8	started 3:2 35:13
slate 66:9	15:12 23:9 24:4	split 35:11	39:1 46:13 47:5
slide 21:7 28:8	25:14 26:16 28:6	sporadic 38:12	81:3 86:13,22
31:16 40:14 67:16	31:10 32:21,24	spot 78:18 86:6	90:21
slides 26:13 67:23	35:1 37:16 47:19	91:13	starting 18:6 66:8
88:25 115:12	48:14 71:24 72:10	spray 99:2	starts 79:8 93:25
slightly 22:25	74:10,14 76:5,9,12	square 32:7 69:1	state 4:15 7:13,24
slip 70:8	79:11 81:6 82:2,5	stability 42:15	8:13 10:5,17
	83:22 85:23 86:21	44:23 74:4 75:9	12:13 14:5 23:4

[state - tag] Page 26

23:18 24:7 33:23	97:12,14,25 100:2	street 89:3	104:6 117:7
36:17 51:22 52:23	100:6 101:16	strip 29:3,7 40:24	118:18
69:24 71:11 72:24	stone 84:11	89:3	sunlight 89:4,5
73:17 77:6 91:5	stood 20:5	strips 40:12	super 86:25 94:13
95:2 106:1,4,6	stop 67:20	strong 9:1 10:3	support 13:13
109:10,24,24	stopped 81:3	33:23 36:19	14:1,20
110:23 111:21	storage 15:10	strongest 32:13	supporting 74:5
112:4	42:20,23	strongly 34:25	supposed 8:17
states 13:11 55:16	stories 82:10	98:17	29:18 71:3 72:17
statewide 24:4	storm 15:8 77:24	structure 42:18	80:22 95:6
52:20	79:20 104:18	92:10	sure 4:21 11:15
statistically 36:10	111:20,25 112:2,4	structures 42:16	27:2 34:3 64:17
status 56:11	112:6 114:4	84:11 87:8,10	77:4 80:11 95:17
statute 4:8	story 19:24,25	students 101:17	114:5 116:8
stay 3:8 13:6	20:11	study 18:1	surpluses 9:1
16:11 43:3 53:13	straightforward	stuff 61:5 91:9	surprised 8:18
55:22	90:14	102:3	99:13
stayed 105:19	strain 52:11	stumble 14:23	surprising 76:8
stays 18:10	strategy 15:24	stumbled 80:15	87:10 89:1 98:19
stem 47:14	114:10	stumped 54:9	surprisingly 89:6
stems 50:24	stream 10:8,10	stumps 28:5	surrounding
step 32:23 64:18	23:24 27:21 29:1	subdivided 108:10	89:16
steps 49:13	29:5,13,24 30:4	subdivision	survey 52:3 70:15
steve 2:12 17:18	31:23 38:6 40:2	108:11	surveyed 51:24
17:19,25 21:4	46:11 72:23 73:5	submit 13:18,18	surveyor 52:3
37:9 58:8 70:2,11	73:23 74:5,5 75:1	110:15	susi 12:4 33:5
77:1 111:3,10	75:6,9 79:3 83:8	submitted 11:13	suspected 90:25
114:1	83:23 84:8 85:1,5	13:20 108:16,22	sustainability
steve's 17:4,11	86:3,16 88:3,23	subset 73:1	14:19
steven 35:4	89:17 90:7 92:3	subsurface 41:16	swamped 114:17
steward 73:19	93:11,13 96:6	subtle 80:12	swimmable 74:6
76:19 77:18 96:6	99:22 111:2	success 38:23	switchgrass 40:18
101:6 103:17	stream's 91:6	52:19 72:5 73:10	sydney 2:16 121:9
stewarded 17:23	streamline 52:17	82:10 86:25 87:12	121:14
101:25 104:1	streams 25:23,25	100:11	system 100:3
stewarding 18:13	26:18 31:11 73:1	successful 52:21	108:2
stewards 78:9	73:3 75:8 83:20	93:14 98:16	t
91:7 97:7	84:7 88:1 90:12	successional 50:3	tabled 53:22
stewardship 27:1	90:14,22 93:4	suggested 79:21	tables 38:16
78:3 90:15 91:1	99:16 100:10	summer 2:13 18:8	tag 15:24 22:20
94:1,18 96:25		87:6 96:4 103:7	96:1
			70.1

[take - three]

	I	I	I
take 11:10 16:2	39:17 45:3 49:6	theoretically 8:22	90:13,22 91:17
17:24 21:12 23:22	70:15 73:20 81:3	thick 83:17	92:15,19,21,25
24:10 28:5 29:17	90:11 97:7 107:13	thin 68:12	93:8,14,20 94:20
30:17 34:11 45:18	117:20,25	thing 6:12 9:20	95:21 96:2,22
49:1 57:12 70:7	template 22:5	14:21 17:19 23:11	97:12,22,24 98:5
70:23 76:21 82:8	66:18 67:18 69:10	28:21 29:25 31:17	98:19,20 100:7,17
82:12 85:11 87:24	templates 62:15	34:5,14 35:1 42:4	100:17 101:2,7,11
103:16 113:23	70:21	42:19 47:11,20	102:6,11,16,21
taken 48:7 78:5	temporarily 64:6	48:14 51:22 52:5	103:9,10 104:6
82:24 92:10	temporary 24:19	55:23 56:5 57:5	106:5 110:1 113:2
takes 25:7	58:11	57:14,16 61:15	113:6,18 115:17
talent 16:8	tend 87:25 105:23	78:19 85:24 87:22	115:20 116:8
talk 8:4,5 12:1,20	tends 23:17 79:12	90:10 118:15	117:9,15 118:5
12:24 17:2,13	term 9:24 10:4	things 10:3 12:12	119:19 120:2
43:10 55:2 58:16	11:7,11 21:20	13:5 15:23 25:18	thinking 10:20
58:17 71:19 83:13	27:5 30:13,15	32:14 33:21 37:17	20:13 60:4 106:6
98:12 101:3,14,18	58:11,18 65:16	40:6 41:14 44:20	117:23 119:14
104:11 105:24	94:16 96:22	46:15 48:15 49:8	thinned 61:11
112:21 113:1	108:13	50:4 56:21 59:13	69:2
talked 44:4,23	terms 10:18 73:13	59:18 62:11,15,21	thinning 53:17
100:25	74:19 75:6 82:13	63:9,21 66:9	61:4,10 68:6,10,16
talking 27:14 34:1	105:19 106:17	75:18 80:3,6,14	68:20 69:11
35:23 43:17 52:22	109:17 112:20	82:24 87:20 88:1	third 55:11 76:12
53:2 99:3	terri 2:15	95:4 101:1,23	93:6 120:11
tall 40:25	terrible 94:24	104:22 105:23	thirds 24:18 28:11
tangible 33:16	99:15	107:25 108:20	thought 24:3
tar 35:20 41:25	terribly 59:17	think 3:23 4:1 9:7	27:24 69:15 78:24
taylor 2:6 3:20,21	91:6 98:17,18	11:18 14:13,16	92:9 103:13
4:16 19:14 59:21	thank 5:1,16 6:12	15:12 18:2,14,20	thousand 96:15
61:3 62:2 80:17	6:14 12:2,13	20:19 22:7,20	threat 80:13
91:20 92:12	13:14,15 14:2	24:17 25:1 26:14	threatt 2:6 3:20,21
team 22:20 28:1	18:12,20,22 19:8	26:19 27:15 28:7	4:16 19:14 59:21
64:12	33:6,18 34:14,16	28:23 30:10 31:3	61:3 62:2 80:17
technical 51:25	56:24 57:1 58:7	32:3,12 34:5 43:6	91:20 92:12
53:2 61:25 101:4	70:3,5,17 94:4	52:24 59:9 62:22	three 19:12 31:21
techniques 68:16	99:4,8 104:8	62:24 63:21 65:21	56:19 59:12 60:11
tedx 12:20	119:10 121:1,2,9	69:24 71:6 72:5	63:21 71:1,15
teeth 77:12,16	121:15,16,19,23	74:1 75:24 76:1,6	91:15 92:16,23
telephone 2:5,7	121:25 122:1,2,4,5	80:9 81:15 83:21	93:23 108:6,8
tell 5:3 7:6 19:23	thanks 13:7 19:23	84:18 85:10 86:14	110:23 111:6,21
22:22 32:25 38:22	34:15	86:18 87:6 90:10	112:15,17 120:5
			000 055 0541

[thunderous - type]

thunderous 93:22	62:23 66:6 67:25	47:18 50:15,23	trustees 1:7 2:3
tidal 113:3	69:12 71:25	64:22,25 65:5,11	3:10,11 19:6
tied 96:7	102:18 104:9	65:13 68:16,25	21:10 69:15 70:6
tillage 41:10 42:21	told 19:3 20:4,11	88:25 89:21 90:8	99:5 102:14 109:4
48:4	36:4	111:5	113:2 115:6,21
tilting 114:11	tomorrow 100:15	trees 27:23 29:3,7	116:22 117:9,11
timber 22:14 26:4	ton 74:17 88:12	29:17 30:22 32:9	118:1 119:3
28:23 29:23 32:11	tons 103:21	41:16,18,21 45:10	truthfully 37:3
50:6,9 61:10,13,24	tools 112:3	45:19 46:8 47:6	try 4:2 12:16
64:24 65:2,6,7	top 105:12	47:20,22 48:1	15:16 41:21 55:22
66:21 67:2 68:11	topic 27:17 70:18	49:25 50:11,14	63:20 91:13 112:6
time 4:15 12:10	71:7	51:1 52:7 53:18	118:13
15:9 18:6 19:25	topics 63:21	54:23 56:12,15,20	trying 13:3 20:12
20:15 21:25 27:20	torn 86:17	60:1,17,20 61:17	34:4 54:23 71:21
30:16 31:16 33:8	total 105:15	61:20 62:5 68:16	76:24 103:14,16
33:18,19 35:8,24	touch 43:3 59:23	78:20 85:20 89:5	114:13 118:6
35:25 36:9,14	94:21 101:7	90:8,9	tuesday 1:17
37:7 38:1 39:2,4	107:22	tremendous 52:10	tune 25:14
39:12 41:24 42:17	tour 16:18	52:14	tuned 16:11 50:24
44:6 45:18 46:7,7	track 54:5 100:2	trend 39:13	turn 4:22 23:25
46:18 48:7 49:20	101:17	triangle 5:6	34:11 116:6
50:4,16 51:13,23	tract 30:5	trickle 34:7	turned 11:1 20:5,6
52:4,17 56:23	tractors 41:10	tried 75:22	115:6
57:3 60:2 62:3,15	tracts 119:14	trip 18:5	turns 73:9
63:25 64:16 68:21	traditional 49:16	trips 16:23	tutorial 17:16
73:22 81:15 84:1	111:2	trouble 89:19	twist 108:12
99:8 113:7,13	traffic 78:13,15	true 50:10 76:10	two 17:1 24:18
114:7,8,16 119:2	trails 11:2 14:18	trust 1:6 3:4 5:13	26:9 28:11 31:18
120:21 121:16,23	training 1:15	7:19 11:21 12:8	31:21 33:13 44:11
122:3	trampling 78:22	13:10,23 21:9	47:3 49:19 54:12
times 31:18 109:5	transaction	23:7 24:15 28:15	55:16 56:19 59:12
116:16 118:11	109:10,13,19	31:5 33:11 58:3	60:11 61:6 68:4
120:14	transfer 25:8	62:19 71:13 72:8	72:25 74:11 86:6
timing 110:5	transferred 106:4	72:25 78:2 79:15	87:20 92:24 94:2
tiny 88:4 113:18	trash 67:4 99:22	93:18 99:5 100:21	101:8 102:22
tipped 85:23	treat 89:10	109:23 110:10	107:25 108:2
tipping 85:20	treated 89:16	trustee 4:10,13	116:13,16,16
tobacco 44:10	treatment 41:11	5:24 104:14	118:20
today 6:15 22:6	treatments 56:18	115:13 116:18	type 37:16 56:17
30:18 36:11 37:8	tree 41:13 46:19	119:20	111:1 112:17
42:11 50:2 59:10	46:23 47:4,14,15		
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

[types - waters]

4-mag 106,10	90.5 00.12 10	116:1,9 118:19	102.14 102.2 12
types 106:19	89:5 99:13,19 103:19	/	102:14 103:2,13 107:22 109:4
typical 10:9 26:19 111:22	usda 51:18 53:23	119:2,3 120:13,16	115:9 116:3
		visitations 46:3 visited 75:22	
typically 40:3	54:12,20		117:11,16 118:2
68:17 71:2	use 15:16 22:16	83:11	118:14,22 119:4
u	26:2 27:24 28:4	visiting 71:12	119:13 121:23
un 115:3	29:12 38:7 57:19	visitors 5:2	wanted 5:1 20:13
unbiased 76:2	83:6 108:1	visits 107:8 114:23	30:22 41:21 79:19
uncomplicated	useful 82:2	116:14 118:22	94:5 107:7
17:11	uses 74:5 75:17	119:21	wanter 8:5
understand 6:10	78:16 91:3	voice 65:19	wants 97:4
8:3,6 17:9 18:18	V	volunteer 33:7	warm 42:17
23:3 55:10,12	valuable 90:20	vulnerable 47:18	warped 22:25
68:17 69:10	value 45:11 58:13	84:17	warren 35:7,10,13
113:15,16,16	58:17 59:4,14,19	W	35:14
120:22	74:9 109:15,25	wait 110:11	waste 15:7
understanding	110:17 119:7	waiting 76:23	watch 45:19,20
51:1 102:7	values 45:16	wake 85:16	water 1:6 3:4,6 5:8
undertaking 52:15	varieties 47:9	walk 81:18 100:24	5:15 9:15,16 10:7
undivided 48:22	variety 26:13 41:8	walking 52:18	10:7,16 11:5
undoing 99:17	various 45:6 59:13	95:23	12:12 14:25 15:3
unfair 101:12	66:19	walser 2:6 3:12,12	15:5,7,8,8,15,22
unfortunate 76:8	vast 111:17	6:6 12:18,23 58:1	16:4 21:9,11 23:7
unfortunately	vegetation 74:4	99:12 119:13	24:1,15 25:15
73:16 74:18 80:25	75:20 80:18 82:15	120:8	26:25 28:15,20
unidentified 65:19	83:17 84:13	walter 2:11 7:20	29:18 31:4 32:24
unknown 11:23	vegetative 74:21	12:24 18:22 21:8	33:10 34:22 35:2
unusual 21:13	vehicle 25:16	103:12 116:12	35:14 36:15 37:24
23:10,13	version 115:3	121:1	38:9 41:23 49:21
unwanted 41:13	versus 37:19 49:16	walter's 18:12	59:22 62:18 69:3
update 6:11,18	50:23	19:9	71:12 72:7,24
14:2 56:16 97:10	vicinity 73:12	want 7:1 9:25	76:16 77:21,25
updated 96:14	view 22:24 69:17	11:16,25 18:13,19	79:21 82:4,5 92:9
115:3	71:23 81:13	19:14,20 20:17	92:20 93:18 99:21
updating 21:8	vine 89:2	21:2 30:23 33:21	99:23 104:18
upfront 114:14,16	vines 87:15 88:25	34:16 38:25 51:20	106:21 111:20,25
upstairs 34:15	89:6 91:2	53:12,13 54:23	112:2,4,6 114:4
upstream 86:9	virginia 47:8	55:6 64:8,18	116:25 122:2,4
103:4	visible 81:10	65:23 68:18 75:11	water's 17:5 63:3
urban 72:1 78:25	visit 85:16 104:14	82:12 85:1 94:14	waters 13:6
87:14,15 88:19,23	107:7 115:13	95:22,24 97:1,24	
L	1	1	1

[wave - year]

wave 113:3	82:22	wondering 66:17	worse 78:18 81:2
way 8:24 11:20,25	west 24:9,11 27:21	68:10	99:18
15:3 20:15 22:17	49:9 58:10 76:1	wood 41:19 65:3	worst 34:5
26:16 27:17 28:3	90:18 94:9	79:20 84:16	worth 105:5 106:2
30:8 32:3,10	wetland 10:8	wooded 80:18	109:16 111:9,24
33:16,21 36:22	42:25 54:1,7,13,17	woods 29:8	worthwhile 91:19
45:13 50:2 54:7	wetlands 85:9	woody 41:13	wraps 67:12
57:11,23 76:11	whichever 60:6	85:21	wrestle 112:12
79:2 83:7 87:17	wide 30:3 80:22	word 15:21 20:18	wrestling 114:9
89:25,25 90:20	widths 29:20	113:23	wrinkle 9:21,21
91:16 97:6,11,25	wild 80:22	work 4:18 6:12,19	write 60:10
98:13,22 100:7,23	wildlife 40:20 42:5	10:14 12:3 15:20	writing 63:16
101:12 102:1,16	69:3,5	15:22 16:3 18:15	66:20
104:22 115:18	will's 95:21	19:17 40:19 46:9	written 54:8 61:5
ways 28:17 41:8	willingness 59:1	55:2,25 56:15,25	62:16,17 67:25
76:4,5 84:25 85:4	wilmington 16:15	57:15 58:10,25	96:10
94:1 102:6 107:15	18:25 122:6	61:24 62:9 66:13	wrong 78:7
wayside 39:16	wilmington's	70:16 86:7 92:15	wrote 45:14
we've 18:25 21:22	16:20	92:16 99:6,8,11	X
24:13,16 27:19	wilson 2:7 3:15,15	100:23 111:6,11	x 111:13,14
32:19 36:1 48:18	6:17,17,20 12:22	111:17 114:14,14	119:23
49:3,4,9 52:22	13:7 16:9 63:24	117:21 118:13,16	
53:3,5,6 55:9	65:15 99:24	119:3	y
59:10 64:14,15	102:17	worked 17:14	y 119:24
67:9 68:22,22	win 24:1,1 93:1	57:10 58:2 62:3	yadkin 24:11
69:4,24 77:13	window 63:24	68:22 84:23 93:18	yard 72:22 80:24
78:14 88:22 89:20	64:2 110:14	116:8	89:15
93:2,16 103:14	winds 47:19	working 18:16	year 6:23 7:3,5,11
105:3 106:19	winners 94:13	26:5 33:24 34:20	8:25 9:3,16,19
111:3 114:1,3,3	winston 57:7 90:2	36:2 62:18 63:19	13:25 14:3,7,9,13
120:21	98:9	83:1 86:15 93:7	14:13 17:21 23:11
wealthy 33:9	wish 30:14 51:20	103:14 114:2	24:20 26:6,10
wear 119:7	98:25	works 8:6 12:9	27:6,22 28:9,12,16
weather 18:9	withdrawing	21:19 32:25 33:2	29:25 30:12,15,24
weed 120:22	98:15	86:1 97:2	32:18 36:12,13,24
weeds 72:21	womack 2:7 3:24	world 43:16 63:10	40:3,8,9 53:4,9,10
112:11	3:25 20:25 121:21	63:19 65:3 78:19	53:13 59:20,20
weird 119:15,21	wondered 89:7	worried 88:16	60:11 61:6,11
welcome 3:3 115:4	wonderful 4:7	worrisome 86:20	63:14,17,22 64:2,4
went 29:12 39:16	13:9 59:22 104:8	worrying 73:22	64:8,16,20,22 65:2
44:13 51:10 79:12	122:2		65:5,11,20,21,22

[year - zones] Page 31

65:23 66:1,3,7,11 68:19 70:22 71:2 71:10 77:19,22 78:1 86:1 94:21 102:6 105:1,4,17 109:4 110:12 111:10 113:5 115:14 118:20 **year's** 17:7 25:9 yearly 27:1 **years** 9:14 17:6 22:2 24:9,13,13 27:9,23 31:5 32:19 34:23 42:22 47:4,23 48:8 49:19 51:17 53:14 54:17 55:7 56:19 58:24 59:3 60:5 62:19 63:15 64:25 71:1 72:9 75:2,12 79:4 84:10 86:24 87:5 93:15,17 95:5 110:17 112:20 114:9 115:6 120:5 **yellow** 31:17 40:16 **you's** 18:20 **young** 71:11

 \mathbf{Z}

z 119:24zero 92:23zones 68:2